

p. 38 T5 description.

Barb's Input:

The last sentence has got to go. Margaret emailed me about it before the Dec. 3 meeting, Holly said she would fix it in an email to me, and I mentioned it at the Dec. 3 meeting. Yet there it is.

Staff review:

Current Text in 12.20 Revision is below; highlighted strikethrough is the change based on amendment process:

Beaver Pond to Alyeska Highway Construct an east/west trail connection in the lower valley, between the Beaver Pond Trail and the Alyeska Highway Bike Path. This trail would allow users to access and exit the Beaver Pond trail about midway, creating a shorter option for those who do not wish to go the entire length of Beaver Pond Trail. It would provide trail connectivity for residents of a possible future development of HLB Tract 18A. The trail would be constructed to Class 3 Bicycle Design Parameters. Boardwalk structures can be used to cross wetlands where necessary. The trail alignment should use the existing Juniper Drive easement. The proposed trail crosses HLB land zoned gR-3. ~~T5 should only be considered a priority for development if HLB parcels 6-010 and/or 6-040 are developed.~~

p. 33 Vision Statement.

Barb's input: It needs to have the words "and natural spaces" omitted so that it matches the Vision Statement on p. 7.

Staff review:

Current Text in 12.20 revision is below, highlighted strikethrough is the change based on amendment process to plan:

Girdwood Trails Plan: Vision Girdwood aspires to be a world-class outdoor recreation destination offering residents and visitors a balance of trails ~~and natural spaces~~ that are interconnected, diverse, and sustainable. Girdwood's trails are vital to our community well-being, identity, and economy.

p. 15 Flow Chart.

Barb's Input:

We discussed in GTC meetings that we wanted to change the Flow Chart so that GTC did not appear to be subordinate to LUC. In the blue line document, the Old Business section for LUC has simply been eliminated. I feel that people expressed quite clearly at the meetings that they thought it was important that a project get a second round of presentation at LUC just to increase the exposure to the project within the community. I have shared the revamped chart that I sent in my edits with the GTC and I think that chart is what people had agreed to.

Staff review:


Both charts are below. Motion regarding chart was withdrawn during amendment process, with requirement that the chart be re-worked to show process. Some changes have been made, including typos. However, Trails Plan 12.20.22 chart still needs to be revised to show:

LUC reviews as old business and provides vote that is also forwarded to GBOS.

GBOS considers both opinions of GTC and LUC and has a process to handle disagreeing opinions with LUC/GTC.

Language regarding newspaper outreach may be omitted.

PROPOSAL PROCESS FOR NEW TRAILS IN GIRDWOOD



PROPOSAL PROCESS FOR NEW TRAILS IN GIRDWOOD

