
Purchasing Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

Measure #1: Cost to provide efficient purchasing services as a percent of total MOA purchases and compare to national benchmarks.
--

2014	2015	2016	2017
.3%	.4%	.1	.1

Benchmark below 1%

Measure #2: Number of formal protests sent to Bidding Review Board (BRB)

2014	2015	2016	2017
3	1	1	0

Goal: 0

2014 (POA, AWWU Asplun Wastewater Treatment Facility, Harry J. McDonald Ice Rink)

2015 (solid Waste Service Garbage Trucks)

2016 (Upper O'Malley LRSA)

Measure #3: Expenditures in the local area

2014	2015	2016	2017
43%	57%	41%	65%

2014 (this remains artificially low due to the Quanta Power Systems , GE turbine contract, and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 82%)

2015 (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 86%)

2016 (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 79%)

2017 (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 68%)

No formal Goal but matter of local interest