## **Development Services**



### **Development Services Department**

#### **Description**

Development Services' mission is to protect lives by ensuring the construction of durable buildings suited to Anchorage's climatic and physical environment, while also working to promote cohesive neighborhoods, compatible land uses, economic development, prosperity, and a high quality of life for our community. The department facilitates commercial and residential property development while also considering and protecting the public's health, safety, and general welfare. Staff reviews subdivision and building plans, issues building permits, and inspects new construction and renovations for compliance with land use, building, and right-of-way codes. Staff also responds to complaints regarding improper building, land use or right-of-way activities.

#### **Department Services**

- Ensures new subdivision developments adhere to adopted plans and municipal standards.
- Reviews plans for new construction projects to ensure proposed projects comply with the international building codes as amended locally and adopted by the Anchorage Assembly.
- Issues building and land use permits and inspects renovations and new construction to verify field construction follows plans and complies with codes and other mandated standards for protecting public health, safety, and environmental quality.
- Enforces land use and right-of-way codes to protect public assets and to promote clean and attractive neighborhoods.

#### **Divisions:**

- Director's Office & Administration (Areawide Fund (101000) and Building Safety Service Area Fund (163000))
  - o Provides leadership and coordination for overall operations of the department; and
  - Provides full array of administrative services: budget, accounting, purchasing, IT coordination, human resources coordination, payroll, etc.
- Building Safety

#### Building Safety Service Area Fund (163000)

- Accepts applications for building permits:
- o Reviews submitted plans for compliance with codes and design criteria;
- Issues building permits, tracks progress on permitted projects for required approvals, and issues final certificates of occupancy for projects that have passed all required inspections;
- o Inspects construction for compliance with approved plans and codes;

#### Areawide Fund (101000)

- Accepts applications for land use permits, ensuring proposed construction projects comply with land use and zoning requirements;
- Regulates on-site water and wastewater systems, issuing on-site certificates of acceptance for properties with wells or septic systems located on properties being sold to new owners and reviewing & permitting new or replacement well or septic systems; and,
- o Investigates reports of dangerous buildings, writes citations, notices requiring permits to bring buildings into compliance and a safe condition.

- Private Development (Areawide Fund (101000))
  - Reviews plats to assure new subdivision improvements comply with applicable standards, municipal codes and platting and zoning actions. Prepares subdivision agreements that reflect the Platting Board's summary of actions:
  - Reviews private development construction plans and plats to promote conformance with municipal standards and the subdivision agreement; and
  - Conducts oversight, pre-final and final inspections on new subdivision construction to verify construction in accordance with approved plans and acceptance of the newly constructed roads and drainage systems into the municipally-owned infrastructure.
- Code Enforcement (Areawide Fund (101000))
  - Responds to complaints of violations of land use and rights-of-way codes. Typical violations include illegal storage or stockpiling of materials, structures encroaching on required setbacks, trashy lots, junk cars on private property, and illegal uses of rights-of-way;
  - o Permits, inspects and otherwise manages all activities occurring within public rightsof-way; and
  - Assigns unique street addresses and maintains GIS database of addresses and street names.

#### **Department Goals that Contribute to Achieving the Mayor's Mission:**



#### Public Safety – Strengthen public safety and revitalize neighborhoods

 Continue to make progress eliminating duplicate street names to ensure the uniqueness of each address, thereby improving E911 response times.



## Homelessness – Reduce homelessness and improve community health

- Manage the private development process effectively and efficiently.
- Respond to land use code complaints within established timeframes.
- Assure construction of durable and affordable code compliant housing.



### Administration – Make city government more efficient, accessible, transparent, and responsive

• Ensure development-related infrastructure is designed and constructed according to municipal design criteria, standards, codes and practices.



Economy – Build a city that attracts and retains a talented workforce, is hospitable to entrepreneurs, small business and established companies, and provides a strong environment for economic growth

- Continue to provide excellent customer service by providing prompt and efficient permit processing, timely plan reviews, and same-day as requested construction inspections.
- Protect public health and environmental quality by providing on-site water and wastewater permitting, certification, training and enforcement.
- Protect the traveling public and municipal rights of way, the largest single asset of the Municipality of Anchorage valued at +\$10 billion.

- Complete final zoning inspections same day as requested.
- Provide timely and accurate services for:
  - Land use reviews/determinations
  - o Business facility reviews and inspections

  - Assignment of new addresses, and
    Maintenance of GIS map data layers for roads and addresses

# **Development Services Department Summary**

	2018 Actuals	2019 Revised	2020 Proposed	20 v 19 % Chg
Direct Cost by Division				
DS Development Services	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%
Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%
Intragovernmental Charges Charges by/to Other Departments	2,493,239	2,429,721	2,472,712	1.77%
Function Cost Total	13,431,646	13,966,517	14,167,952	1.44%
Program Generated Revenue	(7,831,597)	(7,810,260)	(9,489,100)	21.50%
Net Cost Total	5,600,049	6,156,257	4,678,852	(24.00%)
Direct Cost by Category				
Salaries and Benefits	10,288,129	10,834,237	11,100,087	2.45%
Supplies	122,213	108,480	108,480	-
Travel	-	-	-	-
Contractual/OtherServices	463,929	562,679	455,273	(19.09%)
Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Equipment, Furnishings	64,136	31,400	31,400	-
Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%
Position Summary as Budgeted				
Full-Time	72	72	72	-
Part-Time	-	-	-	-
Position Total	72	72	72	

# Development Services Reconciliation from 2019 Revised Budget to 2020 Proposed Budget

		Po	sitions	3
	Direct Costs	FT	PT	Seas/T
2019 Revised Budget	11,536,796	72	-	-
2019 One-Time Requirements				
<ul> <li>Remove 2019 Approved - ONE-TIME - Code abatement and deteriorated property clean-up</li> </ul>	(100,000)	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Remove 2019 1Q - ONE-TIME - Code Abatement and deteriorated property clean- up carryforward to be contributed to a project fund</li> </ul>	(70,000)	-	-	-
Changes in Existing Programs/Funding for 2020	205 250			
- Salaries and benefits adjustments	265,850	-	-	-
- Fleet adjustment in line with projected fleet operations and vehicle purchases	62,594	-	-	-
2020 Continuation Level	11,695,240	72	-	-
2020 One-Time Requirements				
- None	-	-	-	-
2020 Proposed Budget	11,695,240	72	-	-

# **Development Services Division Summary**

### **DS Development Services**

(Fund Center # 192020, 192080, 192030, 192075, 192040, 192070, 192050, 192015, 192060,...)

	2018 Actuals	2019 Revised	2020 Proposed	20 v 19 % Chg
Direct Cost by Category				
Salaries and Benefits	10,288,129	10,834,237	11,100,087	2.45%
Supplies	122,213	108,480	108,480	-
Travel	-	-	-	-
Contractual/Other Services	463,929	562,679	455,273	(19.09%)
Equipment, Furnishings	64,136	31,400	31,400	-
Manageable Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%
Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Depreciation/Amortization	-	-	-	-
Non-Manageable Direct Cost Total	-	-	-	-
Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	-
Intragovernmental Charges				
Charges by/to Other Departments	2,493,239	2,429,721	2,472,712	1.77%
Function Cost Total	13,431,646	13,966,517	14,167,952	1.44%
Program Generated Revenue by Fund				
Fund 101000 - Areawide General	2,590,068	2,631,760	2,714,000	3.12%
Fund 163000 - Anchorage Building Safety SA	5,241,529	5,178,500	6,775,100	30.83%
<b>Program Generated Revenue Total</b>	7,831,597	7,810,260	9,489,100	21.50%
Net Cost Total	5,600,049	6,156,257	4,678,852	(24.00%)
Position Summary as Budgeted				
Full-Time	72	72	72	-
Position Total	72	72	72	-

# Development Services Division Detail

### **DS Development Services**

(Fund Center # 192020, 192080, 192030, 192075, 192040, 192070, 192050, 192015, 192060,...)

	2018 Actuals	2019 Revised	2020 Proposed	20 v 19 % Chg
Direct Cost by Category				
Salaries and Benefits	10,288,129	10,834,237	11,100,087	2.45%
Supplies	122,213	108,480	108,480	-
Travel	-	-	-	-
Contractual/Other Services	463,929	562,679	455,273	(19.09%)
Equipment, Furnishings	64,136	31,400	31,400	-
Manageable Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%
Debt Service	-	-	-	=
Non-Manageable Direct Cost Total	-	-	-	
Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%
Intragovernmental Charges				
Charges by/to Other Departments	2,493,239	2,429,721	2,472,712	1.77%
Program Generated Revenue	,,	, -,	, ,	
404010 - Plmb/Gs/Sht Mtl Cert	142,773	21,000	159,730	660.62%
404030 - Plmb/Gs/Sht Mtl Exam	8,375	12,400	11,020	(11.13%)
404060 - Local Business Licenses	464,555	72,500	502,150	592.62%
404090 - Building Permit Plan Review Fees	1,448,277	1,543,970	1,636,540	6.00%
404095 - Electronic Plan Review Surcharge	70,175	-	-	=
404100 - Bldg/Grde/Clrng Prmt	2,114,079	2,500,000	3,158,905	26.36%
404110 - Electrical Permit	227,717	198,000	484,840	144.87%
404120 - Mech/Gs/Plmbng Prmts	542,203	508,000	641,780	26.33%
404130 - Sign Permits	45,100	40,780	54,210	32.93%
404140 - Constr and Right-of-Way Permits	1,040,566	1,005,080	1,030,000	2.48%
404150 - Elevator Permits	544,169	605,000	578,875	(4.32%)
404160 - Mobile Home/Park Permits	3,175	6,000	9,580	59.67%
404170 - Land Use Permits (Not HLB)	95,033	102,410	110,870	8.26%
404220 - Miscellaneous Permits	107,085	109,200	100,000	(8.42%)
406020 - Inspections	232,557	340,000	270,000	(20.59%)
406030 - Landscape Plan Review Pmt	8,265	8,290	5,000	(39.69%)
406120 - Rezoning Inspections	64,713	62,450	61,000	(2.32%)
406170 - Sanitary Inspection Fees	604,167	601,950	605,000	0.51%
406450 - Mapping Fees	4,468	4,400	4,000	(9.09%)
406550 - Address Fees	23,375	26,230	23,500	(10.41%)
406580 - Copier Fees	9,205	8,500	9,000	5.88%
406625 - Reimbursed Cost-NonGrant Funded	28,610	25,000	20,000	(20.00%)
407050 - Other Fines and Forfeitures	2,500	9,000	11,000	22.22%
407070 - Zoning Enforcement Fines	(15,545)	-	-	-
408560 - Appeal Receipts	-	100	100	-
408580 - Miscellaneous Revenues	16,000	-	2,000	100.00%
Program Generated Revenue Total	7,831,597	7,810,260	9,489,100	21.50%

#### **Net Cost**

Net Cost Total	5.600.049	6.156.257	4.678.852	(24.00%)
Program Generated Revenue Total	(7,831,597)	(7,810,260)	(9,489,100)	21.50%
Charges by/to Other Departments Total	2,493,239	2,429,721	2,472,712	1.77%
Direct Cost Total	10,938,407	11,536,796	11,695,240	1.37%

#### Position Detail as Budgeted

Position Detail as Budgeted	2018 F	2018 Revised 20		2019 F	2019 Revised		2020 Proposed	
	Full Time	Part Time		Full Time	Part Time		Full Time	Part Time
	1		ı			1	1	
Civil Engineer I	1	-		1	-		1	-
Civil Engineer II	2	-		2	-	Г	2	-
Civil Engineer III	1	-		1	-		1	-
Civil Engineer IV	2	-		2	-		2	-
Director, Development Services	1	-		1	-	Г	1	-
Electrical Inspector	4	-		4	-		4	-
Electrical Inspector Foreman	1	-		1	-		1	-
Elevator Inspector	3	-		3	-		3	-
Engineering Technician III	14	-		13	-		13	-
Engineering Technician IV	5	-		5	-		5	-
GIS Technician II	1	-		1	-	Г	1	-
GIS Technician III	1	-		1	-		1	-
Junior Administrative Officer	2	-		2	-		2	-
Manager	3	-		3	-	Г	3	-
Mechanical Inspector	4	-		4	-		4	-
Mechanical Inspector - Level 1	1	-		1	-		1	-
Mechanical Inspector Foreman	1	-		1	-	Г	1	-
Plan Review Engineer	8	-		8	-	Г	8	-
Plan Reviewer I	3	-		3	-	Г	3	-
Plan Reviewer II	2	-		2	-	Г	2	-
Plan Reviewer III	2	-		2	-	Г	2	-
Principal Accountant	1	-		1	-	Г	1	-
Structure Inspector	8	-		9	-		9	-
Structure Inspector Foreman	1	-		1	-		1	-
Position Detail as Budgeted Total	72	-		72	-		72	-

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results

### **Development Services Department**

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

Development Services works to facilitate development in accordance with municipal codes, municipal design criteria, and municipal construction standards. We protect public health through regulation of on-site water and wastewater systems. We respond to our customers seeking building, right-of-way, and land use permits or inspections or code enforcement information with open, friendly, cost efficient and effective service.

#### **Core Services**

- Enable property development through building and land use permitting;
- Ensure new construction meets municipal standards for protecting safety, public health, and environmental quality; and
- Enforce municipal codes to protect public assets such as rights-of-way and to promote clean and attractive neighborhoods.

## **Building Safety Division Development Services Department**

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

Building Safety Section accepts applications for building, land use, and private development permits; performs plan reviews for compliance with code, municipal design criteria, and municipal construction standards; issues permits; performs inspections to assure safe development; and protects public health and environmental quality through regulation of on-site water and wastewater systems.

#### **Direct Services**

- Process permit applications, provide cashier services, and issue permits;
- Verify that plans meet minimum code requirements through plan review;
- Inspect construction for compliance with plans and adopted building codes;
- Administer subdivision, improvement to public place, and development agreements in accordance with code;
- Process applications and issue permits for water and wastewater systems serving single family homes in accordance with Anchorage Municipal Code 15.55 (Water) and 15.65 (Wastewater); and
- Process certificates of on-site systems approval (COSA) for existing single family water and wastewater systems.

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

- Continue to provide excellent customer service by providing prompt and efficient permit processing, timely plan reviews, and same-day as requested construction inspection services;
- Manage the private development process effectively and efficiently;
- Ensure development-related infrastructure is designed and constructed according to municipal design criteria, standards, codes, and practices; and
- Provide on-site water and wastewater permitting, certification, training and enforcement consistent with goals of protecting public health and environmental quality.

#### **Performance Measures**

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

## <u>Measure #1:</u> Average number of minutes for first customer contact (*Permitting Mgt. Unit*)

Average Number of Minutes for 1st Customer Contact								
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019					
8.11 minutes	13.14 minutes							
2,781 customers	4.353 customers							
5 employees	5 employees							
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018					
12.46 minutes	19.42 minutes	18.48 minutes	10.23 minutes					
3,501 customers	4,512 customers	4,445 customers	2,971					
4 employees	5 employees1	5 employees	5 employees					
2017 Qtr Avg	2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg					
15.74 minutes	14.22 minutes	14.25 minutes	19.20 minutes					
3,790 customers	3,955 customers	4,201 customers	4,488 customers					
4.1 employees	4.3 employees	5 employees	4 employees					
2013 Qtr Avg	2012 Qtr Avg							
22.34 minutes	19.15 minutes							
4,049 customers	3,536 customers							
4 employees	3 employees							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Q2 2018 hiring of a new employee put staffing back at 5 but new employee is undergoing training.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Q1 2017 began with 1 vacancy that increased to 2 vacancies mid-quarter. Recruitment is complete with 2 employees scheduled to begin in April.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Q3 2017, one position vacated again, reducing staffing to 4.

## <u>Measure #2:</u> Percent of first-time residential plan reviews completed within 4 business days (*Plan Review Unit*).

Percent of 1 <sup>st</sup> -Time Residential Reviews Completed within 4 Business Days								
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019					
88% in 4 days	84% in 4 days	% in 4 days	% in 4 days					
97% in 10 days	93% in 10 days	% in 10 days	% in 10 days					
226 Reviews	701 reviews	reviews	reviews					
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018					
86% in 4 days	87% in 4 days	91% in 4 days	92% in 4 days					
95% in 10 days	96% in 10 days	98% in 10 days	97% in 10 days					
188 Reviews	694 reviews	607 reviews	260 reviews					
2017	2016	2015	2014					
87% in 4 days	88% in 4 days	87% in 4 days <sup>1</sup>	86% in 4 days <sup>1</sup>					
98% in 10 days	99% in 10 days	98% in 10 days²	98% in 10 days²					
No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)	No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)	No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)	No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)					
2013	2012							
77% in 4 days <sup>1</sup>	73% in 4 days <sup>1</sup>							
94% in 10 days <sup>2</sup>								
1544 reviews <sup>3</sup>								

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Percent completed in 4 days for 2015 through 2012 is an average of the percentages reported for the first, second, and third quarters of each year. Hansen system does not timely report a 4<sup>th</sup> qtr percentage for each year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ditto, percent reported for reviews within 10 days is an average of the percentages reported for 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> qtrs for 2015-2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Total number of reviews completed equals grand total number of reviews completed for the year.

# Measure #3: Percent of construction inspections completed same day as requested (Building Inspection Unit).

Percent of Construction Inspections Completed Same Day as Requested								
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q2 2019 Q3 2019						
99.9%	99.8%	%	%					
4025 regular insp. <b>2447 earthquake insp.</b>	5502 regular insp.  133 earthquake insp.		·					
15 inspectors	15 inspectors	inspectors	inspectors					
Q1 2019 Structural inspectors continuing to work evenings & Saturdays to conduct earthquake damage assessments requested by citizens.								
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018					
99.1%	99.8%	99.8%	99.9%					
4718	5660	6284	4968 regular insp. <b>790 earthquake insp.</b>					
16 inspectors	16 inspectors 1 inspector retired 5/30	15 inspectors 1 open position	15 inspectors 1 open position					
immediately l	ackenzie earthquake oo began damage assessr and 10 hours on Satur	ment inspections, wor						
2017 Qtr Avg	2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg					
96.6%	96.3%	94%	92.8%					
5,382 inspections	5,470 inspections	6,274 inspections	6,402 inspections					
14 inspectors	14.3 inspectors	15 inspectors	14 + 2 shared use inspectors					
2013 Qtr Avg	2012 Qtr Avg							
96.4 %	96.5%							
6,091 inspections	6,215 inspections							
14 + 3 shared use inspectors	15 + 3 shared used inspectors							

New Measure #4: Code Abatement Service Requests: new requests, number resolved, remaining open cases (Code Abatement Unit)

2019 DATA								
2019 SERVICE REQUEST TYPES	Q1 New Requests	Q1 New Requests Resolved	Q2 New Requests	Q2 New Requests Resolved	Q3 New Requests	Q3 New Requests Resolved	Q4 New Requests	Q4 New Requests Resolved
Abatement General Intake	4	4	6	5				
Abandoned Buildings	7	3	15	6				
Building Open to Casual Access	4	3	4	1				
Dangerous Building	8	4	9	2				
Fire Damaged Building	8	5	7	5				
Water Damaged Building	11	3	12	9				
Illegal Fill/Excavation	0	0	2	0				
Notice of License Requirement	8	4	11	4				
Notice of Permit Requirement	22	12	62	40				
Business License Inspection	19	16	60	46				
Code Compliance Inspection	44	34	23	19				
Misc. Service Requests	24	8	34	16				
TOTAL New Service Requests	<u>159</u>		<u>245</u>					
New Service Requests Resolved	<u>96</u>		<u>153</u>					
<u>Prior Service Requests</u> Resolved	<u>113</u>		<u>182</u>					
TOTAL <u>Resolved</u> this Quarter	<u>209</u>		<u>335</u>					
TOTAL <u>OPEN</u> Service Requests Remaining at End of this Qtr	<u>451</u>		<u>446</u>					

Note that Code Abatement inspectors also performed 243 earthquake damage inspections during Q1 2019

2018 DATA								
2018 SERVICE REQUEST TYPES	Q1 New Requests	Q1 New Requests Resolved	Q2 New Requests	Q2 New Requests Resolved	Q3 New Requests	Q3 New Requests Resolved	Q4 New Requests	Q4 New Requests Resolved
Abatement General Intake	See older	measure	15	12	11	9	7	3
Abandoned Buildings	Dit	to	54	34	40	32	1	0
Building Open to Casual Access	Dit	to	9	7	3	1	6	1
Dangerous Building	Dit	to	8	2	5	1	10	5
Fire Damaged Building	Dit	to	11	8	4	4	4	4
Water Damaged Building	Dit	to	5	5	2	0	2	1
Illegal Fill/Excavation	Dit	to	9	2	4	1	1	1
Notice of License Requirement	Dit	to	45	18	21	6	5	4
Notice of Permit Requirement	Dit	to	71	28	61	41	42	11
Business License Inspection	Dit	to	19	19	30	10	29	25
Code Compliance Inspection	Dit	to	29	29	40	40	35	29
Misc. Service Requests	Dit	to	<u>43</u>	19	<u>45</u>	43	<u>18</u>	5
TOTAL New Service Requests	See older	measure	<u>318</u>		<u>266</u>		<u>160</u>	
New Service Requests Resolved				183		188		89
<u>Prior</u> Service Requests Resolved				<u>79</u>		<u>87</u>		<u>57</u>
2018 SERVICE REQUEST TYPES	Q1 New Requests	Q1 New Requests Resolved	Q2 New Requests	Q2 New Requests Resolved	Q3 New Requests	Q3 New Requests Resolved	Q4 New Requests	Q4 New Requests Resolved
TOTAL <u>Resolved</u> this				<u>262</u>		<u>275</u>		<u>146</u>
TOTAL <u>OPEN</u> Service Requests Remaining at End of this Qtr			<u>427</u>		<u>413</u>		<u>422</u>	

Older Measure's Data – Previous Measure No. 4 Code Abatement Life Safety Requests								
2017 annual	2016 annual	2015 annual	2014 annual					
22 Received 19 Responded Same Day/86%	5 Received 4 Responded Same Day/ 80%	5 Received 4 Responded Same Day/ 80%	31 Received 10 Responded Same Day/ 32.3%					
Other (Non-Life Safety) Service Requests								
2017 Qtr Avg	2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg					
182 Received 167 responded within 7 days/92% Performed 75 bldg. const. insp.	136 Received 102 Responded within 7 days/75% Performed 20 bldg. const. inspections	84 Received 54.5 Responded within 7 days/65% Performed 51 bldg. const. inspections	94 Received 72 Responded within 7 days/76.6% Performed 206 bldg. const. inspections					

## <u>Measure #5</u>: Percent of all required MOA development plan review responses provided to a customer within 15 business days of submittal (Private Development Section)

Percent of Development Review Responses Provided Within Fifteen Business Days										
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019							
63%¹	92%²									
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018							
33%³	85% <sup>4</sup>	88% <sup>5</sup>	100%							
2017 Qtr Avg	2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg							
89.3%	78.3%	89.3%	80%							
2013 Qtr Avg	2012 Qtr Avg									
100%	95%									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eight reviews in Q1 2019. Three were late: one by 1 day; one by 5 days, and one by 1 month. Responses were late due to resolving a stormwater outfall onto DNR property, a mistake on the due date within an internal distribution memo, and because the Developer placed the design review on hold while working to resolve a Scope of Work question. Most of the one month delay was due to the project having been placed on hold.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Thirteen reviews in Q2 2019. One was late due to a late landscaping review from Planning.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Six reviews in Q1 2018. Four were late: two by 1 day; one by 2 days, and one by 3 days. Responses were late due to receiving Street Maintenance's comments late and holding a design meeting to resolve drainage comments prior to submitting comments to the design engineer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Thirteen reviews in Q2 2018. Two were late by 2 days. Additional time was required to ensure comments were clear and not in conflict with other reviewers' comments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Nine reviews in Q3 2018. One review was delayed by 3 days to coordinate American Disability Act (ADA) comments with Municipal Engineer.

Measure #6: Percent of certificate of on-site approval (COSA) applications for existing wastewater (septic) systems reviewed within 3 business days (On-Site Water & Wastewater Section)

Percent of Certificate of On-Site Acceptance Applications Reviewed w/ 3 Business Days									
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019						
90%	59%	%	%						
3 staff	3 staff	staff	Staff						
88 applications	182 applications	applications	applications						
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018						
95%	98%	89%	93%						
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
115 applications	186 applications	213 applications	136 applications						
2017	2016	2015	2014						
90.3%	82.3%	61%	71% qtr avg						
3 staff	2.7 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
577 applications	614 applications	684 applications	665 applications						
2013	2012								
67% qtr avg	64% qtr avg								
3 staff	3 staff								
658 applications	582 applications								

Measure #7: Percent of private engineers' inspection reports submitted to the MOA that are reviewed and completed within 3 business days after date of submittal. (On-Site Water and Wastewater Section)

Percent of Inspection Report Reviews Completed within 3 Business Days									
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019						
42% in 3 days	53% in 3 days	% in 3 days	% in 3 days						
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
43 reviews	47 reviews	reviews	reviews						
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018						
75% in 3 days	76% in 3 days	81% in 3 days	65% in 3 days						
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
60 reviews	45 reviews	77 reviews	110 reviews						
2017	2016	2015	2014						
63.5% in 3 days Qtr Avg	11.5% in 3 days Qtr Avg	21% in 3 days Qtr Avg	29% in 3 days Qtr Avg						
3 staff	2.7 staff	2.7 staff	3 staff						
141 reviews	125 reviews	97 reviews	130 reviews						
2013	2012								
27% in 3 days Qtr Avg	30% in 3 days Qtr Avg								
3 staff	3 staff								
126 reviews	109 reviews								

<u>Measure #8:</u> Percent of on-site well and septic permit application reviews completed within 3 business days (On-Site Water and Wastewater Section)

Percent of On-Site Permit Application Reviews Completed within 3 Business Days									
Q1 2019	Q2 2019	Q3 2019	Q4 2019						
64% in 3 days	47% in 3 days	% in 3 days	% in 3 days						
3 staff	3 staff	staff	staff						
74 permits	196 permits	permits	permits						
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018						
82% in 3 days	89% in 3 days	85% in 3 days	94% in 3 days						
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
34 permits	132 permits	175 permits	98 permits						
2017	2016	2015	2014						
72% in 3 days	43.5% in 3 days	43% in 3 days	47% in 3 days Qtr Avg						
3 staff	2.7 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
376 permits	359 permits	381 permits	394 permits						
2013	2012								
54% in 3 days Qtr Avg	41% in 3 days Qtr Avg								
3 staff	3 staff								
353 permits	299 permits								

### Land Use Permitting & Enforcement Division Development Services Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

Protect the public health, safety, welfare and economic vitality by:

Protecting the travelling public and improving the quality, useful life, and safety of public infrastructure within the rights-of-way of the Municipality of Anchorage;

Improving quality of life and property values by ensuring compatible land uses through effective zoning review and enforcement of Title 21, Land Use Planning Regulations;

Providing technical expertise and assistance to the general public and development community through review of development proposals, land use and building permits and facility licenses; and

Maintaining unique addressing and street names to ensure 911 public safety and conformance with Anchorage's land use regulations.

#### **Direct Services**

#### **Right of Way Permitting & Enforcement**

- Interpret, apply and enforce Anchorage Municipal Code Title 24, Streets & Rights of Way and eight other municipal codes;
- Investigate and resolve complaints of unsafe or illegal usage of rights-of-way;
- Inspect construction projects within municipal rights-of-way:
- Review construction plans and issue right-of-way permits on a timely basis; and
- Provide critical support for community events such as Fur Rendezvous and Iditarod.

#### Land Use Enforcement

- Interpret, apply and enforce Anchorage Municipal Code Title 21, Land Use Planning and eight other municipal codes; and
- Review and inspect marijuana businesses, day care centers, animal facilities, & businesses selling alcoholic beverages for compliance with municipal land use regulations when those businesses seek new licenses or renewals.

#### <u>Addressing</u>

- Maintain the Master Street Address Guide (MSAG);
- Provide critical support to maintain the 911 address data layer to support the Anchorage Police and Fire Departments; and
- Assign addresses to new construction and work to eliminate duplicate street names.

#### **Zoning Plan Review**

- Interpret, apply and enforce Anchorage Municipal Code Title 21, Land Use Planning, by conducting zoning plan review for all land use and building permits;
- Serve as first point of contact for the public in zoning plan review and Title 21 matters: and
- Provide technical expertise for homeowners, developers, contractors and consultants during project concept and pre-application meetings.

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

- Protect the travelling public and the municipal rights-of-way, the largest single asset of the Municipality of Anchorage at +\$10 billion;
- Respond to Title 21, Land Use Planning, and Title 24, Streets & Rights of Way, code complaints within established timeframes;
- Complete final zoning inspections same day as requested; and
- Provide timely and accurate services for:
  - o Zoning Plan Review for Land Use & Building permits;
  - Business facility reviews and inspections;
  - Assignment of new addresses;
  - o Maintenance of GIS map data layers for roads and addresses; and
  - Continual effort to eliminate duplicate street names to ensure the uniqueness of each address to reduce E911 response times.

#### **Performance Measures**

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

#### **Performance Measures Definitions and Terminology**

Example: Measure #11: Percent of land use enforcement *complaints* with **investigation** initiated within one *working day* of receipt. (Land Use Enforcement)

**Complaint(s)** is defined as a request for assistance or an allegation of a use or activity not permitted by applicable Anchorage Municipal Code (AMC).

**Investigated or Investigation** is defined as the formal examination or action by the assigned enforcement agency to resolve the request for assistance and/or determine whether a violation of municipal code has occurred.

**Examples include but are not limited to:** complaint review, contact of complainant or alleged violator, issuance of relevant correspondence, site visit and the like, and, completion of the supporting data entry and documentation of evidence and results.

**Working day** is defined as a scheduled shift a code enforcement officer is working who is responsible for the type of complaint and area the complaint is filed in.

"Working day" **does not** include scheduled days off such as weekends or holidays. However "working day" **includes** scheduled vacation days as management has the responsibility to provide area coverage during those times.

<u>Measure #9:</u> Inspections of permitted construction completed to ensure installation compliance w/ MOA standards & specifications (ROW Enforcement Section)

Right of Way Construction Inspections Completed									
Month/Year	# of ROW Officers	Accomplished	YTD						
Jan 19	7	962	962						
Feb 19	7	245	1207						
Mar 19	7	243	1450						
Apr 19	7	255	1705						
May 19	7	414	2119						
Jun 19	7	678	2797						
Jul 19									
Aug 19									
Sep 19									
Oct 19									
Nov 19									
Dec 19									
Jan 18	7	1069	1069						
Feb 18	6	79	1148						
Mar 18	6	84	1232						
Apr 18	6	274	1506						
May 18	6	339	1845						
Jun 18	6	686	2531						
Jul 18	7	501	3032						
Aug 18	7	611	3643						
Sep 18	7	466	4109						
Oct 18	7	651	4760						
Nov 18	7	239	4999						
Dec 18	7	158	5157						
	Annual Totals - F	Prior Years							
2017	7	4,941							
2016	7	5,649							
2015	7	7,874							
2014	6.6	14,751							
2013	6	6,720							
2012	7	6,512							
2011	7	3,189							

Examples of inspection types are: initial, progress (there could be 4-6 or more progress inspections), final, and warranty.

<u>Measure #10:</u> Percent of all complaints of illegal uses within the rights-of-way with investigation initiated within one working day of receipt. (*Right-of-Way Enforcement Section*).

Percent of Illegal ROW Usage Complaints with Investigations initiated within One Working Day

		ilivestigat	ions initiated	WILLIIII OHE V	TOI KING D			
Month & Year	# of ROW Officers	Number of Complaints	Number Investigated within 1 Working Day	Percent Investigated within 1 Working Day	# Found to be no Violation	Cases w Violations Closed this Quarter (new cases)	Cases w Violations Closed this Qtr (pre-existing cases)	
Jan 19	7	118	118	100%	11			
Feb 19	7	179	179	100%	24	328	15	
Mar 19	7	43	43	100%	6	5_5		
Apr 19	7	64	64	100%	4			
May 19	7	74	74	100%	4	199	27	
Jun 19	7	63	63	100%	3			
Jul 19								
Aug 19								
Sep 19								
Oct 19								
Nov 19								
Dec 19								
Jan 18	7	161	161	100%	10			
Feb 18	7	304	304	100%	4	685	36	
Mar 18	7	220	220	100%	17	000		
Apr 18	7	62	62	100%	3			
May 18	7	83	83	100%	9	208	26	
Jun 18	7	68	68	100%	4	200	20	
Jul 18	7	59	59	100%	4			
Aug 18	7	72	72	100%	5	182	17	
Sep 18	7	67	67	100%	5			
Oct 18	7	105	105	100%	10			
Nov 18	7	130	130	100%	0	523	24	
Dec 18	7	323	323	100%	4			
Annual	Γotals – Pri	or Year						
2017	7	1,723	1,723	100%	129	1803	146	
2016	7	928	928	100%	57	821	93	
2015	7	887	887	100%	46	765	117	
2014	6.6	1,310	1,310	100%	119	1,491	226	
2013	6	1,848	1,864	101%*	189	1,738	279	
2012	7	2,478	2,457	99.2%	230	2,420	125	
2011 (3 qtrs)	7	1,523	1,493	98%	134	1,425	161	

<sup>\*</sup>Greater than 100%, because officers observed & investigated other violations in addition to investigating complaints received same day.

## <u>Measure #11:</u> Percent of land use enforcement complaints with investigation initiated within one working day of receipt. (Land Use Enforcement Section)

	Percent of land use enforcement complaints with investigations initiated within one working day of receipt. (Land Use Enforcement Section)									
Month/ Year	# of LUE Officers	Number of Complaints	Number Investigated within 1 Working Day	Percent Investigated within 1 Working Day	# Found to be no Violation	Cases w Violations Closed this Quarter (new cases)	Cases w Violations Closed this Qtr (pre-existing cases)			
Jan 19	7	96	96	100%	6		,			
Feb 19	6	51	51	100%	3	194	99			
Mar 19	6	107	107	100%						
Apr 19	6	110	110	100%	6					
May 19	6	155	155	100%	7	365	55			
Jun 19	6	122	122	100%	9					
Jul 19										
Aug 19 Sep 19										
Oct 19										
Nov 19										
Dec 19										
Jan 18	7	73	73	100%	3					
Feb 18	7	57	57	100%	2	225	92			
Mar 18	7	75	75	100%	1					
Apr 18	7	133	133	100%	11					
May 18	7	135	135	100%	15	296	96			
Jun 18	7	166	166	100%	9					
Jul 18	7	141	141	100%	7					
Aug 18	7	135	135	100%	8	386	77			
Sep 18	7	82	82	100%	4					
Oct 18	7	108	108	100%	10					
Nov 18	7	84	84	100%	4	170	81			
Dec 18	6	42	42	100%	0					
	Totals – Pri 7.5		1 260	100%	64	1 265	107			
2017		1,360	1,360		64	1,265	187			
2016	7.4	1,320	1,320	100%	86	1,493	408			
2015	7	1,241	1,241	100%	71	935	302			
2014	6.2	1,310	1,310	1005	119	1,396	276			
2013	5	1,538	1,529	99%	118	1,118	416			
2012	6	1,826	1,749	96%	119	1,775	330			
2011 (3 qtrs)	6	1,194	1,031	86%	182	940	512			

<sup>\*\*</sup>Six officers and one lead officer were available to perform inspections in 2018.

## <u>Measure #12:</u> Percent of final zoning inspections completed same day as requested (Land Use Enforcement Section).

2019	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Inspections Requested	14	20	27	23	55	68						
Completed Same Day	14	20	26	23	55	68						
% Completed Same Day	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%						
# of Staff	7	6	6	6	6	6						
2018	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Inspections Requested	24	20	13	22	22	58	92	150	85	61	31	17
Completed Same Day	24	20	13	22	22	58	92	150	85	61	31	17
% Completed Same Day	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
# of Staff	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7

Yearly	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Inspections						
Requested	601	673	1165	531	773	428
Completed						
Same Day	601	673	1164	526	772	426
% Completed						
Same Day	100%	100%	100%	99.1%	99.9%	99.5%
# of Staff	7.5	7.4	6.5	6.2	5	7

### PVR Measure WC: Managing Workers' Compensation Claims

Reducing job-related injuries is a priority for the Administration by ensuring safe work conditions and safe practices. By instilling safe work practices, we ensure not only the safety of our employees but reduce the potential for injuries and property damage to the public. The Municipality is self-insured and every injury poses a financial burden on the public and the injured worker's family. It just makes good sense to WORK SAFE.

Results are tracked by monitoring monthly reports issued by the Risk Management Division.

