

**WADP Planning Group -- Pre-release Draft Plan Worksession #1  
September 16, 2010**

**SUMMARY OF MEMBERS COMMENTS TO THE FOLLOWING:**

*LIST 3 ITEMS THAT THE PLAN DOES NOT ADDRESS ADEQUATELY*

Note: Items are tagged by subject category with the following. I will place into spreadsheet once we agree.

A	TSAIA related issues
PE	Parks & Environment
TR	Trails
LU	Land Use & Neighborhoods
AD	Admin related

---

1. Airport buffer areas should be linked to the land trades as a solution/means of implementation. **A**
2. Suggestion that the Planning Area boundary should include neighborhoods between Dimond and Campbell L. **AD**
3. Plan does not adequately cover issues with new N-S runway: impacts, domino affect on other areas, relation to land trades. i.e. Plan does not resolve or make definitive statements if it will/can happen. **A**
4. Plan did not fully capture the recent South Coastal Tr history, decisions, alternatives. **TR**
5. The Plan does not fully capture the current TSAIA master plan details (2002 version), the history of plans, or TSAIA thinking relative to current developments and upcoming master plan. **A**
6. The Plan seems to focus airport area solutions on a large scale one time land trade. Discussion should include more details on other solution options and timing. **A**
7. Noise issue needs more detail on the history, mapping, update, and application of official DNL contours. **A**
8. Noise discussion should include more than just airplane noise--issues within neighborhoods relative to truck and ground traffic. **A & LU**
9. Plan does not resolve issues between jurisdictions, e.g. state road plans and projects do not follow MOA regulations or coordination efforts. Plan should address how to implement MOA policies, plans, and regs on state projects and overall. **AD**
10. Plan needs to discuss how budgeting must be included in recommendations so it is not an excuse for building a project or maintenance. **AD?**

11. Plan remains unclear how TSAIA and public will come together and coordinate to address or resolve ongoing and future conflicts. Impacts of future TSAIA growth need factoring into long term airport growth. **A**
12. Plan has not clarified how future airport growth is balanced with the retention of features important to the public (trails, parks, habitats and environment). Plan needs to provide balancing mechanisms. **A**
13. Plan needs to do more complete job of issues surrounding water quality, particularly well locations and the need to protect groundwater quality. Add a well map. **PE**
14. Land use section does not describe differences in the proposed land use map with earlier maps, nor describe the relationship of this plan map to 2006. How to depict buffer areas on land use map. **LU**
15. Parks and trails section needs more detail on public use, values, and access of estuaries. Should make recommendation on Camp Ck estuary parcel and more details on permitted/restricted uses. **PE**
16. Plan does not resolve/address how Turnagain East Bog is to be preserved, per AO 2000-151 (S2). Should relate history of land trade and items from AO 2000-151. **A**
17. Plan needs more info about values and unique nature of ACWR. Explain relationship of refuge with adjacent lands and add relevant ACWR plan objectives. Relate refuge mgmt goals with adjacent development and land use recommendations. **PE**
18. Plan's description about TSAIA growth out into residential areas is backwards. Relate how inadequate zoning permitted inappropriate residential development adjacent to TSAIA. Plan should be definitive about airport growth limits – expansion within the fence or beyond? **A**
19. Section on Pt Woronzof Park is not entirely correct or complete. Get details correct. **A & PE**
20. Info/details/graphics on parks and trails locations are confusing and may be incomplete or incorrect (eg Snead Rd). Priorities should be highlighted and include W Dimond to Kincaid trails. **TR**
21. As written, Pt Woronzof coastal erosion issue is not given enough attention—it is a significant and urgent problem with not an easy solution. **A & PE**
22. Trails section does not describe history or conclusions about using ARR ROW for future trail corridor. Its location has chance to provide significant N-S trail system and connectors. **TR**
23. Section on FAA grant assurances needs more detail especially how grant item F relates to TSAIA expansion and methods to minimize community impacts. **A**

24. Plan needs history of Sand Lake Gravel Pits and should relate how infrastructure can handle future population growth. This might be done in a discrete section, a la Spenard. **LU**
25. Plan might include a section that highlights essential existing or historical “agreements” or ordinances that have affected current plan recommendations or existing conditions. **AD**
26. Project team should consider a different name for the town center areas (not Comm Activity Centers). **LU**