CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM SUMMARY

Page <u>123</u>

(1) Department Public Works

(2) Division Solid Waste-Collection & Disposal

(2) Division Solid V						OILU Wa	aste-Col	.lection	ı & Disp	osal				
	PROJECT (3)	TOTAL PROJECT			UNDED (IN	THOUSAN	IDS) (5)		(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
ı	TITLE(S)	COST (4)	G/O BONDS	REVENUE BONDS	FEDERAL	STATE	OTHER *		19.77	19_78	19_79	19.80	19.81_	19.82
<u>V</u> i	RC-1 Refuse Truck Warm Storage Building	294.0	294.0							294.0				
	RC-2 Collection Equipment Replacement	2,071.0				2	,071.0		387.0	315.5	302.0	356.5	358.0	352.0
	RD-1 Disposal Equipment Replacement	745.0					745.0		170.0	142.5	115.0	135.0	15.0	167.5
	RD-2 New Disposal Site-Anchorage Solid Waste Disposal Area	4,250.5	4,250.5			,					4	,000.0	250.5	
j,	RD-4 Turnagain Arm Rural Debris Box Syste	n 65.5	65.5						65.5					
												de en		
	TOT 1. /40)													
ŀ	TOTAL (12)	7,426.0	4,610.0				2,816.q		622.5	752.0	417.0	4,491.5	623.5	519.5
ļ	* OTHER SOURCE OF	FUNDS (13)		FUNDING (14)						V		-		
General Obligation Bonds Revenue Bonds Federal State Other Retained Earnings								65.5 557.0	294.0		4,000.0			
											417.0	491.5	Ì	519.5
į.,		Company of the control of the contro	14 111 		TOTAL				622.5	752.0	417.0	4,491.5	623.5	519.5

1) Department and Division: Public World	ks-Solid Waste-C	Collection	(2) Projec	t Title: Refuse	e Truck Warı	n Storage R	uilding (RC	rage <u>124</u>
The state of the s	j		New			d Requirements in		<u></u>
Estimated Cost	Estimated Total Cost (3)	Approp. Prior Years (4)	Appropriation 19(5)	19 <u>78</u> (6)	19 <u>79</u>	19 80	1981	19 <u>82</u> (10)
(11) Equipment (Moveable) (12) Land (13) Buildings (14) Other Improvements (15) Overhead TOTAL	294.0	-0-		294.0				
(16) Source of Funds Bondo — Granta — * Operational	294.0			294.0				
TOTAL								
(17) Gross Floor Area 10,500 (18) Building Cost Per Sq. Ft. S 25.00 (18) Project Status in planning	Sq. Ft.	(21 (22	Architectural as Percent of Buil Estimated Start Estimated Com	ding Cost 12 Data · A	% pril, 1978			
(24) Project Description and Justification (Con 70'x150' metal, warm storage betrucks as hydraulic systems in lines freeze up creating excess shredding plant.	uilding with ce trucks necessi	ment floor tate warm s	torage in w	inter mont	hs. Withou	it warm stoi	cade, hydra	ulic

Page 125

(1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste

(2) Project Title: Refuse Truck Warm Storage Building

/		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NC I G J C	HUCK Warm	Scorage ba		
	Estimated	Арргор.	New		Estimated	Requirements in	Thousands	
Estimeted Cost	Total Cost (3)	Prior Years (4)	Appropriation 19 <u>77</u> (5)	19 <u>78</u> (6)	19 <u>79</u> - (7)	19 <u>80</u> (8)	19 <u>81</u> (9)	19 <u>82</u> (10)
(11) Wan Months								
(12) Personal Services (13) Maintanance (14) Operations TOTAL	8.0	-0-	-0-	-0-	2.0	2.0	2.0	2 . 0
(15) Source of Funds								
Fragrem Revenues Tex Revenues								
TOTAL								The party of the state of the s
(16) Program(s) Affected								
Building Maintenance Section	8.0	-0-	-0-	-0-	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
TOTAL		·						

(17) Explanation and Assumptions:

Maintenance on warm storage shed would cover door(s), heaters, lights and clean out of drains.

Page 126

(2) Project Title: Collection Equipment Replacement (RC-2) (1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste-Collection Estimated Requirements in Thousands New Appropriation Estimated Approp. 19__78 19 79 1980 1981 19.82 19 77 **Total Cost** Prior Years **Estimated Cost** (7) (8)(9) (10) (6) (4) (5) (3) 245.5 232.0 286.5 288.0 282.0 282.0 (11) Equipment (Moveable) 1,616.0 (12) Land (13) Buildings 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0 (14) Other Improvements 455.0 105.0 70.0 (15) Gverhead 302.0 352.0 387.0 315.5 356.5 358.0 TOTAL 2.071.0 (16) Source of Funds Bonds - ____ Grants -- Operational 352.0 315.5 302.0 356.5 358.0 387.0 2,071.0 Retained Earnings TOTAL 352.0 2,071.0 302.0 356.5 358.0 387.0 315.5 (20) Architectural and Engineering Fees: N/A (17) Gross Floor Area N/A Sq. Ft. (21) Percent of Building Cost N/A N/A (18) Building Cost Per Sa. Ft. \$ (22) Estimated Start Date __ Jan. 1977 N/A(19) Project Status (23) Estimated Completion Date Dec. 1982

(24) Project Description and Justification (Continue on Additional Sheets. Same Size) (See attached for description)

Justification: In the past, large numbers of refuse collection vehicles were replaced in one single year. For example in 1971 10 new vehicles were purchased. This procedure results in extremely high maintenance and repair costs in the latter depreciation years, as well as decreased collection efficiencies due to excessive downcime. In an attempt to correct this, an equalized replacement schedule for the refuse collection equipment has been prepared that should normalize costs and efficiencies. From the existing inventory, one additional residential route and one additional commercial route will be required in 1977 due to the increased population. This will result in 6 commercial routes collected 6 days a week with 2 spare trucks; 8 residential routes collected 5 days a week with 2 spare trucks and assisted by 6 satellite vehicles.

REFUSE COLLECTION CIP DESCRIPTION

1977	Moveable Equipment	
	2 31 yd. Front-loading refuse trucks to replace # 801 & 802 3 25 yd. Rear-loading refuse trucks to replace # 818, 826 & 827 2 1/2 T. Pickups with dumps to replace #2115 & 2116	\$ 120,000 150,000 12,000 \$ 282,000
	Other Improvements	M
	300 Refuse Containers	105,000 \$ 387,000
1978	Moveable Equipment	
	2 31 yd Front-loading refuse trucks to replace #804 & 805 2 25 yd Rear-loading refuse trucks to replace # 821 & 822 3 1/2 T. Pickups with dumps to replace satellite vehicles # 2110,2111, & 2112 1 1/2 T. Pickup with radio and plow to replace vehicle # 2602	\$ 120,000 100,000 18,000 7,500 \$ 245,500
*	Other Improvements	
	200 Refuse Containers	70,000 \$ 315,500
1979	Moveable Equipment	
	2 31 yd. Front-loading refuse trucks to replace # 806 & 807 2 25 yd. Rear-loading refuse trucks to replace # 823 & 828 2 1/2 T. Pickups with dumps to replace satellite vehicles # 2113 & 2114	\$ 120,000 100,000 12,000 \$ 232,000
	Other Improvements	
	200 Refuse Containers	70,000 \$ 302,000

1980	Moveable Equipment		
	2 31 yd. Front-loading refuse trucks to replace # 800 & 803 3 25 yd. Rear-loading refuse trucks to replace # 817, 819, & 825 2 1/2 T. Pickups with dumps to replace # 2115 & 2116 replacements 1 Sedan to replace # 1766		\$ 120,000 150,000 12,000 4,500 \$ 286,500
	Other Improvements	and the second	
	200 Refuse Containers		70,000 \$ 356,000
1981	Moveable Equipment		÷
	2 31 yd. Front-loading refuse trucks to replace # 801 & 802 replacement 3 25 yd. Rear-loading refuse trucks to replace # 826 & 827 replacements 3 1/2 T. Pickups with dumps to replace # 2110, 2111, & 2112 replacement		\$ 120,000 150,000 18,000 \$ 288,000
	Other Improvements		
	200 Refuse Containers		\$ 70,000 \$ 358,000
1982	Moveable Equipment		14
	2 31 yd. Front-loading refuse trucks to replace # 804 & 805 replacements 25 yd. Rear-loading refuse trucks to replace # 821 & 822 replacements 1/2 T. Pickups with dumps to replace # 2113 & 2114 replacements		\$ 120,000 150,000 12,000 \$ 282,000
	Other Improvements		
	200 Refuse Containers		\$ 70,000 \$ 352,000

EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT FORECAST REFUSE COLLECTION/ROLLING STOCK

VEHICLE #	DESCRIPTION					YEAR TO	BE REPLA	CED			
FRONT-LOAD			1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983 ·	1984
0800 0801 0802 0803 0804 0805 0806 0807	1964 Ford Dempster 24 yd. 1971 Int'l Dempster 31 yd. 1971 Int'l Dempster 31 yd. 1971 Int'l Dempster 31 yd. 1972 Int'l Dempster 31 yd. 1975 Kenworth Colby 31 yd. 1975 Kenworth Colby 31 yd. 1975 Kenworth Colby 31 yd.	FOTAL.	NEW * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * * NEW NEW *	* * * * * * NEW	NEW * NEW *	* NEW NEW * *	* * * NEW NEW *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	NEW * NEW * *
REAR-LOAD		OTAL.	0	8	. 8	8	8	8	8	8	8
0816 0817 0818 0819 0820 0321 0822 0823 0825 0825 0826 0827 0828		OTAL	DROP NEW * NEW * * NEW * 11	X * NEW * DROP * * * NEW NEW *	X * * X NEW NEW * *	X * * X * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	X NEW * * * * * * * *	X * NEW * * NEW NEW *	X * X NEW NEW * *	X * * X * * NEW * *	X NEW * NEW X * * NEW * *
2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116	1972 Toyota 1/2 T. Pickup 1972 Toyota 1/2 T. Pickup 1972 Toyota 1/2 T. Pickup w/Dump 1973 Toyota 1/2 T. Pickup w/Dump	OTAL	DROP NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW *	X * * * * NEW NEW	X NEW NEW NEW * *	X * * * NEW NEW *	X * * * * NEW NEW	X NEW NEW NEW * *	X * * NEW NEW *	X * * * * * NEW NEW 7	X NEW NEW * * *
OTHER VEHICLES											
1766 2602	1975 Toyota Sedan 1972 Ford 1/2 T. 4x4 Pickup		*	*	*	*	NEW	*	*	*	*
	w/snowplow		*	*	NEW	*	*	*	*	NEW	*

Page 130

(1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste

(2) Project Title: Collection Equipment Replacement

	Estimated	Approp.	New -		Estimated	Requirements in	Thousands	
Estimated Cost	Total Cost (3)	Prior Years (4)	Appropriation 19 <u>77</u> (5)	19 <u>78</u> (6)	19 <u>79</u> (7)	19 <u>80</u> (8)	19 <u>81</u> (9)	19 <u>82</u> (10)
(11) Man Months			48			48		
(12) Personal Services (13) Maintenance (14) Operations TOTAL	987.3 270.0 90.0 1,347.3	-0- -0- -0- -0-	109.7 15.0 10.0 134.7	109.7 15.0 10.0 134.7	109.7 15.0 10.0 134.7	219.4 30.0 20.0 269.4	210.4 30.0 20.0 269.4	219.4 30.0 20.0 269.4
(15) Source of Funds								
Program Revenues - Refuse Fees Tax Revenues	1,347.3		134.7	134.7	134.7	269.4	269.4	269.4
TOTAL	,							
(16) Program(s) Affected								
Refuse Collection Section Equipment & Supply	1,077.3 270.0	-0-	119.7 15.0	119.7 15.0	119.7 15.0	239.4 30.0	239.4	239.4 30.0
TOTAL	1,347.3	-0-	134.7	134.7	1.34.7	269.4	269.4	269.4

(17) Explanation and Assumptions:

1977 - Expansion of one additional commercial route= 1 Heavy Equipment Operator @ 11.35 hr. plus 30% fringe \$ 30,700

7,500 1 Truck Maintenance & Operation 10,000

1 Truck Depreciation

Expansion of one additional residential route 1 Loadpacker Operator @ 10.66 hr. plus 30% fringe

2 Swampers @ 9.28 hr. plus 30% fringe

1 Truck Maintenance & Operation

50,200 7,500

28,800

1 Truck Depreciation

10,000

(18) Comments

1980 - Same as 1977 above due to increased population.

Page 131

	_		New		Estimated	Requirements in	Thousands	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Estimated Cost	Estimated Total Cost (3)	Approp. Prior Years (4)	Appropriation 1977_ (5)	19 <u>78</u> (6)	19 <u>79</u> (7)	19 <u>80</u> (8)	19 <u>81</u> (9)	19 <u>82</u> (10)
 (11) Equipment (Moveable) (12) Land (13) Buildings (14) Other Improvements (15) Overhead TOTAL 	745.0 745.0	-0	170.0	142.5 142.5	115.0	135.0	15.0	167.5 167.5
(16) Source of Funds								
Bonds — Grants — Operational								
Retained Earnings	745.0		170.0	142.5	115.0	135.0	15.0	167.5
TOTAL	745.0		170.0	142.5	115.0	135.0	15.0	167.5
(18) Building Cost Per Sq. Ft. \$ N/A (19) Project Status N/A		(22) Percent of Build Estimated Start Estimated Comp	Date N/	A			***************************************
(24) Project Description and Justification (Conti								
Replacement of existing equipmen 1977 Replace existing 1971 D- Acquire additional light 1978 Replace existing 1970 Co Replace existing 1974 4x 1979 Replace existing 1975 D- 1980 Replace existing 1975 Co 1981 Replace 1974 Light Plant Replace 1976 1/2 T. Pick 1982 Replace 1977 D-8 Dozer Replace 1978 3/4 T. Pick	7 Dozer, #0804 plant mpactor, #0843 4 3/4 T. Picku 7 Dozer, #0105 mpactor, #0842 4, #4004	, with a D y y y y y y y y y y y y y	-8 Dozer eq	ection: uipped wit	i ROPS cab,	ripper bl	ade	\$160,000 10,000 135,000 7,500 115,000 10,000 160,000 7,500

医感觉性 经净层 电流压力

EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT REFUSE DISPOSAL ANCHORAGE

VEHICLE #	DESCRIPTION .	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	NOTES
1.	DOZERS				4.				
0105 0804	1975 Fiat Allis Dozer (F. Borough) 1971 Cat. D-7 Bulldozer	*	* NEW	· *	NEW *	*	*	* New	\$115,000 Replace with D-8 Dezer, push blade & ripper \$160,000
`-	COMPACTORS			•	•			:	en e
0841 0842 0843	1966 FWD Wagner SF-17 1975 Buffalo K-301 1970 Caterpillar (F. Borough)	* *	DROP *	* NEW	*	NEW *	*	*	\$135,000
	MISCELLANEOUS					v			
4004 2711	1974 Onan Light Plant 1977 Light Plant	*	* NEW	*	*	*	NEW *	*	\$ 10,000
2056	1974 GMC 3/4 T. 4x4 Pickup w/plow (F. Borough) 1971 Ford Mayerick Sedan (F. Borough)	* NEW	* *	NEW *	*	*	* New	NEM *	\$ 7,500 Replace with 1/2 T.
		•	\$170,000	\$142,500	\$115,000	\$135,000	\$ 15,000	\$167,500	Pickup \$ 5,000

Page __133

The parament and Unision: PUDITC WORK	s-Solid Waste	-	(2) Project	Title: Refuse	Disposal E	quipment Re	placement	
	Estimated	Approp.	New	Ì		Requirements in		
Estimated Cost	Total Cost (2)	Frior Years (4)	Appropriation 19 77 (5)	19 78	19 <u>79</u> - (7)	19 <u>80</u> (8)	19 <u>81</u> (9)	19 <u>82 -</u> (10)
(11) Man Months					<u> </u>			
(12) Parsonal Services (13) Maintenance (14) Operations TOTAL								
(15) Source of Funds		***************************************	·					
Program Revenues Tax Revenues								
TOTAL								
(16) Fregram(s) Affactad				•				
TOTAL								
/17\ F1		-	<u> </u>	!	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

(17) Explanation and Assumptions:

As the equipment replacement project reflects no increase in additional equipment to operate the metropolitan landfill and because milled refuse will be easier to handle, there should be no impact upon the operational budget.

Page <u>134</u>

(1) Department and Division: Public Works - Solid Waste (2) Project Title: New Disposal Site- Anchorage Disposal Area (RD-2) Estimated Requirements in Thousands New Approp. Appropriation | Estimated 19_78 19 80 1982 19_77_ 19 79 19 81 Total Cost Prior Years **Estimated Cost** (5) (6)(7) (8) (9) (10) (3) (11) Equipment (Moveable) (12) Land 4,000.0 4,000.0 (13) Buildings 80.5 80.5 (14) Other Improvements 170.0 170.0 (15) Overhead TOTAL 4,250.0 4,000.0 250.0 (16) Source of Funds 4,250.0 Bonds - General Obligation 4,000.0 250.0 Grants - Operational 4,250.0 TOTAL 4.000.0 250.0 (17) Gross Floor Area <u>2800</u> Sa. Ft. (20) Architectural and Engineering Fees: 10.500 (21) Percent of Building Cost 15 % (18) Building Cost Per Sq. Ft. \$ 25.00 (22) Estimated Start Date Jan. 1980 (19) Project Status Initial Planning (23) Estimated Completion Date Sept. 1981

(24) Project Description and Justification (Continue on Additional Sheets. Same Size) (See attached for description)

JUSTIFICATION: In 1976 with the closing of the International landfill and the direction of all solid wastes generated within the bowl area to the 15th Avenue landfill, the latter site will be completed by the fall of 1981. At that time a new disposal site will be required to accept the area's solid wastes. For planning purposes, 7,850 acre-feet of land will be required to dispose of the milled wastes generated within the Anchorage Solid Waste Disposal Service Area between the years 1980 and 2000 based on a projected population of 316,000 in the year 2000 and a per capita daily waste gneeration rate of 6.96 pounds.

NEW DISPOSAL SITE ANCHORAGE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL

LAN	D
-----	---

	7,850 acre-feet of suitable land to accept the solid wastes generated between the years 1980 and 2000.	\$ 4,000,000
BUILDING	<u>s</u> S	
	35'x80' equipment warm storage building to house four (4) pieces of landfill equipment. Constructed @ \$25 per sq. ft. plus 12% design cost.	80,500
OTHER IM	PROVEMENTS	
	Access Road: Construct approximately 1/4 mile of access road. Assuming three (3) foot of fill and a seal coat surface is applied, the construction cost is estimated to \$75 per linear foot.	100,000
	Fencing: Control fencing to be placed around a portion of the site. 2,000 feet @ \$10 per linear foot.	20,000
	Miscellaneous Contingencies: Including initial soils investigation, surveys, site design and utility installation.	50,000 \$ 4,250,000

Page 136

(1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste

(2) Project Title: New Disposal Site-Anchorage Disposal Area

	Estimated	Approp.	New	Estimated Requirements in Thousands					
Estimated Cost	Total Cost (3)	Prior Yeers (4)	Appropriation 19(5)	19	19	19	19	19 <u> </u>	
(11) Man Months									
(12) Personal Services (13) Maintenance (14) Operations TOTAL				٠					
(15) Source of Funds									
Program Revenues Tax Revenues									
TOTAL							į.		
(16) Program(s) Affected	•								
				•			Primarie ver revisibility	-	
TOTAL	· Professional and a second								

(17) Explanation and Assumptions:

Because the new disposal site will not result in any charge over the existing disposal operation, other than the change in location, there will be no impact upon the operational budget.

Page 137 (1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste (2) Froject Title: Turnagain Arm Rural Debris Box System (RD-4)New Estimated Requirements in Thousands Estimated Approp. Approgriation 19_77 19 78 19 79 19 80 1982 Total Cost Prior Years 1981 Estimated Cost (3) (4) (5) (7) (8) (9) (10)(11) Equipment (Mayeeble) 60.0 45.5 (12) Land (13) Buildings 20.0 (14) Other Improvements 20.0 (15) Overhead TOTAL 80.0 65.5 (18) Source of Funds Bards - General Obligation 65.5 65.5 Grants -- ____ • Operational TOTAL 65.5 65.5 (17) Gross Ficor Area Sq. Ft. (20) Architectural and Engineering Fees: (18) Building Cost Per Sq. Ft. \$_____ (21) Percent of Building Cost________% (18) Project Status (22) Estimated Start Data . (23) Estimated Completion Date

(24) Project Description and Justification (Continue on Additional Sheets. Same Size) (See attached for description)

JUSTIFICATION: With the closures of the former Bird Creek and the City of Girdwood solid waste dumping sites, and the projected increase in quantities being generated, major improvements in the solid waste services will be required, as the container type of service now offered is not adequate to meet the area's needs. The analysis of various alternatives in the Solid Waste Management Plan indicated that a rural drop box system utilizing 40-cubic yard containers located in the Girdwood and Bird Creek areas was the most economical and would provide the best type of service. It is now possible that this type of project could be funded thru a Community Block Development Grant. This alternative will certainly be pursued.

TURNAGAIN ARM RURAL DEBRIS BOX COLLECTION SYSTEM

EQUIPMENT required for the solid waste service consists of a hydraulic operated tilt-frame mounted on a truck chasis (\$35,000) and initially three (3) 40-cubic yard containers @ \$3,500 each.	\$ 45,500
LAND requirements for the system would be two (2) one-acre sites located in the Bird Creek and Girdwood/Alyeska areas. It is thought that public lands could be used for the sites, therefore no expenditures are now projected.	-0-
OTHER IMPROVEMENTS contains expenditures for the preparation of the container locations and includes expenditures for a ramped approach with a retaining wall, fencing and paving.	20,000 \$ 65,500

Page 139

(1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste

(2) Project Title: Turnagain Arm Rural Debris Box System

**1	Estimated	Approp.	New -		Estimated	Requirements in	Thousands	
Estimated Cost	Total Cost (3)	Prior Years (4)	Appropriation 19_77 (5)	19 <u>78</u> (6)	19 <u>79</u> - (7)	19 <u>80</u> (8)	19 <u>81</u> (9)	19 <u>.82</u> (10)
(11) Man Months			4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
(12) Personal Services (13) Maintenance (14) Operations TOTAL			11.5 5.0 13.6 30.1	11.5 5.0 13.6 30.1	11.5 5.0 13.6 30.1	11.5 5.0 13.6 30.1	11.5 5.0 13.6 30.1	11.5 5.0 13.6 30.1
(15) Source of Funds								
Program Revenues Tax Revenues Ad Valorum	-		30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1
TOTAL			30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1
(16) Program(s) Affected								
Turnagain Arm Service Area			30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1
TOTAL			30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1	30.1

(17) Explanation and Assumptions: For this type of service it is assummed three (3) 40-cubic yard containers would be required to service the Turnagain Arm community twice weekly each with a travel time of 2.5 hours each to Girdwood and 1.75 hours to Bird Creek or about 15 hours per week. Salary Costs = 15 hrs./wk x 11.35 + 30% fringe/hr. = \$11,500/yr.

Maintenance & operational costs on the equipment is assummed to be \$5,000/yr. Operational cost should include only disposal fees (\$4,500/yr.) and equipment depreciation (\$9,100/yr.). At the present time it is impractical to project future costs without known refuse quantities.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM SUMMARY

Page 140

(1) Department Public Works

(2) Division Solid Waste- Eagle River Facility

(3)	TOTAL		TO BE F	UNDED (IN	THOUSAN	DS) (5)		(6)	(7)	 	(10)	(11)
PROJECT TITLE(S)	PROJECT COST (4)	G/O BONDS	REVENUE					19_77		19_80	19_81	1982
	0001 (4)	RONDS	BOMOS	FEDERAL	SIAIE	OTHER *				 '	.0	10
RD-3 New Disposal Eagle River Facility	287.0	287.0						287.0	·			
											·	
		:										
TOTAL (12)		287.0						287.0				
* OTHER SOURCE OF	FUNDS (13)	·	FUNDI	NG (14)								
		General Obligation Bonds Revenue Bonds Federal State Other			287.0							
				TOTAL				287.0				

(1) Departme	at and Division:	Public	Works.	hilo2-	Waste
--------------	------------------	--------	--------	--------	-------

(2) Project Title: New Disposal Facility-Fagle River

(RD-3)

	Estimated	Annean	New		Estimated	Requirements in	Thousands	
Estimated Cost	Total Cost (3)	Approp. Prior Years (4)	Appropriation 19_7/(5)	19 <u>78</u> (6)	19 <u>79</u> (7)	19_80	19 8]	19 82
(11) Equipment (Moveeble) (12) Lend	125.0		125.0					
(13) Buildings -	34.5		34.5					
(14) Other Improvements	127.5		127.5		†			
(15) Overhead TOYAL	287.0		287.0					,
(15) Source of Funds							1	
Ecti: _ General Obligation Grants -	287.0		287,0					
* Operations!								
TOTAL	287.0		287.0					

(17)	Bross Fleor Area	1200	Sc. Ft
(18)	Euliding Cost Fer Sc	. Ft. \$ 25.00	
(13)	Project Status In	planning	

(21) Percent of Building Cost_______ 5 %

(22) Estimated Start Date _____

(23) Estimated Completion Date_____

(24) Project Description and Justification (Continue on Additional Sheets, Same Size) (See attached for description) JUSTIFICATION: By June 1977 it will be physically impossible to continue operating the existing solid waste disposal site now located off Highland Drive as the final grades have already exceeded the desired height and cover material will no longer be available. The Solid Waste Management Plan adopted in November, 1975 recommends the new facility be located in the vicinity of the sewage treatment plant. It is assummed that no land costs will be incurred in this project as the recommended site is in Federal ownership and could be transferred to the Municipality.

NEW DISPOSAL FACILITY-EAGLE RIVER

EQUIPMENT		
	Costs are for a 55,000 pound track loader equipped with a ROPS cab and ripper	\$ 125,000
BUILDINGS		
·	Included in this cost is a $30'\times40'$ warm storage repair building to house the track loader to protect if from the weather and vandalism.	34,500
OTHER IMPROVE	<u>EMENTS</u>	
	Access Road & Utilities: It is estimated that at least a 1/4 mile access road will be needed for any new location chosen in the Eagle River area. Cost is estimated to be about \$75 per linear feet of road constructed.	100,000
	Fencing: Although a specific site still has not been chosen, for planning purposes it is estimated that about 1,250 feet of litter and access control fencing will be required.	12,500
·	Miscellaneous Contingencies: Includes cost for soils investigations, utility installation, surveys, engineering design of final grading and operational plan, and land closing costs.	15,000 \$ 287,000

(1) Department and Division: Public Works-Solid Waste (2) Project Title: New Disposal Facility-Eagle River Estimated Requirements in Thousands Estimated Approp. News = Appropriation 19<u>77</u> (5) Total Cost Prior Years 19 79 19 80 19 78 1981 19 82 Estimated Cost (3) (4)(6) - (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) Nan Mancha (12) Parsonal Services (13) Mointanance (14) Operations TOTAL (15) Source of Funds Program Rayaquas Tax Revenues TOTAL (13) Promon(s) Affected TOTAL (17) Explanation and Assumptions: There will be no impact upon the operational budget because this project merely represents a change in the facility location. (18) Comments