Administration Response To Budget Advisory Commission Questions and Requests

1. DHSS: Have there been cases where minor complaints about a child care facility have resulted in inspections that revealed major violations, or enough minor violations that the facility was a concern?

Department's answer is "yes." When the department was asked to quantify the frequency, it explained that this information is not readily available. It would require going through every file to assess what was found on inspection of a facility. In addition, there are times when staff goes into a facility expecting a major violation and only finds minor ones.

2. What other questions did Assembly members ask "off line"? Please provide the answers to the BAC as well.

All responses to the Assembly are provided to the public and are being posted on OMB's web page. Replies to questions by an individual assembly member are provided to the Budget and Finance Chair for distribution.

3. Non-profit grants: Please provide a list of previous grantees to which funding will now be decreased or eliminated.

Millions of dollars in grants are awarded each year to many non-profit organizations by multiple departments. This question needs refinement in order to answer.

4. Is it possible to get a summary from the Tax\$avers submissions? How was this information incorporated into the 2010 Budget and Six-Year Plan?

Attachment A is a summary of some of the suggestions. Many suggestions relate to policy or management changes; not all are about the budget. The Administration will continue to evaluate the suggestions as it continues to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of current services and processes.

5. Several questions were posed during the last Assembly meeting related to Quality of Life issues. What items besides "youth" will be discussed and considered for funding? Libraries, pools, parks and trails are all used by youth, and families alike.

Funding for libraries, pools, parks, and trails were discussed and are funded in the Mayor's proposed budget. As explained by Parks and Recreation Director at the October 16 work session, recreational facilities will continue to be available but may require more partnerships and involvement by the public to maintain programs

Regarding libraries, Loussac Library will continue to be open 7 days a week, but branch libraries will be reduced.

6. Regarding the \$75 Automobile and Aircraft Taxes: When and how much was the last increase? Who sets this rate? Who needs to approve rate changes?

Aircraft registration tax

The aircraft registration tax is specified in Municipal Code (AMC 12.08.060.A) which states, "The tax for registration of an aircraft under this chapter shall be \$75.00 per year for a single engine aircraft, hot air balloons and gliders, and \$125.00 per year for aircraft with two or more engines". These tax rates were established by AO 94-211 and became effective January 1, 1995. This ordinance also exempted aircraft from personal property taxes (section 3 of AO 94-211). These aircraft tax rates have not changed since they were enacted since 1995. These tax rates could be changed by an ordinance approved by the Assembly.

Automobile registration tax

The biennial motor vehicle registration tax (auto tax) depends on the type of vehicle and the age of the vehicle as listed in the table below:

Biennial Motor Vehicle Regi		ion T ichoi		ate fo	or Ve	hicle	s Re	giste	red in
			VEI	HCLI	E MO	DEL	YEA	R	
VEHICLE TYPE	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Non-Commercial Passenger , MH, PK& Cargo Vans Commercial (1 - 5,000) & Taxicabs	121	121	99	77	55	39	28	19	16
Non-Commercial Trailers & Motorcycles	17	17	15	13	10	7	5	4	4
Commercial (5,001 - 12,000) & Tour Bus	198	198	154	121	99	77	55	33	
Commercial (12,001 - 18,000)	447	447	392	348	304	260	227	205	194
Commercial (18,001 & Over)	546	546	469	403	348	304	260	216	194

These rates are specified in State of Alaska Statutes (AS 28 10 431 (b) and (l). The Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV) in the State of Alaska collects this tax for the Municipality and retains 8% of the tax as a collection fee [AS 28 10 431(e)]. It remits the remaining 92% of the auto registration tax to Municipality in monthly payments.

Based on a review of State statutes, the automobile registration tax rates for Anchorage has not changed since 1978. The State created the automobile registration tax in 1976 (SLA 1976, Chapter 256 and 28.10.255(b) (1)). This act gave each municipality the opportunity to elect to participate in this registration tax, as administered by the State. Anchorage elected to participate in this state-administered registration tax in 1976 when the Assembly passed AR 121-76. In 1978, SLA 1978 Chapter 178, Section 7 revised the motor vehicle registration tax to a biennial tax and set the rates specified in AS 28.10.431 (b).

Notably, other communities including Bethel, Juneau, Kodiak, Ketchikan, and the Mat-Su Borough *have* elected to change the motor vehicle registration tax rates applicable to vehicles within their jurisdictions based on the provisions specified in AS 28.10.431 (j). This section of the State Statute specifies, "A municipality that imposes a motor vehicle registration tax as described under (a) of this section may also increase the scheduled amount of tax described under (b) or (l) of this section by passage of an appropriate ordinance. A municipality that chooses to change the tax imposed under (b) or (l) of this section shall file a written notice of the change with the department by January 1 of the year preceding the year in which the change in tax is to take effect. A municipality may not change the amount of the tax imposed under this section more than once every two years. The department may charge a municipality a one-time fee to cover the cost to the department of implementing a change under this subsection."

Following the provisions of AS 28.10.431 (j), the Anchorage Assembly could pass an ordinance in 2009 to change the auto tax rate. The Municipality would then have to give proper written notice to DMV by January 1, 2010. Then the change in the auto tax rate would take effect on January 1, 2011. In effect, there is at least a one-year lag before a rate change could take effect.

7. Appropriation 2-2: To clarify, the Assembly sets the amount of the budget, but the Mayor can use discretion for how it is spent. For example, if the Assembly added \$75,000 for Project Access, the Mayor could take that allocation and use it for police or snow removal.

Incorrect. The Assembly appropriates money to each department; the Mayor can not move money across an appropriation. For example, if Project Access funding is appropriated to the Department of Health and Human Services, the funding can't be moved from that appropriation (department) to Police or Maintenance and Operations for snow removal.

8. Page 3-1, line 9331: Is the 2009 amount from ARRA? How was this money spent?

No, this is not from ARRA (Federal stimulus funds). Grants, such as the stimulus funds, are not included in the general government operating budget numbers.

Attachment B is a summary of Federal stimulus funding

9. Line 9356: How will the large increase be spent? Will this be an ongoing grant?

OMB thinks these "line numbers" are referring to revenue account numbers—but there is no account 9356 so unable to provide the answer.

10. Development Services: Page 6-5: What is the current process of public feedback in the development process? Consistency and knowledge is debatable.

This does not appear to be a budget question. Should the BAC be interested in the points for public input in the development process, OMB would be glad to arrange for a briefing by the departments involved.

11. Page 7-10: Have complaints decreased? Will code enforcement continue to do proactive enforcement? How many staff is actually assigned to code enforcement? Will they be available at community councils meetings again to hear local concerns?

According to the department, complaints have not decreased; similar numbers as previous years continue to come in. It has been able to decrease the number of open cases from 1400 to just under 300 over the past two years with the use of Hansen software. It will lose one Code Enforcement Officer in the 2010 budget so we predict the number of open cases will slightly rise based upon one less Officer to perform the work.

Yes, Code Enforcement officers will continue to do proactive enforcement with the proposed 2010 budget. There are 9 officers in Land Use Enforcement and 7 officers in ROW, which does not include supervisors

Yes, they all will continue to attend Community Council meetings.

12. Finance, Page 11-4: What revenue does property appraisal bring in? Why is there a 99% decline?

From 2004 to 2009, the Property Appraisal division of the Finance department was budgeted to receive approximately \$500K in revenue per year from the Building Safety Fund (181), Development Services department, causing Fund 181 to go negative. This was a policy decision by the prior administration, not something required by ordinance. The current administration chose not to continue the practice, so the revenue budget for Property Appraisal has been reduced to reflect the change in policy. This will enable Fund 181 to grow by retaining the revenue from permits.

13. AFD, Page 12-8: How can the department maintain the ISO rating, discontinue rig closures, and reduce staff by 20? Overtime?

Page 12-8 refers to the Emergency Operations division, which does not fund fire response.

The Fire Department explained at a work session that the proposed budget will not impact the community's ISO rating for insurance purposes because there is no reduction in line fire fighters and no more "rolling closures".

14. DHSS: Where in the budget is funding for enforcement of the sound ordinance? Will staff actually work after regular hours to enforce the law?

DHSS enforces most of the noise-related ordinance issues and issues noise permits. However, any noise related issues that involve vehicle noise can only be enforced by the Police Department.

DHSS does not have people on-call for noise issues, but it does investigate other types of noise complaints. When the situation warrants, it does change staff schedules to be able to investigate issues outside of normal working hours. Also, if there is a concern with certain types of permits the department often will monitor the noise on the day of the event.

15. P&R. Page 23-4: What exactly is Mayor's savings?

As Parks & Rec Director John Rodda explained in the October 16 work session, the Administration asked departments to cover labor increases and provide budget reduction scenarios of 3%, 5%, and 7% for 2010. "Mayor's savings" refers to the reductions proposed by the department that was accepted by the Mayor. The impact on services was also described at the work session as:

- Some hours of operation will change; to minimize impact, staff will be reallocated to appropriate facilities in order to deliver broader services
- Increased outreach to seek public/private partnerships and collaboration with public for marketing facilities, programs, and events
- Collaboration and team approach by park maintenance, horticulture, park development, community work service, and volunteers to deliver improved service
- Reduction of fleet vehicles and reallocation of staff to reduce travel time and fuel costs, improve service delivery, and help offset Risk Management insurance burden
- Focus will be on maintaining and improving existing facilities versus development of new projects

16. Vacancy Factor: To confirm, is this reduction only applied to salary and benefits line items? Is the vacancy factor already calculated into the 2008 Actuals, 2009 Revised and 2010 proposed budget numbers? How does vacancy factor relate to a hiring freeze?

Yes, this reduction is only taken against salary and benefit costs. The reduction is a percentage based on the expected turnover rate of employees during the upcoming fiscal year. A vacancy factor is a way to take the unanticipated savings up front in recognition that it is unlikely that all positions will be filled 100% of the time.

In 2008 and 2009 there was a vacancy factor and it is reflected in the 2008 and 2009 numbers

A hiring freeze increases savings from a vacant position by slowing or not hiring to fill a budgeted position.

17. Also, last week we requested two items:

- a Schedule of authorized by unissued bonds
- b. The actuarial report that quantified the \$100 million retirement plan shortfall.

Attachment C is the bond information (also provided in the response to the Assembly distributed at the October 16 work session),

An electronic version of the actuarial report is attached to this email.

Attachment A

Suggestions from Tax\$avers, Departments, and Others

Community Development Authority

Cancel parking lot lease by city hall

Employee Relations

Opt-Out insurance for municipal employees
Direct deposit for MOA employees
Create mechanism so Range 17 or more are not paid OT
Don't let retirees be rehired
Eliminate longevity pay
Suspend tuition reimbursement for MOA employees
Allow MOA employees to "self furlough"
Job sharing without benefits

Risk Management

Eliminate three day waiting period and injury leave for workers comp for employees

Finance

ISF for accrued leave Increase charge to ASD for managing grants Alaska Airlines Biz tickets/mileage Extend deadline for year-end closing for a week or two (avoid OT) Outsource payroll

Fire Department

Address over-response in AFD's medical dispatch
Co-locate AFD/APD dispatch at EOC--add streets?
Consolidate AFD/APD HR/payroll
Eliminate specialty teams
Reduce # vehicles responding to fire/emergency
Stop saving cats
Too many battalion chiefs, deputy chiefs with no real operational function.

Health

Eliminate IM

Stop VOIP phones

Library

Require new patrons to bring address verification so don't send out verification cards

M&O

Charge fleet for vehicles not checked out (incentive to right size)
Have Youth Court clients do graffiti clean up
Take over maintenance of ASD buildings
Coordinate better state snowplowers/sidewalk cleaners
Don't plow bike trails in winter
Parts can be turned in to supplier for a turn-in credit
Stop washing downtown streets 3 times/week
Rent heavy equipment instead of owning it
Reduce janitorial services in offices from every day

Suggestions from Tax\$avers, Departments, and Others

ML&P

Don't pay capital credits to Chugach, which keeps money for 20 years Sell space on power/transmission poles to ACS

Planning

Parks & Recreation

Don't staff Parks Foundation with MOA employees
Move parks planning function to Planning
Flowers nice--but cut back or eliminate; use perennials instead of annuals Get planners out of Parks
YEP are paid \$12 16 an hour?
Shift lights and flowers downtown to Downtown Partnership Association
Park district managers; each with assistant, programmers

PM&E

Worked on residential crosswalk cost \$30,000; why not white paint? Revisit road standards/amenities

Moratorium on landscaping on construction projects

Put lighting on ski trails on timer so off at night

Police

Eliminate take home cars
Don't let police cars idle
Take home cars
Stop traffic control for events, private organizations
Don't sit along highway at construction zones
Park surplus/empty cars along highways so slow down
Photo Radar
Co-locate police sub-stations in fire stations
Grant/admin staff get OT, dept car
Remove from schools and put on street
For non-injury accidents, offer a online report such as one for collision

Property Assessment

Require actual home sale prices to be provided to MOA tax properties such as UAA, churches, non-profits with same exemption as seniors

Public Transportation

Review cost to construct bus stops—spending millions Stop routes that don't have enough passengers

Purchasing

Ensure central contact/pick up points for shredding Instead of destroying IT equipment/surplus Surplus furniture/share with ASD

Purchase recycled toner cartridges

SWS

Close Girdwood Solid Waste Station Stop free Spring Clean Up

Suggestions from Tax\$avers, Departments, and Others

Traffic

Turn off some street lights

Treasury

Quarterly tax payments to help taxpayers on limited incomes Send tax statements electronically Child tax for kids in school

Consolidation

Eliminate Equal Rights Commission (duplicative)
All accounting--grants, bonds, payroll for all agencies
Individual department budget staff
Consoled MOA/ASD risk functions (Glenn Smith) '
ASD/MOA Purchasing Operations

Outsourcing

Payroll

IT.

Ambulance

Light vehicle maintenance

Road maintenance

HLB function

Real estate function

Fleet maintenance

Transfer back to the state:

AHFC Weatherization

NPDES

Restaurant inspection

Public health

Child care assistance

IM

Prosecution

Enterprise-wide

Space utilization analysis

On-line notices instead of print

Eliminate stipends/meals for commission members

Turn off computers at night

Review # of employees supervisors supervise/mid-management levels

Manage OT--suspend all and require exception

Turn down thermostats

Turn out office lights at night

Energy performance contract for all buildings

Other

Examine ACVB contract and align what it says with what MOA funds can be used for Have ACVB pay for downtown flowers Eliminate non-motorized vehicle transport coordinator

Privatize/Sell Assets

Stimulus Funds Update

Roads: Federal Highway funding through AMATS - \$19 million Contact: Lance Wilber, Director Traffic, 343-8406

- o Old Glenn Highway \$12 million
- o Lake Otis & Tudor \$ 5 million
- o Jewel Lake rut repair \$ 2 million
- o Bids are coming in lower than project estimates
- Project selection has gone through the public process of AMATS
- Funding will be awarded to ADOT & PF
- o Projects will begin this summer and will be built by ADOT& PF

UPDATE: All of these stimulus funds are awarded to the State of Alaska, Department of Transportation and Public Facilities

State Revenue Sharing from State Fiscal Stabilization Fund - \$8.6 million Contact: Lucinda Mahoney, MOA Chief Financial Officer, 343-6610

- Part B of fiscal stabilization fund accepted by legislature and allocated for revenue sharing on per capita basis
- Governor required to accept both Part A of fiscal stabilization fund (for education) before state could claim Part B for revenue sharing
- o Anchorage's per capita share is \$8.6 million
- Of the \$8.6 million, \$2.9 million to property tax relief, remainder set aside for future needs
- Proposal to commit fund to property tax relief/set aside approved by the Assembly on 4/28

UPDATE: Received \$3 million, Intent to Apply has been submitted for remaining \$5.6 million

Transit: Federal Transit funding through AMATS - \$6 millionContact: Jody Karcz, Director Public Transportation, 343-8484

- Fleet expansion & replacement \$2.56 million
- o Transit system security and facility improvements \$1.9 million
- o Bus stop improvements in U-Med area \$1 million
- Capital maintenance & preventative maintenance \$500,000
- o Projects currently out to bid
- Estimated to break ground on U-Med project by mid-summer
- 50% of the funds must be committed by September (will easily meet this requirement)

UPDATE: Received \$5.96 million, Project 5% complete, Completion date 12/31/10

Justice Assistance Grant from DOJ - \$2,7 million

Contact: Steven Miko, APD, 343-8540

- o APD Communication system upgrade \$2.3 million
- Zero tolerance patrols \$160,000
- o Downtown foot patrols \$105,000
- Substance abuse education/prevention program \$105,000
- Public hearing conducted 4/24
- o Final application due 5/18
- Award documents expected in July
- Award will be back dated to 3/1
- o Reimbursable grant basis

UPDATE: \$2.7 million received

Energy Efficiency Community Block Grant from DOE - \$2.7 million

Contact: Corrine O'Neill, Director Department of Neighborhoods, 343-4182

- Energy efficient lighting (parking garages, street lights) \$1.2 million
- Municipal facilities retrofits \$1.2 million
- o Regional transit planning \$250,000
- MOA authorized to use up to \$260,000 for administrative costs
- o Application/proposals due 6/25
- o DOE has 120 days to approve proposals
- o DOE will award 50% of the funding; remainder after review
- o DOE anticipates making awards within 36 month period
- All funds must be committed within 18 months

UPDATE: Application approved. On the Assembly Agenda for approval on Oct. 27

FAA Merrill Field Airport Apron Reconstruction - \$1.86 million

Contact: Dave Lundeby, Airport Manager, Merrill Field, 343-6305

- Apron reconstruction to provide parking for 100+ additional aircraft
- Construction award bid approved by Assembly on 4/14
- Contractor Roger Hickel Contracting, Inc.
- Project expected to break ground in May
- Expected to employ 20 engineering and construction professionals

UPDATE: Reimbursable grant: \$1.4 expended to date, \$455,836 stimulus funds have been received to date, project at 95% completion. Should receive remaining stimulus funds within a month.

Homelessness Prevention Funds from HUD – over \$750,000

Contact: Diane Ingle, Director, Dept. of Health & Human Services, 343-6460

- Coordinated partnership between MOA & Anchorage Coalition on Homelessness
- Single point of entry for those in need 2-1-1
- o Mobile case managers
- Funding allocated to five organizations (including MOA Safety Links)
- Recommendations approved by HAND Commission; public comment period completed
- Assembly public hearing and passage of resolution on 5/12

UPDATE: Project begins 11/01/09, Reimbursable grant no funds received yet, awarded \$776,469

Community Development Block Grants from HUD – \$507,000

Contact: Corrine O'Neill, Director, Dept. of Neighborhoods, 343-4182

- HUD just released guidelines and eligibility information
- Committee composed of HAND commission members to review and evaluate proposals
- o 7-day public comment period
- o Scheduled for Assembly agenda 5/26
- Proposal & application deadline 6/5

UPDATE: AWAIC awarded grant to do capital and energy improvements, Project out to bid

Internet Crimes against Children Task Force - \$437,000

Contact: Steve Miko, APD, 343-8540

- Application filed 4/8 with DOJ
- APD is statewide taskforce leader for ongoing program
- Continued funding for computer forensic examiner
- New funding for cyber crime specialist
- Special technology and equipment
- Training and technical assistance for other Alaska law enforcement task force members
- Award document expected in June
- Reimbursable grant

UPDATE: \$437,000 received, forensic examiner – Memorandum of Agreement is pending legal review, cyber crime specialist selection almost complete, some training attended.

COMPETITIVE GRANT APPLICATIONS

COPS Hiring Recovery Program (CHRP) - \$8.7 million

Contact: Steve Miko, APD, 343-8540

- Application filed 4/14 with DOJ
- 30 officers scheduled for lay off 1/1/10 due to significant revenue losses
 Grant would reimburse entry level salaries of sworn officers over a period of three years, with a requirement to retain the position in the fourth year
- Decision expected by October

UPDATE: Awarded \$2,599,578

Anchorage Domestic Violence Prevention Project - \$1.8 million

Contact: Diane Ingle, Department of Health & Human Services, 343-6460

- Application filed 4/27 with DOJ/Byrne program
- o Requests funding for continuation of project
- o Program goals to increase offender accountability & improve victim safety
- o Project employs two clerks/Law, two APD officers, & DHHS data analyst
- o Award would fund current positions and create two new positions
- New positions to include third APD officer and staff at AWAIC for victim emergency aid
- o Program currently funded through September
- Award announcements expected by July

UPDATE: Competitive selection process and we did not get selected

Special Assistant United States Attorney Positions - \$113,000

Contact: John McConnaughy, Municipal Prosecutor, 343-6445

- Application filed 4/27 with DOJ/Byrne program
- o Requests funding for continuation of ongoing program
- Goal to target gang and drug crimes and increase offender accountability through MOA/Federal prosecution partnership
- Program results: 80 defendants indicted, 49 defendants sentenced to more than 354 years in prison
- o Grant award would fund one attorney position
- Award announcements expected by July
- Program currently funded through 2009

UPDATE: Application has been filed

Federal Transit Authority/Transit Investments to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Contact: Jody Karcz, Public Transportation, 343-8484

- EPA program for capital investments that reduce emissions and energy consumption
- o Minimum application \$2 million
- Proposal to purchase hybrid Anchor Ride vans, facilities retrofits, energy efficient lighting
- o Application due 5/22

UPDATE: Competitive selection process and we did not get selected

Department of Health and Human Services, Administration of Children and Families for Strengthening Communities

Contact: Corrine O'Neill, Director, Dept. of Neighborhoods, 343-4182

- Works to coordinate homeless initiatives for homeless families
- A community partnership group of 25 nonprofit agencies networking together to limit homelessness and implement the Ten Year Plan on Homelessness
- Plans are to increase homeless prevention systems, public policy advocacy, increase case management resources, and develop a website to track activities and data collection on homelessness.
- Maximum grant request for \$250,000 with funding utilization over a two year period
- Awards announcement by September 2009

UPDATE: Competitive selection process and we did not get selected

ADDITIONS FROM UPDATE 10/22/09:

FAA Merrill Field Airport Taxiway Quebec Phase I Rehabilitating - \$3.68 million

Contact: David Lundeby, Airport Manager, Merrill Field, 343-6305

- Taxiway reconstruction to provide safe and efficient aircraft access to runway
- Construction award bid approved by Assembly 7/7/09
- Contract awarded to Granite Construction
- Expect to break ground in May 2010
- Expect to employ 80 engineering and construction professionals
- Expected completion date is September 2010

UPDATE: Reimbursable grant \$52,910 expended, 1% completed

As of October 16, 2009

SUMMARY OF BONDS AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED

A	В	3	Q
VOTER APPROVED YEAR	PROP Number	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Remaining Authorized But Unissued
1			
GENERAL 2006	PURPOSE - G 7	GENERAL PURPOSE - GENERAL OBLIGATION 2006 7 Anchorage Roads & Drainage S A	4 348 000
2006	. ω	Anchorage Fire Service Area	0
2007	2	Anchorage Parks & Recreation	2,495,000
2007	ന	Public Safety/Transportation - Areawide	0
2007	۷ و	Anchorage Koads & Drainage S A Anchorage Fire Service Area	7,105,000
2008	-	Public Facility Repair - Areawide	5.400.000
2008	4	Anchorage Parks & Recreation - Pools	1,500,000
2008	Ŋ	Anchorage Roads & Drainage S A	16,110,000
2008	Q	Public Safety/Transportation - Areawide	250,000
2008	_	Anchorage Fire Service Area	1,272,000
2009	က	Anchorage Roads & Drainage S A	34,225,000
2009	9	Public Safety/Transportation - Areawide	1,300,000
2009	7	Anchorage Fire Service Area	1,800,000
TOTAL GE	NERAL PURP	TOTAL GENERAL PURPOSE - GENERAL OBLIGATION	\$75,805,000
зсноогs	SCHOOLS - GENERAL OBLIGATION	BLIGATION	
2007	4	Middle School & Capital Improvements	8.430.000
2007	c)	Educational Capital Improvements	2,550,000
2008	2	Schools Addition, Renewal, Design	20,430,000
2008	က	Educational Capital Improvements	2,460,000
TOTAL SCI	HOOLS - GEN	TOTAL SCHOOLS - GENERAL OBLIGATION	\$33,870,000
TOTAL ALI	GENERAL C	TOTAL ALL GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT AUTHORIZATION	\$109,675,000

G:\Finance\Debt Manager\DEBT\ Authorized Debt Post 2009 Election.xls

GENERAL PURPOSE BONDS ISSUED 1995, 2004, 2005, 2007 & 2008 Summary of Bonds Issued - Proceeds Unspent

9		
?		
5		
5		
-		
? >		
5		
?		
í		
-		
>		
)		
۹ 		
•		
,		
•		
Ì		
)		
)		
,		
}		

14 Ability to	Move to	Capital Prof.	Withing the Department?	(201)				Yes				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	!	-				Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
		13			Variance	from	Prev.Mo.	0	Variance	from	Prev.Mo.	0	(34,192)	0	(19,906)	(54,098)		Variance	from Prev Mo		(969,938)	0	(10,516)	0	(980,454)
		12			Unspent	Bond	Proceeds	112,300	Unspent	Bond	Proceeds	69,296	7,364	164,935	826,949	1,068,544		Unspent	Bond	Γ	444,434	100,000	74,975	Ø	619,418
		Ŧ			1995	Bond Sale	Spent	287,700	2004	Bond Sale	Spent	529,385	841,025	582,342	1,193,025	3,145,777		2005	Bond Sale Spent		40,691,508	688,487	342,779	129,672	41,852,446
ITSTANDING		10			Bonds	Sold in	1995	400,000	Bonds	Sold in	2004	598,681	848,389	747,277	2,019,974	4,214,321		Bonds	Sold in 2005		41,135,942	788,487	417,754	129,681	42,471,864
GENERAL OBLIGATION REMAINING BONDED PROJECT FUNDS OUTSTANDING	RESS NOTES	6	Project Description				168300 168340 12/CI	Totals 1995				AK90-X024	162902BLDGBOND	2003GOBOND911/CL	170504APDBONDS	Totals 2004				<u>L</u>	700505ARDSABOND	AK90-X031	AK90-X033 AII	629901	Totals 2005
BONDED	ENDING PROGRESS September 30, 2009	т,	Phone Number				1500	1500			,	8295	8272 8191	8540	8540						8120	8295	8295	8295	
REMAINING	WITH SPENDING PROGRESS NOTES September 30, 2009	-	Department Contact	·			Rodda J.	Barkley,V				Jouni A.	Steinert K. Robinson,M	Miko, S.	Miko, S.						Lamson, j	Jouni A.	Jouni A.	Jouni A.	
VTION	≯	9	Fund				462				i C	254 C	401	401	451						441	485	485	485	
OBLIG/		ις	Project Number				1683				1763	1470	1629	1270	1705						7005	6243	6247	6299	
GENERAL		4	PROJECT DESCRIPTION				Eagle River/Chugiak Parks & Rec.				Public Transport		Area Wide Emergency Op.Center Ambulance, 311	Public Safety	Police						ARDSA	Public Transport	Public Transport	Public Transport	
			##				ıc				7		മ	ъ	eo						4	ß	ъ	τυ	
		. 2 VOTER	APPROVED YEAR				1995				2 2001		2002	\$ 2003	3 2004						2005	2005	3 2005	1 2005	
			L										.,		6						60	o	9	Ξ.	

2002-2008 BondSpendingSept09

G:\Finance\Debt Manager\Bond Spending\Master Remaining Bond Proceeds Sept 2009.xls

ð

Summary of Bonds Issued - Proceeds Unspent

GENERAL PURPOSE BONDS ISSUED 1995, 2004, 2005, 2007 & 2008

			2	Č	()	1 1 1 1 1						4.
		GENERAL	OBLIGA	20	KEMAINING	BONDED PR	GENERAL OBLIGATION REMAINING BONDED PROJECT FUNDS OUTSTANDING	ITSTANDING				Ability to
				¥.	'H SPENDIN Septen	WITH SPENDING PROGRESS NOTES September 30, 2009	S NOTES					Move to
1 2 VOTER	r)	4	ιa	9	7		đ	10	£	12	13	Another Capital Proj.
APPROVED Prop YEAR #	Prop #	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	Project Number	Fund	Department Contact	Phone Number	Proiect Description					Withing the Department? (Yes / No)
								Bonds	2007	Unspent	Variance	
								Sold In	Bond Sale		from	
								2007	Spent	s	Prev.Mo.	
7							٠.					
7007	`	ALL Protects (Detail to Come)				AIIP	All Projects	55,962,822	52,350,912	3,611,910	(186,151)	Yes
							Totals 2007	55,962,822	52,350,912	3,611,910	(186,151)	
								Bonds	2008	Unspent	Variance	
								Sold In	Bond Sale		from	
								2008	Spent	Proceeds	Prev.Mo.	
												_

Yes

1,051,752

22,857,299 22,857,299

37,142,701 37,142,701

60,000,000

Totals 2008

All Projects

ALL Projects (Detail to Come)

2008

GENERAL PURPOSE BONDS ISSUED 1995, 2004, 2005, 2007 & 2008 Summary of Bonds Issued - Proceeds Unspent

14	Ability to	Move to	Anothor	VIIOIIE	Capital Proj.	Withing the	Department?	(Ves / No)
				;	5			
				•	77			
				;	=			
	OUTSTANDING			÷	2			
	MAINING BONDED PROJECT FUNDS OUTSTANDING	NOTES		ď	•		Project	Description
	SONDED PRO	WITH SPENDING PROGRESS NOTES	September 30, 2009	6 0		Diam's	ations:	Number
	KEMAINING	H SPENDING	Septem			Donartmont	The fall of the	Number Fund Contact Number
	22	ΜĬ		9				-400
ביי כיי	OBLIGA			ю		Project	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Jacumos
CENEDAL	GENERAL OBLIGATION KEMA			4			PRO JECT DESCRIPTION	NOTI LINOSTE LINOS
				£3		Prop	##	:
				. 2	VOTER	APPROVED Prop	YEAR	

Notes on Projects

d funds.	work done and billed. s forward. Meeting for thi	orked on with Marcy Po a
1883 8/4 Monies being spent on HJM Center repair/renovation daily, As per Val Barkley expenses should be in excess of the remaining outstanding bonded funds. 8/20 As per Alice Jenson they are spending, John Clark at facilities is in Apares of the monies.		
168;	6241	1629
_	7	()

on Aug. 6

d be refler

Phone meeting with Steve Miko to work out spending on this project. Problems with this project tied to expected additional funding which didn't happen. Nothing paid on this since 2006 1270

As per Maury Robinson, funds for this project are fully expended. Review this after August report is available. 1272

1705

Phone meeting with Steve Miko to work out spending on this project.
9/4/09 Richard spoke with Bruce Holmes. This project (APD Impound Yard) is in progress. The bulk of the funds will be spent before 12/31/2009 with the rest before 2nd quarter end 2010.
As per Maury Robinson, tunds for this project are fully expended. Review this after August report is available. 1273

Jim Lamson contact needed to find out about this one. 7005

> 5 Ξ

As per 8/4 conversation with Jouni, monies will remain unused indefinativ unless system of FIFO can be reached with centeral accounting to roll funds torward. Meeting for this will be on Aug. 6 6243

ဖ As per 8/4 conversation with Jouni, monies will remain unused indefinatly unless system of FIFO can be reached with centeral accounting to roll funds forward. Meeting for this will be on Aug. 6247

As per 8/4 conversation with Jouni, monies will remain unused indefinatly unless system of FIFO can be reached with centeral accounting to roll funds torward. Meeting for this will be on Aug. 6 6299

2004 Bonds have Principal Debt Service Payments on December 1, Note:

2005 Bonds have Principal Debt Service Payments on September 1,

2002-9008 Bondenanding Canton

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE Summary of Bond Issued - Proceeds Unspent GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS - SCHOOLS

Balances as of July 31, 2009

	1	2 Year	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 Ability to Move to Another
					Percent	Unspent	Unspent Interest	Total	Encumbrances	Capital Project
	Series:	Sold	Original Funds	Amount Drawn	<u>Drawn</u>	Bond Funds	<u>Earninings</u>	Funds Remaining	Remaining	Yes / No
	PRE-2002									
COSSOE 10.00 2.00 2.00 13.00 10.00	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1986	30,000 000	30 000 000	100 00%	-	-	-	-	
COSSOE 10.00 2.00 2.00 13.00 10.00	GOS87	1987	14,950,000	14,950,000	100.00%	-	-	-	•	
		1988	7 500 000	7,500,000	100 00%	•	-	-	-	
GSSP3	GOS90A 👫		26 250 000	26 250 000	100 00%	-	-	-	-	
GSS12 19,450 000			13 095,000		100 00%	-	-	-	-	
						-			-	
GOSDIE 1997 63,000 000			•			-			-	
GSSG18		1993				-			-	
Color Colo			•			-			-	
1						-			10.402	
GGS92 1987 15960 000 55900.000 100 00% - 215,352 215,552 46,181 16660 000 35000.000 77900.000 100 00% - 187 1	22330V6035-12740V6036					-				
Section Sect		1997	and the second s							
COSSIGN 1990 COSS						-			. 40,101	*
COSO2B	Control mark in delight for the following the control of the contr					5 462			89 483	
COSS02B									-	
Propositions: 1999-11	CODOLA	2001	05 000,000	03 000 000	100 0070		5,502	J 20m		
1999-11		2002	131,800,000	131,800 000	100 00%	-	2 082 965	2 082,965	980,000	
2002-5 33 800 000 Yes Yes 2002-6 39,000 000			59 000 000							Yes
QOS.03B										Yes
Propositions: 1999-11 13.450 000 2002-5 12,755,000 2002-6 5,820,000 2003-9 21,615,000 73 130 000 85 390 346 97 90% 705.515 3 727 472 4,432 987 494.622 Propositions: 1999-11 400.000 2002-6 1,505 000 14 265 000 15 48 85,000 2003-9 18,2003-11 18,200,000 2004-1 2004-			39,000.000							Yes
1996-11		2003	126.770 000	126,770 000	100 00%	-	330,106	330 106	52,335	
2002-5			13.450.000							Vac
2002-6										
2003-9 21,615,000 Yes 2003-11 73 130 000 Yes Yes 2003-11 73 130 000 Yes 2003-11 73 130 000 Yes 2003-11 73 130 000 Yes 2003-11 400 000 Yes 2002-5 4 3.65,000 Yes 2003-11 4 265 000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2002-5 1,205 000 Yes 2002-5 1,205 000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2002-6 1,355 000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2003-11 300,000 Yes 2002-5 1,205 000 Yes 2002-5 1,205 000 Yes 2002-6 245,000 Yes 2002-6 245,000 Yes 2004-1 313,500 000 Yes 2004-1 Yes 2004-1 313,500 000 Yes 2004-1 Yes 200										
COS04D 2004 86,240 000 85 390 346 97 90% 705,515 3 727 472 4,432.987 494.622										
GOS04D 2004 86 240 000 85 390 346 97 90% 705 515 3 727 472 4,432 987 494 622 Propositions: 1999-11 400 000 2002-5 4 365,000 2002-6 1,505 000 2003-9 14 265 000 2003-11 47 850,000 2004-4 17 855 000 GOS05A 2005 63 850,000 60,032 000 94 02% 3 .779,546 2 200,204 5 .979 .750 2002-6 245,000 2002-6 245,000 2002-6 245,000 2002-6 245,000 2002-6 245,000 2004-1 32,300 000 2004-2 29 600,000 GOS06A 2006 48 495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14 193 751 2 .959 497 17 153,248 2 .644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5 .910 000 2004-0 18 525,000 2004-1 18 525,000 2004-1 18 525,000 2004-1 18 525,000 2004-1 18 525,000 2004-1 18 525,000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 18 525,000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2004-1 19 .500 000 2005-1 19 .5										
Propositions: 1999-11	2003 11									
1999-11		2004	86,240 000	85 390 346	97 90%	705,515	3 727 472	4,432.987	494,622	
2002-5			100.000						*	Van
2002-6										
2003-9 14.265,000 Yes 2003-11 47.850,000 2004-4 17.855 000 GOS05A 2005 63.850,000 60,032 000 94 02% 3.779,546 2 200,204 5.979,750 500 664 Propositions: 1999-11 300,000 2002-5 1.205 000 2002-6 245,000 2004-1 32,500 000 Yes 2004-2 29 600,000 GOS06A 2006 48.495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14.193 751 2.959 497 17 153,248 2.644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5.910 000 2003-11 4.560,000 Yes 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000										
2003-11										
2004-4 17,855 000 Yes GOS05A 2005 63,850,000 60,032 000 94 02% 3,779,546 2 200,204 5,979,750 500 664 Propositions: 1999-11 300,000 2002-5 1,205 000 2002-6 245,000 2004-1 32,500 000 2004-2 29,600,000 GOS06A 2006 48,495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14,193 751 2,959 497 17,153,248 2,644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 2004-4 18,525,000 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes										
GOS05A 2005 63,850,000 60,032 000 94 02% 3.779,546 2 200,204 5.979.750 500 664 Propositions: 1999-11 300,000 2002-5 1,205 000 2002-6 245,000 2004-1 32,500 000 2004-2 29 600,000 GOS06A 2006 48.495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14.193 751 2.959 497 17 153,248 2.644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 2003-11 4.560,000 2004-4 18 525,000 2004-1 19 500 000 Yes 2004-1 19 500 000			,							
Propositions: 1999-11 300,000 1299-12 300,000 2002-6 245,000 2004-1 32,500 000 2004-2 29 600,000 GOSO6A 2006 48 495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14 193 751 2.959 497 17 153,248 2.644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 2004-1 4,560,000 2004-4 18 525,000 2004-1 19,500 000 4 5 6 6 7 6 8 7		2005	(2.850.000	ZO 022 000	04.0007	2 770 546	2 200 204	5 070 750	500.664	
1999-11 300,000 Yes 2002-5 1,205 000 Yes 2002-6 245,000 Yes 2004-1 32,500 000 Yes 2004-2 29 600,000 Yes GOS06A 2006 48,495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14,193 751 2,959 497 17 153,248 2,644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4,560,000 Yes 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes 2005-11 Yes 2006-11 Yes 2008-11 Yes		2003	03,850,000	00,032 000	94 02%	3 /19,340	2 200,204	3.717.130	300 004	
2002-5 1,205 000 Yes 2002-6 245,000 Yes 2004-1 32,500 000 Yes 2004-2 29,600,000 Yes GOS06A 2006 48,495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14,193 751 2,959 497 17 153,248 2,644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4,560,000 2004-4 18,525,000 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000	•		300,000							Ves
2002-6 245,000 Yes 2004-1 32,500 000 Yes 2004-2 29 600,000 Yes GOS06A 2006 48 495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14 193 751 2,959 497 17 153,248 2,644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4,560,000 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000										
2004-1 32,500 000 Yes 2004-2 29 600,000 Yes GOS06A 2006 48 495 000 33 412 600 68 49% 14 193 751 2,959 497 17 153,248 2,644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4,560,000 Yes 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes 2004-1 Yes 2004-1 Yes Yes Yes										
2004-2 29.600,000 Yes GOS06A 2006 48.495 000 33.412 600 68.49% 14.193 751 2.959 497 17.153,248 2.644 557 Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4.560,000 2004-4 18.525,000 19.500 000 Yes 2004-1 19.500 000 Yes Yes Yes Yes										
Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4,560,000 Yes 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes Yes Yes										
Propositions: 2003-9 5,910 000 Yes 2003-11 4,560,000 Yes 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes Yes Yes	GOS06 A	2006	48 495 000	33 412 600	68 49%	14 193 751	2,959 497	17 153 248	2 644 557	
2003-11 4.560,000 Yes 2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500,000 Yes Yes Yes	Propositions:	2000		33 412 000	50 4776	14.425 151	ange de Me e	100,210	2,0,1,321	V
2004-4 18 525,000 Yes 2004-1 19,500 000 Yes Yes										
2004-1 19.500 000 Yes Yes										
Yes										
	¢004-1		700 000, 61							
	_									

MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE Summary of Bond Issued - Proceeds Unspent **GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS - SCHOOLS**

Balances as of July 31, 2009

1	2 Year Bonds	3	4	5 Percent	6 Unspent	7 Unspent Interest	8 Yotal	9	Ability to Move to Another
Series:	Sold	Original Funds	Amount Drawn	<u>Drawn</u>	Bond Funds	Earninings	Funds Remaining	Encumbrances Remaining	Capital Project Yes / No
GOS07D Propositions:	2007	65,000 000	62 550 040	91.82%	2.483.913	2 046,097	4 530,010	2,206,222	
2007-4 2007-5		51 000 000 14 000 000							Yes Yes
GOS08B Propositions:	2008	29 840,000	2,841,500	91 82%	16,106 292	164,711	16 271,003	30 363 459	
2007-4		5 570,000							Yes
2007-5 2008-2		3,450 000 13,870,000							Yes
2008-3		6 950 000							Yes Yes
					37,274,479	14,580,520	51,854,999	37,396,926	•

NOTES

The above list is new money transactions, 1986 forward, and does not include refunding amounts or refunding issues. In 1999 the ASD converted to a new accounting system and much of the information prior to 1999 is in hard copy

ASD Staff has agreed to produce the detailed earnings and expenditures of earnings and bond proceeds for pre 1999 bond issues upon request

The 1999 bond sale is the oldest bond sale information in the new ASD computer system.

In January 2005, ASD began to credit bond accounts with interest earnings on the initial earnings of the bond proceeds, as well as crediting earnings earned in sweep investments to bond accounts.

Encumbrances indicate that unspent funds are committed to an actual contract, per the Districts Controller

USE OF PROCEEDS

The proceeds from the 2002 bond issue forward may be used for capital expenditures of the District, in addition to the specific items listed in the ballot proposition, per Bond Counsel. The permitted use of proceeds for bond issues sold prior to 2002 is under review

REFUNDED BONDS

The following bonds have been refunded in part or in whole at some point: 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1999, 2000A, 2000B, 2001A, 2002B, 2003B, 2004D, 2005A