# FISCAL TRENDS REPORT 1984 - 1990 (UPDATE)

### INTRODUCTION

Since the Fiscal Trends Report was prepared in September 1984 and published in the 1985 proposed general government operating budget, a number of factors and assumptions affecting the expenditure and revenue projections have changed. This report identifies those changes, compares the original fiscal trends data with the updated data, and presents an analysis of the impact on per capita costs and revenue shortfall.

### SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The following events, assumptions, or calculations have occurred which affect expenditure and revenue projections:

- The approved 1985 general government operating budget is \$4.2 million more than originally proposed.
- 2. Capital projects additions and changes in the approved 1985 capital improvement budget resulted in higher operating and maintenance costs than originally proposed.
- 3. The anticipated rate of inflation has been adjusted from 5% to 4% for expenditure, revenue, and tax limitation calculations.
- 4. The Assembly provided an interpretation of the method used to calculate the tax limit.
- 5. Federal revenue sharing is projected to decrease \$0.6 million from 1985 to 1986.
- 6. State municipal assistance revenue is projected to increase \$1.2 million from 1985 to 1986.
- 7. The Assembly tentatively set a property tax ceiling of \$74.3 million for the approved 1985 general government operating budget. This is \$1.9 million below the allowed property tax limit. This lower ceiling has an impact on future years by lowering the base for tax limit computations.

The impact of all these changes is that the revenue shortfall originally projected for 1986 has increased from \$4.3 million to \$6.0 million. Also, there is now a revenue shortfall of \$1.9 million projected for 1987.

Options for funding the projected revenue shortfall which could be explored are:

- Seek full funding of state-shared road maintenance and medical care facilities revenues;
- Increase or establish new user fees;
- Seek state support to compensate for federal revenue shortfall;
- 4. Seek voter approval of new services;
- 5. Seek new sources of revenues;
- 6. Seek alternative sponsors for services provided;
- 7. Reduce the level of future capital improvement projects which have operating and maintenance costs.

Options 1 through 4 are discussed in detail in section III of the original Fiscal Trends Report. Options 5 through 7 are included here as additional alternatives. Combinations of funding options are possible and in some cases are required to meet the revenue shortfall.

### DATA

The series of charts on the following pages compares the data on which the original Fiscal Trends Report was based to the data on which this updated report is based.

#### CONCLUSION

The challenge to meet the service and capital improvement needs for an expanding population and commerce can be met through continued application of creative and balanced fiscal policies. Decisions concerning current fiscal policies need to consider future impact.

### ORIGINAL DATA GENERAL GOVERNMENT (Including 1985 CIB)

## EXPENDITURES (\$ Millions)

Budgeted/Projected					<del></del>		··· <u></u>
Expenditures	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Operating Costs	153.0	163.9	171.9	180.6	189.8	199.4	209.6
Debt Service							
Existing	18.6	19.2	18.8	18.3	17.8	17.4	16.1
1985 CIB		.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Capital O & M							
Currently Funded			8.8	9.6	11.7	12.3	12.9
1985 CIB			.7	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.2
Total Expenditures	171.6	183.9	202.0	212.1	223.0	232.9	242.6
Total Expenditures Adjusted to 1984							
Base Year Dollars	171.6	176.1	185.1	186.0	186.9	186.6	185.6
DORG TEGI DOLLGES	7 / I B U	1/001	(0)4(	100.0	100.7	100.0	107.0

UPDATED DATA GENERAL GOVERNMENT (Including 1985 CIB)

EXPENDITURES
(\$ Millions)

Budgeted/Projected			·····			<del> </del>	<del>"</del>
Expenditures	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Operating Costs	153.0	167.1	173.5	180.7	187.9	195.7	203.7
Debt Service							
Existing	18.6	19.8	18.8	18.3	17.8	17.4	16.1
1985 CIB			1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Capital O & M							
Currently Funded			8.6	9.3	11.3	11.7	12.2
1985 CIB			9	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5
Total Expenditures	171.6	186.9	203.6	212.3	221.1	229.0	236.3
Total Expenditures							
Adjusted to 1984	4774	470.0			100.0		
Base Year Dollars	171.6	178.9	188.2	189.3	190.2	190.0	188.9

Note: The 1985 CIB debt service of 0.8 million is not included in the updated data because the expense is not part of the 1985 approved general government operating budget.

# ORIGINAL DATA GENERAL GOVERNMENT PER CAPITA EXPENDITURES (Including 1985 CIB)

## EXPENDITURES (Dollars Per Capita)

Expenditures	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Operating Budget	627	651	674	688	699	710	721
Capital Related							
Debt Service Existing 1985 CIB	76	76 3	74 7	70 7	66 6	62 6	56 6
Capital O & M Currently Funded 1985 CIB			35 2	37 6	43 	44 	44 8
Total Expenditures	703	730	792	808	821	829	835
Total Expenditures Adjusted to 1984	707		707	700	<b></b>		<i>-</i> "
Base Year Dollars	703	699	726	708	688	664	639

# UPDATED DATA GENERAL GOVERNMENT PER CAPITA EXPENDITURES (Including 1985 CIB)

## EXPENDITURES (Dollars Per Capita)

Expenditures	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Operating Budget	627	663	680	689	692	697	701
Capital Related							
Debt Service Existing 1985 CIB	76	79	74 7	70 7	66 6	62 6	56 6
Capital O & M Currently Funded 1985 CIB	general de la constanta de la	-	34 4	35 <u>8</u>	42 8	42 9	42 9
Total Expenditures	703	742	799	809	814	816	814
Total Expenditures Adjusted to 1984 Base Year Dollars	703	710	739	722	700	677	651

Note: The \$3 per capita expenditure for 1985 C1B debt service is not included in the updated data because the expense is not yet included in the 1985 approved general government operating budget.

ORIGINAL DATA

# REVENUES (\$ Millions)

Budgeted/Projected	1004	1005		4007		******	
Revenues	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Federal	15.7	11.2	11.2	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3
State	54.4	60.2	60.3	60.4	60.6	60.7	60.9
Locally Generated and Fund Balance	38.6	37.5	38.4	38.0	37.5	38.5	39.9
Property Taxes	62.9	75.0	87.8	102.4	113.6	122.4	130.5
Total Revenues Projected	171.6	183.9	197.7	212.1	223.0	232.9	242.6
Total Revenues Required	171.6	183.9	202.0	212.1	223.0	232.9	242.6
Revenue Shortfall	0	0	4.3	0	0	0	0

### UPDATED DATA

# REVENUES (\$1 Millions)

Budgeted/Projected Revenues	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Federal	15.7	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.7	10.7	10.7
State	54.4	60.3	61.6	61.7	61.8	62.1	62.3
Locally Generated and Fund Balance	38.6	41.1	38.9	38.5	38.0	38.9	40.4
Property Taxes	62.9	74.3	86.5	99.6	110.6	117.3	122.9
Total Revenues Projected	171.6	186.9	197.6	210.4	221.1	229.0	236.3
Total Revenues Required	171.6	186.9	203.6	212.3	221.1	229.0	236.3
Revenue Shortfall	0	0	6.0	1.9	0	0	0

ORIGINAL DATA
REVENUES
(Dollars Per Capita)

Revenues	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Federal/State	287	283	280	273	265	256	249
Locally Generated and Fund Balance	158	149	151	145	138	137	137
Property Tax	<u>258</u>	298	344	<u>390</u>	418	436	449
Total Revenues Projected	703	730	775	808	821	829	835
Total Revenues Required	703	730	792	808	821	829	835
Revenue Shortfall	0	0	(17)	0	0	0	0

UPDATED DATA REVENUES (Dollars Per Capita)

Revenues	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
Federal/State	287	284	283	276	267	259	251
Locally Generated and Fund Balance	158	163	153	147	140	139	139
Property Tax	258	295	<u>339</u>	<u>380</u>	407	418	424
Total Revenues Projected	703	742	775	803	814	816	814
Total Revenues Required	703	742	799	809	814	816	814
Revenue Shortfall	00	0	(24)	(6)	0	0	0

### ORIGINAL DATA

UPDATED (	ATAC
-----------	------

<del></del>	T	
CONS <u>Year</u>	UMER PRICE INDEXES CPI \$ Change	<u> </u>
FY84	275.5(1)	
FY85	5.00 285.1(2)	
FY86	5 <b>.</b> 00	
FY87	5.00 314.3	
FY88	5.00 330.0	
FY89	5.00 346.5	
FY90	5.00 363.8	
F190	202.0	
_	uary 1984 CPI for horage	
inf	ed on January 1984 CF lated by 5% each year reafter	

r					
CONS <u>Year</u>	SUMER PRICE INDEXES  CPI				
FY84	275.5(1)				
FY85	285.1(2)				
FY86	4.00 296.5				
FY87	4.00 308.4				
FY88	4.00 320.7				
FY89	4.00 333.5				
FY90	4.00 346.8				
(1) January 1984 CPI for Anchorage					
inf	ed on January 1984 CPI lated by 4% each year reafter				

## ORIGINAL DATA

## UPDATED DATA

AREAWIDE ASSESSED VALUATION \$ Millions					
<u>Year</u>	Valuation				
FY84	12,095				
FY85	14,256				
FY86	16,374				
FY87	17,405				
FY88	18,900				
FY89	20,560				
FY90	22,481				

AREAWIDE ASSESSED VALUATION \$ MILLIONS	
<u>Year</u>	Valuation
FY84	12,095
FY85	14,546
FY86	16,707
FY87	17,759
FY88	19,284
FY89	20,978
FY90	22,938