Public Finance & Investments Division
Finance Department
Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose
Prudently and efficiently manage the debt and investment portfolios of the MOA while providing liquidity to meet daily cash requirements.

Direct Services
Provide the most cost-effective source of financing for all departments of the MOA.

Manage investment portfolios of the MOA with the objectives of:
- Safety of Principal,
- Liquidity to meet all operating requirements and
- Achieve the highest return on investment while complying with investment guidelines.

Provide investment performance reporting for the portfolios within the Municipal Cash Pool (MCP).

Provide investment accounting and investment earnings allocation services to all MOA departments.

Accomplishment Goals
- Maintain a rating of at least "AA" for the MOA's general obligation bonds.
- Refund any outstanding debt that provides a minimum net present value savings and provide the most cost-effective source of financing for all departments of the MOA.
- Invest only in securities that comply with AMC at the time of investment.
- Provide an investment return, gross of fees, that outperforms the respective benchmark for each portfolio manager within the MCP.

Performance Measures
- The rating of the MOA's general obligation by Standard & Poor's and Fitch.
- Dollar amount of the net present value savings achieved by refunding outstanding debt with cost effective, innovative, and creative sources of funding.
- Monthly compliance report for investments that measure if the investments in the portfolio are compliant with AMC and P&P 24-11.
- Quarterly portfolio performance reports that measure the actual returns, gross of fees, of the portfolios within the MCP compared to the respective benchmark returns for the MCP.

Information as of September 30, 2022

<u>Measure #1:</u> The rating of the MOA's general obligation by Standard & Poor's and Fitch.

Year	Standard & Poor's	Fitch
2008	AA Stable	AA Stable
2009	AA Stable	AA Stable
2010	AA Stable	AA+ Stable
2011	AA Stable	AA+ Stable
2012	AA+ Stable	AA+ Stable
2013	AA+ Stable	AA+ Stable
2014	AAA Stable	AA+ Stable
2015	AAA Negative	AA+ Stable
2016	AAA Stable	AA+ Stable
2017	AAA Stable	AA+ Stable

2018	AAA Stable	AA+ Stable
2019	AAA Stable	AA+ Stable
2020	AAA Stable	AA+ Stable
2021	AA+ Negative	AA+ Stable
2022	AA Negative	AA Stable

<u>Measure #2:</u> Dollar amount of the net present value savings achieved by refunding outstanding debt with cost effective, innovative, and creative sources of funding.

Year	Description of Refunding	Refunding Par Amount	Nominal Savings	NPV Savings
2009	AWWU-Water	$ 49,680,000	$ 149,533,362	$ 5,848,119
2010	GO-GP (refunding) C-1	11,840,000	1,036,948	1,137,757
	GO-GP (restructuring) C-2	11,910,000	-2,225,384	-583,328
2011	GO-Schools (refunding) C	28,310,000	1,947,120	1,832,934
2012	GO-GP (refunding) B	30,215,000	1,934,725	2,526,664
	GO-Schools (refunding) D	24,080,000	1,504,758	1,502,047
2013	No Refunding Activity			
2014	GO-GP (refunding) B	78,430,000	11,375,985	10,446,307
	GO-Schools (refunding) D	37,150,000	4,247,874	3,633,494
	ML&P Refunding	180,575,000	1,720,900	1,444,736
2015	GO-GP (refunding) B	115,250,000	13,142,354	12,667,732
	GO-Schools (refunding) D	81,040,000	10,155,939	9,198,977
	CIVICVentures (refunding)	93,970,000	17,203,908	9,099,922
2016	GO-Schools (refunding) C	41,960,000	4,444,132	4,297,132
2017	AWWU-Water	88,660,000	21,549,897	16,521,828
	AWWU-Wastewater	64,895,000	14,799,257	11,324,814
	AWWU-Water Refunding (T)	13,915,000	7,215,937	278,533
2018	GO-GP (refunding) B	20,265,000	617,965	1,199,551
	GO-Schools (refunding) D	57,020,000	6,827,125	6,301,871
2019	GO-GP (refunding) B	27,750,000	3,729,199	3,385,347
	GO-Schools (refunding) D	10,295,000	1,359,022	1,242,941
2020	GO-GP (refunding) B	1,765,000	255,829	254,632

	GO-GP (refunding) C	43,820,000	6,212,814	5,658,803
	GO-GP (refunding) D	13,900,000	2,917,962	2,804,721
	GO-Schools (refunding) F	77,830,000	8,941,887	8,124,692
2021	GO-GP (refunding) B	25,595,000	1,991,520	1,776,259
	GO-Schools (refunding) D	35,740,000	2,836,228	2,528,815
2022	No Refunding Activity			
	Grand Total		**$ 295,277,263**	**$ 124,455,300**

Financing Program	Savings
Master Lease Program 2008 – 2019	$1,000,000
Port Commercial Paper Program 2008 – 2015	9,600,000
Port Direct Loan Agreement 2016 – 2020	3,000,000
ML&P Commercial Paper Program 2012 – 2015	27,400,000
ML&P Direct Loan Agreement 2016 – 2019	12,776,000
ASU Direct Loan Agreement 2013 – 2019	9,380,000
ASU Intermediate Term Borrowing Program (ITBP) 2017 – 2019	750,000
AWU Direct Loan Agreement 2013 – 2019	11,900,000
AWU Intermediate Term Borrowing Program (ITBP) 2017 – 2019	1,500,000
Tax Anticipation Notes Issues 2006 – 2021*	16,969,157
2006 – 2021 Savings Achieved	**$94,275,157**

* Net profit achieved by keeping long term funds invested in The Municipal Cash Pool

Measure #3: Monthly compliance report for investments that measure if the investments in the portfolio are compliant with AMC and P&P 24-11.

Year	In Full Compliance?	Notes
2019	**Yes, with exceptions**	
	July	A large inflow of cash due to property tax payments was received which caused KeyBank repurchase agreement to have uninvested cash over the weekend. This caused overall percentage of government securities in the internally managed portfolio to dip below the 50% minimum. This was corrected and routine.
	August	A large transfer made on August 30th to pay debt service was made to account for a holiday weekend. The subsequent payment made on September 3 corrected the money market accounts which exceeded maximum value of 25% as set forth in P&P.
2020	**Yes, with exceptions**	
	February and March	A large number of investments were scheduled to mature in late February and March. In addition, the Fed dropped

		interest rates sharply, causing several large non-maturing investments to be called. The unprecedented market reaction to the Coronavirus Pandemic which occurred in late February and into March made it impossible to re-invest maturing and called assets at a reasonable yield. We have been monitoring markets and will reinvest when it is prudent to do so.
	April and May	A large number of investments were scheduled to mature in late February and March. In addition, the Fed dropped interest rates sharply, causing several large non-maturing investments to be called. The unprecedented market reaction to the Coronavirus Pandemic which occurred in late February and into March made it impossible to re-invest maturing and called assets at a reasonable yield. We have been monitoring markets and will reinvest when it is prudent to do so.
	August and September	On August 31 and September 30, CARES Act funds of approximately $96 million and $116 million (respectively) were invested in money markets in-order to maintain the liquidity required for rapid deployment of this money into the community.
2021	**Yes, with exceptions**	
	January, February, and March	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in January, February, and March; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	April, May, and June	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	July, August, and September	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	October, November, and December	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
2022	**Yes, with exceptions**	
	January, February, and March	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were

		left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	April, May, and June	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	July, August, and September	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	October and November	Large cash positions were held in the RMF account for short term spending expected in the near term; the returns on the type of short term, quality investments that would normally be invested in did not increase return, so these funds were left in money markets (which comprise Treasuries) rather than being invested individually in Treasuries (which would have reduced liquidity but not improved return).
	December	As of December 31, 2022, we are in full compliance.

<u>Measure #4:</u> Quarterly portfolio performance reports that measure the actual returns, gross of fees, of the portfolios within the MCP compared to the respective benchmark returns for the MCP.

	YTD 09/30/2022*	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017
BlackRock Portfolio	**-10.62**	**.24**	**6.89**	**9.18**	**-.04**	**3.63**
Benchmark	-10.72	.14	6.47	8.56	.23	3.34
Excess Return	0.10	0.10	0.42	0.62	-0.27	0.29
PNC Portfolio	**-4.46**	**-.44**	**3.78**	**4.35**	**1.70**	**1.19**
Benchmark	-4.54	-.47	3.33	4.03	1.60	0.84
Excess Return	0.08	0.03	0.45	0.32	0.10	0.35
APCM Portfolio	**0.80**	**.16**	**.65**	**2.58**	**1.85**	**0.90**
Benchmark	0.61	.05	.67	2.28	1.87	0.86
Excess Return	0.19	0.11	-0.02	0.30	-0.02	0.04
Total MCP	**-4.31**	**.12**	**3.55**	**5.44**	**.67**	**2.06**

Values are expressed as percentages - * Quarter 4 returns were not available at the time of producing this report

PVR Measure WC: Managing Workers' Compensation Claims

Reducing job-related injuries is a priority for the Administration by ensuring safe work conditions and safe practices. By instilling safe work practices, we ensure not only the safety of our employees but reduce the potential for injuries and property damage to the public. The Municipality is self-insured and every injury poses a financial burden on the public and the injured worker's family. It just makes good sense to WORK SAFE.

Results are tracked by monitoring monthly reports issued by the Risk Management Division.