HERITAGE LAND BANK ADVISORY COMMISSION
Meeting

April 13, 2017, 11:30 a.m.
Permit & Development Center conference room 170
4700 Elmore Road, Anchorage, Alaska

Meeting Minutes

I. Call to Order

Commission Chair Trawver called the meeting to order at 11:35 a.m.

Commissioners Present:
Tim Trawver, Chair
Kati Capozzi, Vice-Chair
Jim Fredrick, Commissioner
Diana Stone Livingston, Commissioner
Wende Wilber, Commissioner

Commissioners Absent:
Peggy Looney, Commissioner (Excused)
Ryan Mae Lucas, Commissioner (Excused)

II. Approval of the April 13, 2017 Agenda and February 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes

Commissioner Jim Frederick moved to approve the agenda as presented. The motion was seconded and approval of the agenda passed unanimously. Mr. Frederick moved to approve the minutes of February 9, 2017, the motion was seconded and the item passed unanimously.

III. Director's Report

Acting Executive Director Nicole Jones-Vogel reported the Girdwood Parks and Recreation Service Area Expansion ballot proposition passed, which will allow HLB and Girdwood P&R to use funding and enter into agreements for maintaining the Hand Tram. In addition, maintain other trails on HLB lands that were outside the service area, such as Virgin and California Creek trails. The Plat for HLB Parcel 2-144 in Potter Valley was recorded on April 5, 2017; HLB plans to continue with a rezone request for the area identified as residential. All five Girdwood Industrial Park leases were executed. We are working on the memorandums of agreement for the rights of first offer and those will be completed soon. We also met with the lessees, Girdwood community, AWWU and R&M to look at the construction design. AWWU and HLB have agreed to put further resources into modifying the design to reduce the road height. The bid is on hold until the new design is approved. We are still hoping for construction in 2017, as the design changes will be minimal.

IV. Proposed Action Items and Public Hearings:


Chair Trawver asked the Commissioners if there were additional requests for changes to be made. Commissioner Wende Wilber made note of typo in her last name. Ms. Wilber commented on page 8, Tables 1.1 and 1.2 appeared to be the same as in the 2015 plan. Ms. Jones-Vogel responded that HLB was working with a UAA student to update
the HLB GIS information; a presentation for that is expected at the next meeting; and we expect to have the information updated in the near future. Ms. Wilber commented on page 6, the Accomplishments were the same as 2015 and suggested it be updated to celebrate more recent accomplishments and to more reflect the passed Resolutions that were done in 2016. Ms. Wilber clarified her comment not an extensive update, but to add a few that are more recent.

There were no other Commissioner inquiries or comments at that time. Chair Trawver opened the public hearing for persons present to provide testimony. Nancy Pease, Past Chair, Rabbit Creek Community Council (RCCC) inquired to the commission if the RCCC submitted comments were seen. Mr. Fredrick indicated the comments were received. Ms. Pease stated that RCCC has a long history of interest in HLB public lands and submits comments each year. Ms. Pease referred to Chapter (Ch.) 3 #1, indicating that RCCC had hoped that they would be able to review that final plat, but it had been recorded and would check to make sure that it followed the plan. Ms. Pease referred to Ch. 3 #3, concerned the HLB response of meeting the planning goals related to Potter Marsh protection and Potter Creek greenbelt acquisition are better suited to be accomplished by a different agency, not HLB. Ms. Pease stated that HLB is part of the MOA long-range planning and it shouldn’t unilaterally separate its land management duties separate from what’s going on in other areas. The Potter Valley Land Use Analysis (PVLUA) and the Hillside District Plan (HDP) do refer to the HLB as implementers and that is not the role of the HLB is not accurate. Ms. Pease would like that statement set aside. HLB has land to exchange for access to the state park, HLB could use land in the area to enhance municipal greenbelts. Ms. Pease would like a statement that this parcel has value in situ or in exchange for adjoining parcels. Ms. Pease stated in regards to the request to provide market analysis, it would be reassuring to the public and requested putting it into documentation in the work plan regarding Parcel 2-144, that market conditions are favorable for that sale. On page 4, she asked, regarding access for Chugach State Park, not use exchange language, but inter-governmental authorizations. On page 6, HLB owns land on the bluffs, on the inland side of Potter Marsh; these are very important to the watershed and migratory animals, and the PVLUA designated as open space. RCCC asks that management authority be given to the P&R and make these a conservation easement. There are private tracts uphill and without connectivity to Potter Marsh, are not attractive for conservation wetlands positioning, because they’re isolated. Request official conservation status so the tributaries may be considered for conservation easement, to possibly move ahead on watershed protection.

Chair Trawver asked the commissioners if they had any questions. Ms. Wilber asked if some of those parcels are privately owned and commented that HLB could be one part of that watershed area. Ms. Pease affirmed and added Potter Marsh is a wildlife refuge and the next strip is HLB land, and uphill are some big private tracts. The owners would consider some conservation. Great Land Trust isn’t interested due to isolation from the watershed. Chair Trawver asked if staff have any comments.

Ms. Jones-Vogel stated that HLB has been working with Great Land Trust in the Bear Valley area for Chugach State Park access, and our understanding with Chugach State Park is that they need to have an exchange; needing control of the land. We are unsure if an Inter-governmental Agreement would satisfy. HLB would need to get usable land in the inventory for an exchange. We need to be mindful of the inventory and that we’re being responsible with regard to the HLB Fund. Chair Trawver added, ‘and to the HLB mission.’

Cathy Gleason, Acting President, Turnagain Community Council (TCC) stated entitlements should be transferred to the Municipality, it’s important to pursue that. TCC continues to advocate for this; puzzled by staff response to remove Mayor Berkowitz list of state of lands he requested be transferred to the Municipality. It included important parcels, in which the coastal trail runs through, that are currently on state land, and it’s important to continue advocating for that. This administration and future administrations should never give up on getting parcels that are so important to public use in west Anchorage. Community councils have resolved to keep in the plan and asked the commission to please keep. On page 9, she comments staff left out a little bit of wording that had been agreed to be included in the last plan, but was forgotten. Ms. Gleason, TCC, stated with regard to our main comment
regarding the HLB land west of the airport, with the Coastal trail and a portion of the Sisson trail, asked to be transferred to the P&R, adding the public wants this area to be parkland and our big caveat is the land Clitheroe sits on. In May, TCC will hear more from Nancy Burke on expanding the Clitheroe Center and we are supportive; and do not want that to be transferred to P&R for a park. Ms. Gleason stated TCC is interested in the trails. She added for a while it was part of a land use trade with the airport, but it never happened. Ms. Gleason, TCC, said the 2040 Land Use Plan Map (2040 LUPM) is currently in the process of being developed and the parcels specifically have been addressed, the Planning & Zoning Commission (PZC), the Planning Department and the airport have talked with us; adding all of them agree that land should be parks and open space. She said it’s a park and it’s been used that way for decades. Ms. Gleason stated staff’s response was dismissive and they’ll continue to follow the Land Use Plan Map, but due to the complexities of usage; they recommend retaining these parcels in the HLB inventory. TCC position has always been met with caveats, like utilities and easements and pipelines, and they could put all those on the plat. She added there’s lots of dedicated parkland that have easements and right of ways and utilities and that shouldn’t be an issue, with the 2040 LUPM, we should move forward and it should be in this plan that this effort needs to go forward. Thank you very much and I appreciate your time. Chair Trawwer thanked Ms. Gleason for her testimony and asked if commissioners had any questions.

Seeing none, he asked for staff comments. Ms. Jones-Vogel added that the inclusion of the Mayor’s request to the state was found to be confusing and unfortunately there has not been a lot of response from the state on transferring the lands to the MOA. However, all the language regarding municipal entitlements would remain in the plan and we would still work towards that. We are only taking out the language specific to that request; page 5 and 17 reference municipal entitlements. Ms. Wilber inquired what would make the state fulfill those demands. Mr. Trawwer agreed that if the state owed land to the Municipality, we should be pursuing it.

Ms. Gleason added that those parcels in Turnagain are entitlement lands. Chair Trawwer asked Ms. Gleason if those parcels were on the request. Ms. Gleason indicated they are. Chair Trawwer stated staff is definitely pursuing the entitlements from the state as part of our entitlement program and sees this is more a housekeeping matter. Ms. Jones-Vogel agreed and commented that HLB is trying to take out the specific ask from the Mayor. Part of that list was above and beyond what’s in the entitlements and we don’t want to keep referencing it in the HLB plan because not all of those lands would be required to be placed into the HLB inventory. It’s not appropriate to be in this plan. It might be still an issue the Mayor is seeking, but the entitlements is a separate issue that we are continuing to work on.

Dianne Holmes provided testimony following on what was said regarding the Great Land Trust working on providing access to the Chugach State Park; the Bear Valley HLB parcels that recently came up in a plat; and the parcels on the bluff above Potter Marsh. Ms. Holmes stated Great Land Trust is hamstrung as long as there’s not that Memorandum of Understanding that’s listed in the PVLUA regarding drainage to the Potter Valley Marsh. She said as long as HLB still manages these as open spaces, it is a barrier to getting Great Land Trust being involved with the community to conserve the wetlands. Ms. Holmes said regarding marketing analysis, the public would appreciate seeing that you will always seek favorable market conditions. She stated on page 4 of the issued response, HLB would continue exploring the feasibility of exchanging certain HLB parcels in upper Bear Valley, but it seems to be in conflict with what was said on page 2, regarding other agencies, not HLB, being involved in planning and goals related to Potter Marsh and the greenbelt, but you have to be part of the long-range process in planning. We hope that you will, but there’s a little bit of disconnect there. Ms. Holmes said more should done in the upcoming 2nd and 3rd phases of Bear Valley plat, it’s a huge opportunity for getting to Section 36; there is some access in this plat, but it’s not acceptable to the neighbors. She stated, on page 4, the council has asked about the wetland buffers and only allowing 75 feet as a buffer, and the Corps, in the Section 36 Master Plan, and I know that you wanted 200 feet and you said that you would negotiate with the Corps, but you didn’t really say whether or not you’d be advocating for that. Ms. Holmes said, on page 5, the Master Plan calls for development of Section 36 and Storck Park, and for parking. She stated it used to be wetlands and was filled in by DOT when they re-did Rabbit Creek
Road, there’s a little strip on the east side of it, in Storck Park, before you get to Section 36, and there needs to be a 100 feet or so for boardwalk. She asked if HLB, and maybe P&R, are going to help the public get what might be needed with the Corps to get a small or short boardwalk. Chair Trawver suggested that there be follow-up conversation directly with HLB staff regarding the inquiry.

Chair Trawver also commented that there was quite a bit of testimony provided from the community councils and the public and that HLB staff provided excellent responses overall. Obviously there is some dissent over some issues, but the Work Plan is well-manicured, and whether or not there is agreement, is not really important at this time. After seeing no other persons present to provide testimony, Chair Trawver closed the public hearing. He asked for commissioner comments, questions or a motion for the Resolution.

Commissioner Diana Stone Livingston asked a procedural question, if we decide to change things based on these comments, how do we go about that? Just include this (Appendix F) as a reference? Or do we go in and amend the plan itself? Ms. Wilber added that normally the commissioners would have a discussion after the testimony that was provided and make amendments and then have a vote on the Resolution or make amendments on the Resolution. Tammy Oswald, Land Management Officer, added that Appendix F becomes part of the plan. Ms. Jones-Vogel added that the commission discusses the responses and that would then become part of the plan, upon approval of the Resolution. Ms. Stone Livingston asked if it was in the realm of possibility that we could take another month to do this or do we want to do it now. Ms. Wilber commented that she feels as a commissioner, we should discuss a lot of these after the testimony or at least start a discussion. We are talking about a Resolution before we have even had a discussion about these, and these people came to testify and they would probably like to hear some of our discussions and with respect to them, to just postpone automatically and come back seems a little disingenuous. Chair Trawver understood and said if we would like we should just go ahead and do this, that’s what we’re here for. Ms. Stone Livingston stated, on page 2, the RCCC testimony was that the land had value. HLB Parcel 2-156 “...has public value in situ or in exchange...” and a comment in several places that calls our commission to task on not being willing to work with other municipal entities. I don’t think this is a policy that we don’t coordinate with other entities, but if it would help to put a statement to that effect, rather than saying it’s not our job. Maybe that would satisfy some of these comments, because I’m pretty sure that staff talks to people all the time. Commissioner Katie Capozzi inquired as to location(s) of comment(s). Ms. Stone Livingston responded in several areas, see page 3 (first response), we need to work with others where it affects our lands. Ms. Jones-Vogel stated the specific comment from RCCC regarding 2-156 should be retained, part of the reason that the response came from is that HLB is not the best agency to handle that is because this used to be part of the Coastal Zone Management Plan and it is no longer. We’re just suggesting that we do not put this language in the plan, that we continue to implement what is in the PVLOA, and we don’t put this specific language in here. Ms. Wilber stated what she’s struggling with is that this is a work plan, this is not a long-range transportation plan, a policy plan, or a HDP. This is simply a work program. And behind all of this, we need to go back to the fact that every disposal and every action has to come back through for a full public process. Whether it’s for platting or for disposal, it’s a very detailed process, which requires commission approval and the Assembly approval. This not the actual management of the lands it is simply what lands will come forward in the work program. There has to be a compromise here. There’s a section that says as lands are evaluated for their highest and best use under the mission of the HLB, we could add there, according to plans, such as the HDP, comprehensive plan, PVLOA, the 2040 LUPM etc. So maybe that there’s a reference that when decisions are made about disposal or future use, that these plans be considered. We can’t go into the specifics for each parcel, that’s inappropriate in a work program. We need overarching regulation. But, I think there are some valid points, and as far as the commission moves forward, and I think the staff, that there would be some comfort in having the wording present. Mr. Fredrick and Ms. Stone Livingston agreed. Ms. Jones-Vogel commented that the statement is in the policies, specifically on page 2, under HLB Land Disposals, “All land disposals must also be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and implementing measures as well as long-term municipal and community development needs.” Maybe we expand on that. Ms. Wilber agreed and stated you could say the adopted land use plans, rather than listing each one because they change a lot. So,
adopted area plan would be good to add. Setbacks are from the Corps and outside of the commission's control. So, just a referencing of all those different layers with the commission and staff for actions, because, really it's just a work program. When you go back to 25.40, it talks about all of those. It talks about fair market value, bids, market analysis. It talks about all of the processes and all the places the public has to comment. So, I feel it's pretty well covered in this. Chair Trawver asked Ms. Wilber if this was something she could help with the language about this. Mr. Fredrick agreed. Ms. Stone Livingston stated that takes care of all the things she had mentioned. Ms. Wilber added a follow-up question, in terms of the airport plan and exchanges for P&R land, and the 2040 LUPM, would have to be adopted before we could use it. Ms. Jones-Vogel stated that the LUPM designation is park and natural area, but did not look up the definition of natural area. That area is really complicated. Part of it is in the Anchorage Coastal Wildlife Refuge, and we have a MoU with Alaska Department of Fish and Game for the management with P&R. The pipeline goes through there. The FAA has a lease there that you'll be hearing about today. We could potentially talk about a transfer of management authority, but this is not the recommendation of staff. Ms. Wilber stated we have to remember as commissioners that with every action we take, we need to talk about the various plans and make solid decisions, based on that, and that the public will then get a chance to testify on the specific actions as well. Chair Trawver stated that if staff intends on modifying the work plan then I guess we can have staff give that to us prior to the next meeting and delay a Resolution of course. Ms. Jones-Vogel stated you can make a recommendation to amend the Resolution and then we can make those changes in the work plan. There is a possibility that the commission may approve the Resolution today. Ms. Wilber moved to approve the 2017 work plan with the following conditions: based on the comments and responses, we accept: responses 1 and 2 under Chapter 1; response 1 under Chapter 2; replace "no action" with response 1 of Chapter 3; and accept additional responses under Chapters 3 and Chapter 4 and the Appendices. Add new language to the work plan that acknowledges existing adopted planning documents, inter-governmental agreements, area general land use plans, area specific site studies, environmental studies, etc. Ms. Wilber moved to approve, the motion was seconded. Ms. Jones-Vogel sought clarification regarding the comment and response in Chapter 4 moving this disposal request to the 1-year work program is accepted. Mr. Fredrick confirmed. Minutes were read back to HLBAC regarding amending the Resolution.

This motion: **Passed 5-0, As Amended**

b. **HLBAC Resolution 2017-03**: A Resolution recommending Assembly approval of the disposal by lease to the United States Department of Transportation/Federal Aviation Administration of portions within HLB Parcels 4-033A and 4-034, legally described as Tract A Airport Subdivision (Plat 95-5) and E2 SEC 31 T13N R4W.

Ms. Oswald provided a staff report for the FAA lease. This lease of approximately 9 acres has been in effect for 40 years at less than market value, and the FAA has requested to continue the use of the property. Prior to the MOA ownership of the parcel the FAA received approval from the state for use of this area. We are going to a fair market value lease at this time. The Turnagain Community Council reported they support the lease. Merrill Field supported the lease, and there were no objections (or other comments) to the lease. Ms. Oswald indicated the location of the Non-Directional Beacon site and access road at the end of the runway. Sisson Loop trail does go through this area. Staff recommend approval of the Resolution recommending Assembly approval for a non-competitive lease. Chair Trawver commented that this appears to be an important location and opened the public hearing.

**Tim Pine** provided testimony that he was on the West Anchorage District Plan committee with Cathy Gleason and when he received this notice, called in and asked for a copy of the lease, and was told it was with the lawyers; it seems interesting to me to go through this if the lease is still with the lawyers. He said, with the West Anchorage District Plan (WADP) from 2012, we worked on it for about 2 years, and there were 60 recommendations that came out of it. 31 of those had to do with airport operations, leases, transfers, parcels, that sort of thing, surrounding the airport. **Mr. Pine** referenced a WADP map of 21 different parcels, which each has a different story; each has an
ownership; there’s different stakeholders. You can go through each of those and look at them individually and say what should we do, but one of our recommendations in the committee, was for everyone to get the most of what they wanted, and also for the Municipality to have leverage to what they needed in a comprehensive manner. There’s all kinds of issues, there’s the coastal trail, parks, parking areas, the airport, development, all of those things. And when you look at things individually, you manage by drips, and you lose the big picture which is what we were trying to encourage. And, it’s in the WADP that should be done. Mr. Pine said this is probably needed for safety of the airport, but the transfer should be to their end and our advantage. I can’t make a recommendation to lease or not to lease, unless I know that the long-term plan, the long-term duration of this area, is protecting the coastal trail. Those things are important to the citizens and the Municipality. Mr. Pine recommended to not pass this Resolution until a lease is in hand and get recommendations from the public that it’s in concert with the WADP and other plans. He stated we’ve been asking the state for a plan since 1986 and nothing’s happened, and how does that advance the citizens of the Municipality of Anchorage.

Chair Trawver commented that he recognized Mr. Pine’s frustration with regard to all of the property at the airport, in that area. I agree that the best leverage to have would be to trade; you have these pieces and we have these pieces; and everybody puts that together. There was a large effort for that for many years, and at the moment, this administration is not pursuing that. However, long term, that makes very good sense. I don’t know though, commissioners, if we can ignore this need right now at the end of the airport. This is a lease for a beacon, just keep that in mind. Chair Trawver asked for questions or comments. Ms. Oswald commented the beacon is with the FAA, it’s not with the airport; this lease is not part of the WADP; it is a 5-year lease, basically the same exact lease they’ve been using. There are new lawyers and they just want to review it another time before going forward, to ensure the Municipality is being protected. Ms. Wilber asked for clarification that this lease is at fair market value. Ms. Oswald said correct. Chair Trawver asked how was that fair market value determined? Ms. Oswald replied it was based on the appraisal for Kloep Snow Disposal site.

Cathy Gleason, Acting President TCC, commented the public hearing was still open and asked to provide testimony. Ms. Gleason, TCC stated that the council had voted on a Resolution supporting the lease, based on the information that was provided to us; knowing that it’s been there for a long time and was assured that the trail would not be affected. So we went on record supporting this. She said Tim has a good point and didn’t know if there’s any leverage that can be drawn from FAA. Ms. Gleason stated that’s an excellent proposal and deserves consideration. Mr. Pine asked if there was a lease in the works right now. Ms. Oswald said yes. Mr. Pine asked when it runs out. Ms. Oswald said it ran out in September and it’s on a month to month right now. Mr. Pine commented there’s no hurry to do it. Ms. Oswald added we would like to receive money for it. Chair Trawver thanked them for their testimony and closed the public hearing and opened discussion to commissioners.

Ms. Wilber commented that it’s pretty clear cut, this is for navigationally everybody in this state’s safety, and didn’t think this parcel should be pulled up for negotiation for some local or specific issue. Bottom line, this is a 5-year lease at fair market value. It’s not long term and it’s imperative to all of our safety who will be flying out. Ms. Wilber stated she would be supporting this. There were no further Commissioner inquiries. Mr. Fredrick moved to approve, the motion was seconded.

This motion: Passed 5-0

V. Election of Officers

a. HLBAC Chair

b. HLBAC Vice-Chair
Chair Trawver stated he would agree to continue as HLBAC Chair for one more year, if anyone would nominate me for that. Katie is the current Vice-Chair, or if anyone would like to nominate each other, now is the time. Ms. Stone Livingston moved to nominate Tim Trawver for Chair and Katie Capozzi for Vice-Chair, and motion seconded.

This motion: Passed 3-0

VI. Persons or Items not on the Agenda

Dianne Holmes expanded on asking for staff assistance on Section 36, wetlands delineation, some sort of an easement, or buffers, or whatever is involved to get connection to Storck Park with a boardwalk, and help with whatever paperwork has to be done for involvement with the Corps. Ms. Jones-Vogel inquired if the plan being the path of the boardwalk. Ms. Holmes stated it would be to cross wetlands to get to Storck Park. Ms. Jones-Vogel responded, in this case dealing with the Corps would probably be easiest; partly, because boardwalks would be allowed in wetlands, you would just notify them and they would give us a letter of no permit required; and we could certainly do that. The bigger question is getting the easement in place and coming back to the commission for an easement for the trail. Ms. Holmes said the whole trail would have to be zoned and have a buffer. Chair Trawver stated what I hear is yes, they’ll work with you. Ms. Jones-Vogel stated we’ll continue to work with you. Chair Trawver thanked her for her testimony.

Jacqueline LaFranche stated she wanted to learn more about the two Class A wetland parcels in southwest Anchorage; 5-005 and 5-006. She asked how to go about learning the long-term plan because it wasn’t referenced in the approved 5 year plan. There’s a proposal for re-zoning the privately owned area there. Ms. Jones-Vogel responded there is currently nothing planned for those parcels; it’s not in our one year or five year plan. They’re undeveloped, but we have been dealing with debris and trash. They are Class A wetlands and that limits what we can do with those properties. They are uncommitted. Ms. Klebesadel stated originally they were slated for a school and the school district determined they were surplus to their needs. Then later, they were looked at to be a snow disposal site, and there’s been no further involvement on that. So, we’ve had plans, but then they get changed over time. Ms. LeFranche inquired if there were any plans for other Class B wetlands around there. Ms. Jones-Vogel indicated no. Ms. Jones-Vogel said the work plan references Laurel Acres. Ms. LeFranche confirmed that is west of this, there’s going be a PZC hearing for the re-zoning and is gathering as much information as possible.

Chair Trawver seeing there were no other persons present to provide comments, indicated moving on to commissioner comments.

VII. Commissioner Comments

Ms. Stone Livingston reported the stretch of Seward Highway from Anchorage to at least Portage, as of right now, the Alaska State Troopers are going to withdraw their patrolling; commented she doesn’t know how they can do that, but there will be some enforcement from a special group that comes from Palmer that will go down to Portage. It’s called the BHB and it’s a specially funded patrol of the Troopers. And I don’t know how long it’s going to go on. So there will be occasionally a group down there. This is important for two main reasons: there’s no enforcement, speeding and passing, and more dangerous on a roadway that is probably the most dangerous one in the state; and when there is an accident that requires closure of the highway, it cannot be re-opened until a law enforcement officer comes and clears it to the side. Which means it could be closed for 12 hours, 24 hours, or however long it takes for somebody to get there. That means that nobody gets to the airport, to their jobs, to the doctor or to the hospital, unless they’re able to call in a helicopter. So, what are we trying to do about it, the Girdwood Land Use Committee has a task force called the Seward Kenai Highways Safety Task Force. We involve all stakeholders, which is anybody who uses the highway, who wants to be involved. But right now, we’re trying to get the Governor to pick this up and speak to the Public Safety Commissioner and say this can’t go on. The Governor is the only one
that can intervene, and at least provide an extension of the time that the Troopers can put their assets on that stretch of highway, or some kind of solution. They’re citing budget constraints. To my knowledge, their budget has not been cut; it’s level. So, they’re simply choosing to use their assets in other places in other ways. I just want to make you all aware and if you have any connections with Juneau, or the Governor, to ask the Seward Highway retain its patrols.

VIII. Next Meeting

The next regular scheduled commission meeting date is currently slated for 11:30 a.m. Thursday, May 11, 2017.

VIII. Adjournment

Following the completion of business, Ms. Capozzi moved to adjourn. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 1:04 p.m.

PASSED and APPROVED on this, the 11th day of May, 2017.

Tim Trawver, Chair
Heritage Land Bank Advisory Commission

Respectfully submitted for the Heritage Land Bank Advisory Commission by:
Tawny Klebesadel, RED Office Manager
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