

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response ID Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
261	Chugiak	Neutral	Not sure or do not know				Too large		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Chugiak	1
260	Eagle River	Disagree	Yes	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley should be one	Provide_representation_for_all		Too small	Eagle River Valley	I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
262	Eagle River	Disagree	Not sure or do not know			I think the area around Eagle River High school and up by Walmart that appear to be in different districts should be together.	Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
184	Eagle River	Strongly disagree	Yes	JBER to Eklutna	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Provide_representation_for_all		Too small	Eagle River Valley	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
84	Eagle River Valley	Disagree	Yes	The Eagle River Valley community should start from the intersection of Mile Hi AVE and Eagle River Road and east of there. West of that is Eagle River proper.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
257	Eagle River	Strongly disagree	No				In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
268	Eagle River	Strongly disagree	No				In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
409	Eagle River	Strongly disagree	No				In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
200	Eagle River Valley	Neutral	Not sure or do not know			Our community council includes different kinds of residential areas, property types, and lot sizes. Some of the concerns for people up valley aren't necessarily the same as people closer to ER proper.	In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
266	Eagle River	Disagree	Yes	Area that includes Lions Park, Parkview terrace, Gruening School, and the Max Center area need to be added to ERCC	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too small	Eagle River Valley	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
296	Eagle River	Neutral	Yes	My neighborhood is more aligned with the Eagle River Valley Community Council. I live in the Eagle Ridge Subdivision	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_boundaries,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Eagle River and Eagle River Valley	2
37	South Fork	Strongly disagree	Yes	South Fork CC's boundary should be changed to Eagle River Loop Road, and separate the Hiland Road community from the community that lives on the other side (along Wolf Drive). They are very different communities.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_boundaries		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	North of Eagle River Loop Road to Eagle River	3
262	Eagle River	Disagree	Not sure or do not know				Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	North of Eagle River Loop Road to Eagle River	3

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response ID Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
40	Northeast	Neutral	Yes	the Northeast Community Council is one of the largest in Anchorage if not the largest and should be broken into two councils	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
44	Northeast	Agree	Yes	NECC is the most population dense community council in Anchorage. NECC should be split to create two smaller community councils. This would give more representation to eastside voices.	Maximize_opportunities_for_all_l,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
90	Northeast	Neutral	Yes	HD 18 includes all of JBER and north anchorage, the small part of ne Muldoon does work for my residence with the NECC, but also encompasses four other community councils. It has become too disjointed and spread out for assembly, school board, and legislative members to attend meetings adequately.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
99	Northeast	Disagree	Yes	The West side of NECC should be considered as part of RJCC. Possibly west of Beaver.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
114	Northeast	Strongly disagree	Yes	The NECC area is considerably diverse and has effectively left out people who live in "my" area of town; other areas of NECC would probably say the same thing. I have attended community council meetings but discovered easily that issues that concern me are of no interest to those living in other areas (and conversely). The community council boundaries must be redrawn. I do not attend NECC meetings conducted at a location at the very extreme opposite side of the general area.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,other	NECC officers are politically aligned in unison and away from my interests. Favor is shown to those on the side of the political spectrum that comports with the elected CC officers.	Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
126	Northeast	Disagree	Yes	It feels like there's a natural separation from the Glen highway to Debarr, then Debarr to northern lights. Up to Boniface seems correct though.	Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
158	Northeast	Disagree	Yes	Residents have been arguing about splitting NECC for years and the Board blocked an effort to create a new council covering Turpin to Boniface. Additionally a council for that area should include the area around RJSP	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
186	Northeast	Strongly disagree	Yes	The boundaries need to be more closely aligned with the Assembly districts. The boundary should be Turpin, like the assembly district. The new North district consists of portions of 10 different community councils. It makes no sense.	Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Provide_representation_for_all_other	State above	Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
189	Northeast	Disagree	Yes	I live in Nunaka Valley Neighborhood and feel that we should be part of the Russian Jack community council, we are closer to them than we are to the other neighborhoods in the NorthEast I don't even know most of the community council members of Northeast but two people while I have met many of the Russian Jack Park community council members just doing volunteer work around our neighborhood or taking walks. So it feels like we are closer to them as community.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Northeast	6
233	Northeast	Strongly disagree	Yes		Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Northeast	6

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response ID Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
235	Northeast	Neutral	Not sure or do not know				Too large		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Northeast	6
285	Northeast	Neutral	Yes	Too large for this area. It encompasses many different neighborhoods. Muldoon, Chester Valley, Nunaka Valley, Fox Hall, etc.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda,Maximize_opportunities_f or_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Northeast	6
308	Northeast	Neutral	Yes	Because of the large amount of officials required to attend our meeting at the NECC, along with other community council meetings that are scheduled for the same time, I propose combining HD 18 and HD 17 to create a new North Anchorage Community Council.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
408	Northeast	Agree	No				Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
418	Northeast	Disagree	Yes	Community Council Boundaries should better align with the Assembly district boundaries.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Provide_representation_for_a ll_		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northeast	6
368	Scenic Foothills	Agree	Yes	Maybe extend to the west to Boniface. Our council area is quite small. Also consider extending to the north to include foxhall.	Maximize_opportunities_for_al l_		Too small	Northeast	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	North of E. Northern Lights Boulevard to Foxhall Drive	7
415	Scenic Foothills	Neutral	Yes	Our Scenic Foothills CC area is relatively small. It seems like possibly our area could be combined with the entire or parts of Northeast or University or Basher....Possibly increase our area by expanding to Baxter Road	Maximize_opportunities_for_al l_,Provide_representation_for_ all_		Too small	University Area	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
48	University Area	Neutral	Yes	Consider moving areas East of Boniface to Scenic Park CC.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
52	University Area	Agree	Yes	Let's include the area west of UAA - just like we include areas east and south of the U-Med district. I don't think university area needs to extend east of Boniface.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representatio n_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I am a non-resident owner of re	This year (in 2022)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
66	University Area	Strongly agree	Yes	Use Boniface as a boundary instead of Baxter.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
297	University Area	Strongly disagree	Yes	Boniface to Baxter should be included in Scenic Foothills CC. I live on Baxter and I feel like the issues that arise in the University CC are not as relevant as Scenic Foothills.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda,Maximize_opportunitie s_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
299	University Area	Disagree	Yes	The eastern area running from ENL to Baxter Rd fits in more with the Northeast area demographics.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,other	University area CC is still holding nothing but zoom meetings. I do not like those	Too large		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
370	University Area	Disagree	Not sure or do not know			Eastern area has different profile from universities or hospitals	Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	Last year (in 2021)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
368	Scenic Foothills	Agree	Yes	Maybe extend to the west to Boniface. Our council area is quite small. Also consider extending to the north to include foxhall.	Maximize_opportunities_for_al l_		Too small	Northeast	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
146	University Area	Disagree					Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	West of Baxter Road South of Northern Lights Boulevard	8
22	Scenic Foothills	Neutral	Not sure or do not know				Too small	Basher	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Scenic Foothills	9
415	Scenic Foothills	Neutral	Yes	Our Scenic Foothills CC area is relatively small. It seems like possibly our area could be combined with the entire or parts of Northeast or University or Basher....Possibly increase our area by expanding to Baxter Road	Maximize_opportunities_for_all_Provide_representation_for_all_		Too small	University Area	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Scenic Foothills	9
23	University Area	Disagree	Not sure or do not know				Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	University Area	10
188	University Area	Neutral	Not sure or do not know			Should align with elected official boundaries. Otherwise, too much overlap and not enough focus.	Not sure or do not know			This year (in 2022)	University Area	10
213	University Area	Neutral	Yes	Should be more aligned with House Districts or Assembly Districts. UACC consists of two residential areas completely separated by a large PLI area. It feels very disjointed. The interests of the PLI land are very different from the interests of the residential neighborhoods.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda,Maximize_opportunities_f or_all_Provide_representation_for_all_		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	University Area	10
35	Rogers Park	Disagree	Yes	Rogers Park neighborhood is physically separated from the College Village neighborhood by a large road and intersections (Northern Lights Blvd and Benson Blvd). These neighborhoods are not cohesive. College Village is next to B-3 zoning, which has been a public issue over the past few years. Rogers Park neighborhood is next to other residential zones and open space/public land. The community council does little outreach to College Village and none to Stephens Apartments, which fall within the Rogers Park Community Council area. The opinions and values of Rogers Park neighborhood usually take priority over the other areas within the community council area, leaving little representation for the other neighborhoods.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda,Maximize_opportunitie s_for_all_Provide_representati on_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	College Village	11
354	Campbell Park	Neutral	Yes	I feel more like Tudor Area and Campbell Park should merge. Tudor meetings are dead compared to Campbell Creek.	Provide_representation_for_all_		Too small	Tudor Area	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Tudor Area	12

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
12	Rogers Park	Strongly agree	Yes	Include additional neighborhoods to the south that are facing the same issues issues related to roadway widenings, interchange development, and homeless transitional housing.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_	Boundary could be expanded to include Tudor. It seems like many meeting topics focus more on what is happening in the Rogers Park School then what the community is facing from road projects, homeless, and other issues.	Too small	Tudor Area	I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Tudor Area	12
53	Tudor Area	Disagree	Yes	I am currently in "Green Acres" on Young St. My area of Tudor Community Council should be moved to University Area. The Geneva Woods portion of our community council should be moved to Midtown or Rogers Park. I understand that we have a lot of political disagreements in our community council, but am disappointed that we have not been able to hold regular meetings lately. I am not volunteering, though!	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too small	University Area	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Tudor Area	12
340	Tudor Area	Agree	Not sure or do not know				Too small	Rogers Park	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Tudor Area	12
381	Tudor Area	Agree	Not sure or do not know				Too small	University Area	I am a non-resident owner of re	This year (in 2022)	Tudor Area	12
403	Tudor Area	Disagree	Yes	I live on Salem Dr. and I feel like Tudor should be a boundary line for our neighborhood and we should be combined with the Southern part of Roger's Park. The new council should be between Seward Highway and Lake Otis and Tudor and Northern Lights.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Tudor Area	12
52	University Area	Agree	Yes	Let's include the area west of UAA - just like we include areas east and south of the U-Med district. I don't think university area needs to extend east of Boniface.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I am a non-resident owner of re	This year (in 2022)	Tudor Area	12
190	Campbell Park	Strongly disagree	Yes	The neighborhood on the south side of Tudor Road bounded by Elmore rd, Lake Otis Rd, and Campbell creek should be part of the UMed as this is the community all lands in this area serve. The development for the UMed area is also pushing onto the south side of Tudor. The Campbell Park community council does not characterize this area as well as the UMed community council does. I formally request the boundary for UMed be extended to this area.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too large		I am a non-resident owner of bo	Last year (in 2021)	South of Tudor Road and East of Lake Otis Parkway	13

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response ID Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
280	Campbell Park	Neutral	Yes	West Campbell area should run New Seward, Tudor, Lake Otis, and Dowling. The folks of Campbell north of Lake Otis have little in common with the south of Lake Otis folks., and belong to a separate neighborhood.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too large			Before last year	South of Tudor Road and East of Lake Otis Parkway	13
400	University Area	Agree	Yes	The downside of being bounded as we are by Northern Light and Tudor means that we do not actually get all of the news affecting areas north or south of those respective roads. Extending the boundaries south to MLK could help solve this for some of the more pointed issues involving trails. Otherwise, UACC's seem quite good.	Align_the_district_with_communi		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	South of Tudor Road and East of Lake Otis Parkway	13
387	Campbell Park	Strongly disagree	Yes	Recent redistricting has placed my State Representative and State Senator outside the area of the Council boundaries. An adjustment of the Community Council boundary to coincide with that of 20 and J would be appreciated.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	South of Tudor Road and East of Lake Otis Parkway	13
136	Mountain View	Agree	Yes	The far west portion of the Mountain View Community Council border seems more aligned, purpose-wise, with the industrial area of the Government Hill Community Council with the Railroad area. Most of MVCC is primarily residential or retail.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Reeve Blvd	14
253	Mountain View	Agree	Yes	The commercial area on the western edge of the MVCC does not participate in the council, in my experience over the last 15 years. They are more strongly tied to the Downtown CC and the Fairview CC--they are close to the Fairview Rec Center. It would make more sense for the MVCC to end at Reeve Boulevard as its western-most boundary. Also, the area colloquially referred to as "South Mountain View" ought to be considered for incorporation into Mountain View, as it is more closely tied to Mountain View than Russian Jack Park's territory, which extends / incorporates the area of Boniface and Northern Lights. The South Mountain View area is roughly from Bragaw/Glenn south to Costco @ Debarr, then east to Pine St, then north back to the Glenn/McCarrey St. Lastly, the Muni ought to formally forward the MVCC's 2013 and 2022 formal resolutions to the Alaska Redistricting Board, requesting that Davis Park be included in the Mountain View House & Senate districts. This would help greatly.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_communi,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representations_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I am a non-resident owner of bo	This year (in 2022)	West of Reeve Blvd	14
104	Airport Heights	Disagree	Yes	Move Penland Park to Mountain View	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_communi,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	Last year (in 2021)	Penland Park and Brighton Park	15
181	Airport Heights	Strongly agree	Yes	The residential complex at corner of Glenn Hwy and Bragaw on west side may need to go to Mt. View.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Penland Park and Brighton Park	15
206	Airport Heights	Agree	Yes	West side of Lake Otis as part of Roger's Park. North of DeBarr as part of MountainView.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Penland Park and Brighton Park	15

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response ID Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
49	Airport Heights	Agree	Yes	The Anchor Park neighborhood south of Chester Creek seems like it might be more appropriate as part of the Airport Heights CC .	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Anchor Park	16
205	Airport Heights	Agree	Yes	Move residences east of lake Otis,and north of northern lights from Rogers park to airport heights	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Anchor Park	16
372	Airport Heights	Agree	Yes	Home on the North East corner of lake Otis / Northern Lights should be added. 24th Avenue west of Lake Otis(one house, many lots, should be added.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Anchor Park	16
132	Rogers Park	Agree	Yes	I live in Anchor Park. Since our current House legislative district changed, Airport Heights might be more appropriate than Rogers Park. Technically, Anchor Park was built at the same time and style as many airport heights homes. Yes, Chester Creek separates the neighborhoods. Either RPCC or AHCC is fine, our little corner neighborhood is 'the odd one out'. In general, community councils should be similar in size. For example, Northeast CC is significantly larger than most other community councils.	other	See above.	In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Anchor Park	16
206	Airport Heights	Agree	Yes	West side of Lake Otis as part of Roger's Park. North of DeBarr as part of MountainView.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Eastridge	17
372	Airport Heights	Agree	Yes	Home on the North East corner of lake Otis / Northern Lights should be added. 24th Avenue west of Lake Otis(one house, many lots, should be added.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	24th Avenue West of Lake Otis	18
279	Fairview	Neutral	Yes	North of 5th Ave should be part of another community council. Also potentially the area in-between A and C St, and 15th through Charles Smith Memorial Park. The area north of 5th is all commercial (to my knowledge) and I feel that whole commercial strip has more in common with itself then it does with the communities it crosses into. The square bounded by A, C, 15th, and the park are kind of geographically cut off from the rest of Fairview. I think that they have easier access by walking/driving into the South Addition Neighborhood then the do with Fairview.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Fairview North of 5th Avenue	19
77	Fairview	Disagree	Yes	Gambell is a clear separation point that splits Fairview. The east side has the Senior Center and the Rec Center. It has sidewalks, traffic calming, and landscaping. While the west has the Sullivan and all the vagrancy issues that come with it. We have few sidewalks & essentially no traffic calming or landscaping. West side also has the Crazy Horse strip club. While it's not been an issue, I am just making a point. The difference between the two is very obvious from the ground.	Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too small	Midtown	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Fairview East and West of Gambell-Ingra Corridor	20

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
286	Fairview	Disagree	Yes	Currently, West Fairview is cut off from East Fairview by the Gambell Ingra couplet. Fairview west of Gambell is geographically more connected to south addition and downtown. Ideally, the Gambell Ingra couplet would be modified to be less of a blight on this community.	Use_clearly_identifiable_bound		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Fairview East and West of Gambell-Ingra Corridor	20
107	Fairview	Agree	Yes	The western boundary between 9th and 15th Aves should go to C Street as it is more of a natural boundary than the current boundary of Cordova Street. North of Memorial Park Cemetery, the western boundary of Cordova Street should continue until Ship Creek. On the east side, the Sitka Street boundary should continue south from Merrill Field until Chester Creek.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Sitka Street Park	21
121	Downtown	Strongly disagree	Yes	Extend East to Ingra South to 15th west to I street	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Provide_representation_for_all_		Too small		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	North of 15th Avenue between Ingra and I Streets	22
119	Fairview	Agree	Yes	During the last redrawing , or maybe the time before we lost a section of west Fairview to South Addition that was a more natural fit into Fairview's geographic space. But whatever. Don't want to rock the boat. Just saying.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	Last year (in 2021)	West of Cordova Street from 9th to 15th Avenue	23
336	South Addition	Agree	Yes	previous boundary to the East was at C St, perhaps time to return to this	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	West of Cordova Street from 9th to 15th Avenue	23
421	South Addition	Disagree	Yes	An analysis whether SACC boundaries should include lands north of the Park Strip (basically north of 9th in bootleggers cove) The development patterns are distinctly different, the economic relationships are more tied to downtown in that area. It would be good to study where the residents, property owners have more synergy to reach their goals. The natural boundary to the west is the Inlet and to the south is Chester Creek. Those boundaries would be worth a study to reaffirm and adjust as needed. The current east boundary is Cordova and a hodge-podge of zig zags that would worth a look for clarification.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda,Provide_representation_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Cordova Street from 9th to 15th Avenue	23
107	Fairview	Agree	Yes	The western boundary between 9th and 15th Aves should go to C Street as it is more of a natural boundary than the current boundary of Cordova Street. North of Memorial Park Cemetery, the western boundary of Cordova Street should continue until Ship Creek. On the east side, the Sitka Street boundary should continue south from Merrill Field until Chester Creek.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Cordova Street from 9th to 15th Avenue	23

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
279	Fairview	Neutral	Yes	North of 5th Ave should be part of another community council. Also potentially the area in-between A and C St, and 15th through Charles Smith Memorial Park. The area north of 5th is all commercial (to my knowledge) and I feel that whole commercial strip has more in common with itself then it does with the communities it crosses into. The square bounded by A, C, 15th, and the park are kind of geographically cut off from the rest of Fairview. I think that they have easier access by walking/driving into the South Addition Neighborhood then the do with Fairview.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	A and C Street Corridor South of 15th Avenue	24
230	South Addition	Agree	Yes	Include more of downtown - north of 9th Avenue; extend further east into residential areas.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Northwest of 9th Avenue and L Street	25
421	South Addition	Disagree	Yes	An analysis whether SACC boundaries should include lands north of the Park Strip (basically north of 9th in bootleggers cove) The development patterns are distinctly different, the economic relationships are more tied to downtown in that area. It would be good to study where the residents, property owners have more synergy to reach their goals. The natural boundary to the west is the Inlet and to the south is Chester Creek. Those boundaries would be worth a study to reaffirm and adjust as needed. The current east boundary is Cordova and a hodge-podge of zig zags that would worth a look for clarification.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Provide_representation_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Northwest of 9th Avenue and L Street	25
72	North Star	Disagree	Yes	Merge to North Star and Midtown.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_,other	What happen on North Fireweed impacts what happens on South Fireweed and vice vers	Too small	Midtown	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	North Star	26
85	North Star	Disagree	Yes	North Star Community Council is the smallest Community Council by area and also lacks the social cohesion and natural boundaries of larger council areas (Spenard, Mountain View etc). As a North Star resident, I wonder about the rationale for separating Fireweed to Chester Creek from other adjoining neighborhoods into its own entity. I would open up for discussion: 1) Expanding the southern boundary of North Star CC to Northern Lights to incorporate both sides of Fireweed Lane and surrounding business as we look toward a Fireweed Lane redesign. 2) Absorbing North Star CC into Spenard (West of Arctic) and Midtown (East of Arctic) CCs along the Romig/Central Middle School Boundary line.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too small		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	North Star	26

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
116	North Star	Strongly disagree	Yes	I attended a community council meeting and decided I would never to so again as the entire meeting was overtaken by an assembly member who acted as though this was the perfect opportunity to take over the meeting agenda. Very few people were able to get a word in as the topics shifted from one to the next. ALSO, this community council area (boundaries) are way too small when compared to appropriately sized other council areas. This council should be merged with a re-sized Spenard CC.	Use_clearly_identifiable_bound a,Maximize_opportunities_for_ all_,Provide_representation_for_ all_	I cannot understand why this "way too small" community council has continued over the years. Others who should serve as managers to this process know this, but it continues.	Too small	Spenard	I am a non-resident owner of re	Before last year	North Star	26
251	Spenard	Agree	Yes	Include the northern area of Spenard Rd near Hillcrest Drive.	Align_the_district_with_areas_ s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bou nda,Maximize_opportunities_f or_ all_,Provide_representation_for_ all_		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Romig Park near Hillcrest Drive	27
56	Midtown	Strongly disagree	Yes	Midtown CC used to have its northern half part of North Star CC and the southern half part of Spenard CC. About 20 years ago, Assembly member and business owner Dan Coffey pushed to create the mid-town CC. Unfortunately, it has become a voice of only commercial property and business owners and not reflective or inclusive of the people who live there. When I was president of it, we spent time and effort talking with these neighboring CC's about taking us back, so we'd be part of a greater residential group. They were both willing. Our CC vote failed by 1 vote out of about 12 people in attendance, with all the residents voting for and all the business/commercial people voting against it. I even tried to get the business folks to form their own Chamber of Commerce, like the Spenard businesses have (or join theirs), but they weren't interested in developing their own organization. I was part of NECC for years and know how important one that represents all who live in the area is.	Align_the_district_with_areas_ s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Provide_representation_f or_ all_,other	Have a critical mass of residents as well as businesses.	Too small	North Star	I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Midtown	28
172	Midtown	Strongly disagree	Yes	The council is dominated by businesses and refuses to change to accomodate residents who actually live within yhe boundaries. Either carve out the neighborhoods of discipline the council making it a true community council.	other		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Midtown	28
390	Midtown	Neutral	Yes	Go back to the original boundaries of Spenard and NorthStar CC's that incorporated midtown residential areas into these more residential council areas. The split was at 36th. We tried to get this passed some years ago, but the business owners all opposed it. We want a council with other active residents, not business owners who only have financial interests in the area and live elsewhere.	Align_the_district_with_areas_ s,Align_the_district_with_com muni,Maximize_opportunities_for_ all_,Provide_representatio n_for_ all_		Too small	North Star	I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Midtown	28

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response ID Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
191	Spenard	Disagree	Yes	<p>Spenard should extend east to the Highway, and if not that far then to C Street. The residential areas east of Arctic are not being represented by the Midtown CC area, but instead have common interests as Spenard including the mixture of commercial areas and busy roadways (Northern Lights, 36th, etc).</p> <p>The Spenard boundary to the west should be south of Northern Lights and East of Wisconsin St. Right now the Fish Creek Trail serves as the boundary, but the mixed residential area west of the creek called the "Spenardigan" area best aligns with Spenard's interests. Also, the Spenard Beach Park should be part of Spenard.</p> <p>These major roads serve as better boundaries than the current ones.</p>	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Midtown	28
199	Spenard	Disagree	Yes	<p>The Midtown CC should be dissolved and divided between the surrounding community councils. There is no representation for the residents of the council, they hold their meetings in the middle of the day which assures that working people cannot attend, they haven't had a resident on their executive committee for years, they do no outreach to the residential community and the executive committee has been business owners/property owners that don't live within the boundaries. The meetings I attended had about 10 people there, mostly representatives from the Assembly, the Muni and some from agencies. There were only a couple of actual members there. I wasn't impressed and feel like they are just another Chamber of Commerce, only for Midtown.</p>	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Provide_representation_for_all		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Midtown	28
222	Spenard	Disagree	Yes	<p>The mid town community council is made up in part of previously Spenard Community Council area. The current board of the Midtown Community Council has its meeting at noon on a weekday ensuring residents can't come. The current board of MCC is not made up of residents, but business owners. Returning those residents and businesses to SCC would allow the residents to have a voice in their community council.</p>	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Midtown	28
94	Spenard	Disagree	Yes	<p>It would make more sense to help the Spenard Community Council more closely match neighborhoods, for example put the rectangle north of Fireweed with the rest of the neighboring council.</p> <p>I'd also like to see the Spenard Community Council follow the new boundaries for the Assembly district and the state legislative districts if that works naturally. Right now some of those representatives have to hop to several meetings a month.</p>	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all,Provide_representation_for_all,other	Allow our representatives to work with councils that more closely match their districts.	Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Spenard	29

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
203	Turnagain	Disagree	Yes	Combine Spenard and Turnagain community councils, no changes to existing boundaries.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_,other	Our 2040 land use plan calls for more mixed use development, let residential Turnagain and commercial Spenard start working together now. Spenard is the closest commercial area for Turnagain, both areas should work together in its redevelopment.	Too small	Spenard	I live within the Community Cou	I have not attended a meeting	Turnagain	30
191	Spenard	Disagree	Yes	Spenard should extend east to the Highway, and if not that far then to C Street. The residential areas east of Arctic are not being represented by the Midtown CC area, but instead have common interests as Spenard including the mixture of commercial areas and busy roadways (Northern Lights, 36th, etc). The Spenard boundary to the west should be south of Northern Lights and East of Wisconsin St. Right now the Fish Creek Trail serves as the boundary, but the mixed residential area west of the creek called the "Spenardigan" area best aligns with Spenard's interests. Also, the Spenard Beach Park should be part of Spenard. These major roads serve as better boundaries than the current ones.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	West of Fish Creek to Wisconsin Street	31
191	Spenard	Disagree	Yes	Spenard should extend east to the Highway, and if not that far then to C Street. The residential areas east of Arctic are not being represented by the Midtown CC area, but instead have common interests as Spenard including the mixture of commercial areas and busy roadways (Northern Lights, 36th, etc). The Spenard boundary to the west should be south of Northern Lights and East of Wisconsin St. Right now the Fish Creek Trail serves as the boundary, but the mixed residential area west of the creek called the "Spenardigan" area best aligns with Spenard's interests. Also, the Spenard Beach Park should be part of Spenard. These major roads serve as better boundaries than the current ones.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Spenard Beach Park	32

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
298	Taku Campbell	Disagree	Yes	The southern boundary should be moved north to end at Dimond Blvd.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	South of Dimond Boulevard to 92nd Avenue	33
371	Bayshore/Klatt	Disagree	Yes	Bayshore/Klatt should be divided into 2 separate Community Councils	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Bayshore/Klatt	34
241	Old Seward/Oceanview	Agree	Yes	Add the area of C Street on the West, O'Malley/Minnesota to the North, New Seward Highway to the East, and Klatt Road to the South to the Old Seward/Oceanview Community Council Boundary. When you look at the map, this small area seems naturally joins the OSOV CC area rather than the Bayshore area. Currently, the North boundary stops at Klatt Road. The North Boundary should include the area to O'Malley/Minnesota Drive as above.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representati on_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	South of O'Malley Road to Klatt Road, East of C Street	35
318	Old Seward/Oceanview	Disagree	Yes	extend to Minnesota / Omalley	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representati on_for_all_		Too small	Bayshore/Klatt	I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	South of O'Malley Road to Klatt Road, East of C Street	35
422	Old Seward/Oceanview	Disagree	Yes	The area adjacent to the Old Seward/Oceanview Community Council area, currently contained within the Bayshore/Klatt CC, extends from C Street east to New Seward Highway and from Klatt Rd. north to O'Malley Rd. This area is demographically and geographically similar to the rest of the OSOVCC; residents shop in the same businesses (Huffman Center), go to the same schools. It is not separated from the rest of the CC by any major thoroughfare. Furthermore, this area does not seem to be as well connected to the bulk of Bayshore Klatt CC, given its separation by a maze of parkland, commercial development, and transportation corridors. I propose annexing this area into the OSOVCC.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representati on_for_all_		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	South of O'Malley Road to Klatt Road, East of C Street	35
137	Old Seward/Oceanview	Disagree	Yes	Oceanview east of Old Seward should be folded into the Huffman CC.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Oceanview East of Old Seward Highway	36
166	Huffman/O'Malley	Disagree	Yes	Huffman/O'Malley should stop on the east at Elmore Road. Uphill from Elmore is a much different neighborhood than downhill from Elmore.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	East of Elmore Road from 104th Ave to De Armoun Road	37
112	Rabbit Creek	Disagree	Yes	Upper hillside concerns align much more closely with Bear Valley area than mid-hillside and lower Potter marsh areas. Goldenview Drive north could merge into Bear Valley for example.	Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	Last year (in 2021)	Higher Elevations of Rabbit Creek	38
306	Rabbit Creek	Disagree	Yes	rabbit creek.	Use_clearly_identifiable_bounda		Too large		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Staff could not determine a boundary study area.	

Appendix B: Identification of Boundary Study Areas from Questionnaire Responses that Recommended Changes

Questionnaire Response I.D. Number	Select the Community Council where you live, own real property, or own a business having premises within the Community Council.	Do your Community Council district boundaries align with the actual neighborhoods, or "natural communities," in its part of town?	Should any changes to the boundaries of your Community Council district be considered?	What changes should be considered?	What are the reasons for these boundary changes to be considered?	Other	Is your Community Council too large, too small, or in an optimal size range to afford all community members the opportunity for participation and representation in an active, engaged Community Council?	If you think your Community Council is too small, which Community Council would prefer it merge with or expand into?	What kind of member are you in this Community Council?	When did you last attend a Community Council meeting in this Community Council?	Planning Department staff assignment of Boundary Study Areas based on questionnaire responses	Boundary Study Area No.
89	Rogers Park	Strongly disagree	No				In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Staff could not determine a boundary study area.	
183	Rogers Park	Strongly disagree	No				In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Staff could not determine a boundary study area.	
139	Russian Jack	Strongly disagree	No				In an optimal size range		I live within the Community Cou	This year (in 2022)	Staff could not determine a boundary study area.	
405	Sand Lake	Disagree	No				Not sure or do not know			This year (in 2022)	Staff could not determine a boundary study area.	
374	University Area	Disagree	Yes		Align_the_district_with_areas_s,Align_the_district_with_comuni,Maximize_opportunities_for_all_,Provide_representation_for_all_		Not sure or do not know		I live within the Community Cou	Before last year	Staff could not determine a boundary study area.	