

What is your level of support for the Municipality of Anchorage having a Public Safety Advisory Commission?

Response	Count
Strong support	4
Somewhat support	1
Neutral	1
Don't support	
Strongly don't support	
Undecided	

What should a Municipal Public Safety Commission do?

- Keep ICE out of Anchorage. Ensure due process. Prevent masked kidnappers from terrorizing our neighborhoods and restaurants. Plan and Prepare residents for the worsening effects of the climate crisis in the Arctic: early warning systems, public awareness campaigns, and emergency preparedness guidelines, strengthening neighborhood connections and capacity.
- That's too broad of a question to be answered here. Public safety means EVERYONE should feel safe. I don't feel safe outside my home. I don't trust police (or the federal agencies here now) to do the right thing.
- I am providing this feedback on behalf of the Alaska Black Caucus and the Alaska Coalition for Justice. We strongly support Anchorage having a Public Safety Commission as long as it is not merely "advisory". The Alaska Coalition for Justice is preparing a proposal for submission to the Task Force that addresses the proposed role, responsibilities and who should serve on a Municipal Public Safety Commission.
- A restored and reimagined Public Safety Commission should serve the community by substantially increasing the role of Anchorage citizens in deciding how we want to be policed.
- As a staff member of Native Movement, I support the proposal put forth by the Alaska Coalition for Justice provided it is not an advisory commission.
- I am submitting this proposal on behalf of the Alaska Coalition for Justice (ACJ). Members of ACJ include representatives from Native Movement, Pacific Community of Alaska, Alaska Black Caucus, Alaska Public Interest Research Group, Alaskans Take a Stand, and Stand UP Alaska. We support a public safety commission that is not advisory. The commission must go beyond advisory. ACJ proposes the creation of an independent, adjudicatory Public Safety Commission (PSC) to bridge critical gaps in the relationship between the community and the Municipality of Anchorage. This commission addresses the fundamental trust deficit that has historically

existed between the community and law enforcement by establishing mechanisms for transparency, accountability, and direct community collaboration. The PSC will operate as an independent, fully-funded municipal commission with direct investigative authority and subpoena power, serving as a transparent oversight body that strengthens community trust while promoting continuous improvement in police practices and standards. The proposal aligns with the eight key principles established by the National Association of Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (NACOLE) and addresses the systemic failures that led to the termination of the previous Public Safety Advisory Commission. We would like to request time during the November 13th task force meeting to present this proposal.

What are its responsibilities?

- Ensure due process. Uphold constitutional and cultural norms and look to indigenous Alaskan values as a guide. Prepare for the climate crisis (like the EU) on a neighborhood/community scale. Protect Anchorage residents from the terror of being kidnapped by masked ICE agents. Ensure the safety of pedestrians on city streets and trails. Educate folks about cybersecurity and civics. Strengthen neighbor connections and bonds.
- These are broad questions that won't help the effort to increase public safety.
- It should have the responsibility of investigating citizen complaints. It should participate in shaping APD recruitment, training and operational policies. It should bridge the historical trust gap by bringing police and citizens together to work on improving the force.
- I am supporting the proposal put forth by the Alaska Coalition for Justice.
- This is outlined in the attached proposal in section 4 (scope and authority) and section 6 (roles and responsibilities). The PSC will operate as an independent, fully-funded municipal commission with direct investigative authority and subpoena power. The commission will serve as a transparent, accountable oversight body that strengthens community trust while promoting continuous improvement in police practices and standards.

Who should serve on the commission?

- People who face the most safety threats, community organizers, ACLU, transportation folks, ppl familiar with climate risks, emergency response workers, cybersecurity specialists
- Agency reps should answer questions. Staff should facilitate meetings and the public should be the drivers of the change.
- Regular Anchorage citizens chosen in a fair selection process.

- I am supporting the proposal put forth by the Alaska Coalition for Justice.
- This is outlined in the attached proposal in section 5 (commission structure). The commission will be made up of 9 community members with eligibility criteria to be determined through a transparent process that ensures diverse community representation. There will be 3 paid professional staff members with investigative expertise who will provide continuity, technical capacity, and professional investigative skills. In order for the commission to be effective, adequate resources and staff time must be allocated to support the commission.

What duties or responsibilities should the commission have?

Response	Count
Hold public hearings and community listening sessions	5
Conduct other public outreach	6
Review and discuss potential code changes and policies	6
Make policy recommendations	5
Review prior investigations and findings after the fact	6
Conduct or participate in current investigations	6
Other (please specify):	

Other (please specify) responses:

- Represent people the interests of people in the margins.
- The police or state shouldn't be in charge of investigations into police brutality or police shootings.
- Reviewing and assessing police training curricula, recruitment practices, and departmental policies with authority to provide input and recommendations