

Appendix C: Summary of Boundary Study Areas, Based on Public Comments

Boundary Study Area	Description	Community Council Districts Potentially Affected	Total Number of Comments	Comments by Email or Phone	Comments by Questionnaire Responses (Questionnaire Response I.D. Number)
1 Chugiak Community Council District	1 questionnaire response indicated that the Chugiak Community Council district is too large to afford all members the opportunity for participation and representation.	Chugiak	1	0	261
2 Eagle River and Eagle River Valley Community Council Districts	7 questionnaire responses indicated that the Eagle River and/or Eagle River Valley Community Council Districts either do not or may not reflect actual neighborhoods or natural communities. One of these responses recommended merging the two community councils. Another of these responses indicated the natural boundary is much further east, at Mile Hi Avenue and Eagle River Road. 2 of the responses recommended that the Eagle Ridge Subdivision, Parkview Terrace Subdivision, Gruening Middle School, and Eagle River Lions Park area southwest of Eagle River Road and Eagle River Loop Road be transferred from Eagle River Valley to Eagle River Community Council.	Eagle River Valley Eagle River	9	0	260 262 84 257 268 409 200 266 296
3 North of Eagle River Loop Road to Eagle River (the waterbody)	2 questionnaire responses recommended to transfer the Eagle Nest Subdivision, Eagle River High School, and Wolf Den Drive area northwest of Eagle River Loop Road from South Fork to Eagle River Community Council.	South Fork Eagle River	2	0	37 262
4 Girdwood Community Council District	The Municipality has recognized the Girdwood Board of Supervisors (GBOS) Land Use Committee as the community council district for Girdwood. 4 email responses indicated that the boundaries of the service area is smaller than the boundaries of the Girdwood community council district, such that persons living outside of the GBOS service area, who are part of the community council district, cannot vote for the community councils that represents them – GBOS. 3 of the emails indicated that, specifically, the GBOS represents residents within the town of Girdwood, but not residents in Crow Creek. 1 of the responses recommended the boundaries of the service area should match the boundaries of the community council district. 3 of thel responses requested creating a separate community council from the GBOS service area, to include all of Girdwood including the Crow Creek neighborhood.	Girdwood	4	4	
5 Portage Valley Community Council District	2 responses indicated that Portage Valley Community Council has not submitted revised bylaws required by the code changes in 2014, that there has not been an active community council meeting quorum for years, and that failing to meet the meeting requirements in the code means this community council should no longer be recognized by the Assembly. They suggested it could be merged with an adjacent community council district.	Portage Valley	2	2	
6 Northeast Community Council District	16 responses indicated that the Northeast Community Council district is too large and recommended to either divide it into two separate community council districts or transfer parts of it to an adjacent community council district.	Northeast Russian Jack Scenic Foothills	16	1	40 44 90 99 114 126 158 186 189 233 235 285 308 408 418
7 North of E. Northern Lights Boulevard to Foxhall Drive	1 questionnaire response recommended transferring the Foxhall Drive area north of E. Northern Lights from Northeast to Scenic Foothills Community Council district.	Northeast Scenic Foothills	2	0	368
8 West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8 questionnaire responses indicated that areas west of Baxter Road are more aligned with the neighborhoods of Scenic Foothills than with University Area Community Council district. Some recommended to transfer the area between Baxter Road and Boniface Parkway.	University Area Scenic Foothills	8	0	415 48 52 66 297 299 370 368 146
9 Scenic Foothills Community Council District	2 questionnaire responses indicated that Scenic Foothills Community Council district is too small, and should be merged. One recommended merging with Basher, and the other recommended merging with University Area.	Basher Scenic Park	2	0	22 415
10 University Area Community Council District	3 questionnaire responses indicated dissatisfaction with the University Area Community Council's district area in general. One indicated it is too large. The others indicated it is disjointed and should more closely follow Assembly district boundaries.	University Area	3	0	23 188 213
11 College Village	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the College Village neighborhood from Rogers Park Community Council district.	Rogers Park	1	0	35

Appendix C: Summary of Boundary Study Areas, Based on Public Comments

Boundary Study Area	Description	Community Council Districts Potentially Affected			Total Number of Comments	Comments by Email or Phone	Comments by Questionnaire Responses (Questionnaire Response I.D. Number)							
12	Tudor Area Community Council District	6 questionnaire responses and 2 email/phone responses indicated that Tudor Area Community Council district has been having difficulty making meeting quorum requirements or is too small, and recommended merging its areas into one or more of 3 adjacent community council districts.	Tudor Area	Rogers Park	University Area	8	2	354	12	53	340	381	403	52
13	South of Tudor Road and East of Lake Otis Parkway	4 questionnaire responses recommended transferring some or all of the neighborhoods south of Tudor Road and east of Lake Otis Parkway (and north of Dowling Road) from Campbell Park Community Council to another community council district, mostly University Area.	Campbell Park	University Area		4	0	190	400	280	387			
14	West of Reeve Boulevard	2 questionnaire responses indicated that the western, industrial portion of Mountain View Community Council district seems more aligned with the Ship Creek industrial areas to the west, and recommended transferring those areas.	Mountain View	Fairview	Downtown	2	0	136	253					
15	Penland Park and Brighton Park	3 questionnaire responses recommended to transfer Penland Mobile Home Park, the Brighton Park apartments, and/or all areas north of DeBarr Road from Airport Heights to Mountain View Community Council district.	Airport Heights	Mountain View	Russian Jack	3	0	104	181	206				
16	Anchor Park	4 questionnaire responses indicated that Anchor Park Subdivision may be more aligned with the Airport Heights Community Council district and should be considered for transfer from Rogers Park.	Airport Heights	Rogers Park		4	0	49	205	372	132			
17	Eastridge	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer Eastridge Subdivision from Airport Heights to Rogers Park Community Council district.	Airport Heights	Rogers Park		1	0	206						
18	24th Avenue west of Lake Otis Parkway	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the 24th Avenue lots west of Lake Otis from Rogers Park to Airport Heights Community Council district.	Airport Heights	Rogers Park		1	0	372						
19	Fairview North of 5th Avenue	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the area north of 5th Avenue from Fairview Community Council district.	Fairview	Downtown		1	0	279						
20	Fairview East and West of Gambell-Ingra Corridor	2 questionnaire responses observed the differences between eastern and western Fairview and the division created by the Gambell-Ingra corridor. One of these responses indicated Fairview is too small and should be merged with another district.	Fairview			2	0	77	286					
21	Sitka Street Park	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the open space area west of Sitka Street from Airport Heights to Fairview community council district.	Airport Heights	Fairview		1	0	107						
22	North of 15th Avenue between Ingra and I Streets	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the area between I Street, Ingra Street, 9th Avenue, and 15th Avenue from Fairview and South Addition to Downtown Community Council.	Fairview	South Addition	Downtown	1	0	121						
23	West of Cordova Street from 9th to 15th Avenue	4 questionnaire responses recommended to transfer, or at least consider to transfer, some or all of the areas west of Cordova Street to C Street from South Addition to Fairview Community Council district.	South Addition	Fairview		4	0	119	336	421	107			
24	A and C Street Corridor South of 15th Avenue	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the area between A and C Street south of 15th Avenue to Chester Creek from Fairview to South Addition Community Council district.	Fairview	South Addition		1	0	279						
25	Northwest of 9th Avenue and L Street	1 questionnaire response recommended determining the appropriate community council designation for the areas northwest of 9th Avenue and L Street, including Bootleggers Cove.	South Addition	Downtown		1	0	230	421					
26	North Star Community Council District	3 questionnaire responses indicated that North Star Community Council district is too small and recommended merging with Midtown and/or Spenard.	North Star	Midtown	Spenard	3	0	72	85	116				
27	Romig Park near Hillcrest Drive	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the Romig Park neighborhood along Spenard Road to Hillcrest Drive from North Star to Spenard Community Council district.	North Star	Spenard		1	0	251						
28	Midtown Community Council District	6 questionnaire responses indicated that Midtown Community Council is focused on representing commercial property owners and businesses and is not providing representation for its residents. The responses recommended merging Midtown with North Star and/or Spenard.	Midtown	North Star	Spenard	6	0	56	172	390	191	199	222	

Appendix C: Summary of Boundary Study Areas, Based on Public Comments

Boundary Study Area	Description	Community Council Districts Potentially Affected		Total Number of Comments	Comments by Email or Phone	Comments by Questionnaire Responses (Questionnaire Response I.D. Number)					
29	Spenard Community Council District	1 questionnaire response recommended to align Spenard's boundaries with Assembly districts.		1	0	94					
30	Turnagain Community Council District	1 questionnaire response indicated Turnagain Community Council is too small and recommended merging with Spenard.		1	0	203					
31	West of Fish Creek to Wisconsin Street	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the neighborhoods south of W. Northern Lights between Fish Creek and Wisconsin Street from Turnagain to Spenard Community Council district.		1	0	191					
32	Spenard Beach Park	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer Spenard Beach Park from Turnagain to Spenard Community Council district.		1	0	191					
33	South of Dimond Boulevard to 92nd Avenue	1 questionnaire response indicated that Taku/Campbell Community Council district is too large and recommended to transfer the area south of Dimond Boulevard to another community council district.		1	0	298					
34	Bayshore/Klatt Community Council District	1 questionnaire responses indicated that Bayshore/Klatt Community Council district is too large and should be divided into two separate community council districts.		1	0	371					
35	South of O'Malley Road to Klatt Road, East of C Street	3 questionnaire responses recommended to transfer the area of C Street on the west, O'Malley Road on the north, New Seward Highway on the east, and Klatt Road on the south, from the Bayshore/Klatt Community Council district to the Old Seward/Oceanview Community Council district.		3	0	241	318	422			
36	Oceanview East of Old Seward Highway	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer the area of Oceanview neighborhood between the Old Seward Highway and the Seward Highway from Old Seward/Oceanview to Huffman/O'Malley Community Council district.		1	0	137					
37	East of Elmore Road from 104th Ave to De Armoun Road	1 questionnaire response recommended to transfer areas east of Elmore Road from Huffman/O'Malley to Hillside Community Council district.		1	0	166					
38	Higher Elevations of Rabbit Creek Community Council	1 questionnaire response recommended transferring higher-elevation portions of Rabbit Creek Community Council district out of Rabbit Creek.		1	0	112					
	Boundary Study Area Undetermined	6 questionnaire responses indicated dissatisfaction with existing boundaries in 5 community council districts however staff could not determine their specific issue.		6	0	306	89	183	139	405	374
					9						