

Girdwood Trails Plan

Project Next Steps

March 2, 2021 GTP Subcommittee Meeting: Review Schedule + Plan Outline

April 6, 2021 GTP Subcommittee Meeting: Present Draft Trails Master Plan

April 6 – May 4: GTP Subcommittee Reviews the Draft Trails Master Plan

May 4, 2021 GTP Subcommittee Meeting: Collective committee comments due on the Draft Trails Master Plan

Week of May 17, 2021: Public Meeting #2 – Present Public Review Draft to the broader community – Initiate 30-day public comment period.

June 25, 2021: Approximate day that public comments will be due.

Girdwood Trails Plan
Plan Outline

December 29, 2020

- 1) Executive Summary
- 2) Introduction
 - a) Plan Purpose
 - b) Study Area
 - c) Values, Vision, & Goals
 - d) Planning Context
 - i) Regulation and Code
 - ii) Existing Trail Planning & Development Process
 - iii) Previous and Concurrent Plans and Studies
- 3) Existing Conditions
 - a) Existing Community Conditions
 - i) Characteristics of the Study Area
 - ii) Population
 - iii) Economy
 - iv) Governance
 - v) Girdwood Trail Managers: Recreation-Based Organizations & Volunteers
 - vi) Demand for Expanded Trail and Natural Space Network
 - b) Existing Physical Conditions
 - i) Environmental Conditions
 - ii) Existing Trails
 - iii) Existing Trail Use
 - iv) Land Ownership
 - v) Trail Easements
 - vi) Connectivity
 - vii) Access: Trailheads & Parking
 - viii) Amenities, Signage, & Wayfinding
 - ix) Trail Maintenance
 - c) Existing Conditions Summary: Key Findings & Themes
- 4) Community & Stakeholder Engagement
 - a) Community Engagement Summary
- 5) Plan Recommendations
 - a) Proposed Trail and Natural Space Network
 - b) Proposed Trail and Natural Space Projects
 - c) Implementation Strategy

From: [Debra Croghan](#)
To: [Holly Spoth-Torres](#)
Cc: kyle.kelley@anchorageak.gov; ron10e@gmail.com
Subject: GTP subcommittee
Date: Monday, February 08, 2021 12:43:52 PM

Hello and good afternoon Holly,

I appreciate you adding my name as public in attendance and mostly for writing a synopsis regarding my opinion of the comment that was made by a subcommittee person towards another subcommittee person.

I also appreciate that the public is able to attend zoom meetings & does have a few minutes to make comments at the end of the agenda which was my understanding of the process from the start of this subcommittee. I understand these subcommittee meetings are not the time for the public to weigh in at length. I do however think because of what transpired (which in my opinion) was indeed not warranted, unnecessary, not true and very rude ~ you will reiterate to sub members that during these meetings a code of professionalism and respect is expected and necessary.

There are differences of specifics to the many topics that this subcommittee is responsible for discussion. I so don't want to believe that sub members have a personal agenda , rather I want to believe that everyone on this subcommittee is of the same basic mind set that ideas and thoughts are for the best Girdwood Valley can be!

Holly, Kyle and Ron feel free to include this (my) message in any fashion you feel in appropriate!

Sincerely,
Debra Croghan