From: John Henry
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2023 3:52 PM
To: akvakay@gmail.com
Subject: Fwd: Election complaint Apr 25, 2022 at 3.28 PM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: John Henry <akcarshopper@gmail.com>
Date: April 25, 2022 at 3:38:41 PM AKDT
To: Mark Dahl <marcus.dahl@anchorageak.gov>
Subject: Election complaint Apr 25, 2022 at 3.28 PM

Mark,

See attached complaint, Elections response and observer’s notes in response to the Elections response

Created with Scanner Pro
Municipality of Anchorage
April 5, 2022 Regular Municipal Election
Complaint Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBSERVER INFORMATION</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date: 4/19/2022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printed Name: JOHN HENRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name: RANDY JUITE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPECIFIC INFORMATION REGARDING COMPLAINT, INCLUDING ELECTION OFFICIAL NAME, IF APPLICABLE: On 4/19/2022 at 2:10 pm I observed Wally Blank working on the Adjudication Server with an attached monitor. When asked what he was doing, it was explained to Fix a not allowed function with John Dug test data. A flash drive was inserted into the server, removed and given to Liz. Why are they performing maintenance on the election server during the election process. Approx one hour later observers were notified they would adjudicate. The process did not work. After consulting with ?? they did their magic.

SIGNATURES

Signature of Observer: JOHN HENRY
Date Submitted: 4/19/2022

Signature of Municipal Clerk or Designee:  
Date Received:  

STAMP: RECEIVED
OFFICE OF MUNICIPAL CLERK
APR 25 2022
And the process worked. Is any of this is related??
Date: April 22, 2022

TO: Observers/Date of Complaint:
   Cecelia Donelson – 4/19/2022
   John Henry – 4/19/2022
   Joan Henry (2) – 4/19/2022
   Brenda Hastie – 4/19/2022
   Sami Graham – 4/19/2022

FROM: Ralph Duerre, Observer Liaison

SUBJECT: Observer Complaints – Vendor Representative On-Site

On April 19, 2022, a vendor representative visited the Election Center. All of the above complaints involve questions about this visit.

The MOA Election Center team noticed that the Election Summary Reports of four (4) LSRAs were not correct on the results reports. After consultation, the vendor thought the reports were not “sync-ing” with the vote tabulation, namely the votes of write-in candidates were not being reported. An MOA election Center staff member downloaded the April 2022 election onto an encrypted thumb drive and gave it to a vendor technician. The technician tested a correction on the vendor’s laptop. It worked, so the technician made the same correction to the Election Center server, and the four (4) LSRAs were now “sync-ed.” A copy of the corrected Election Summary Report was given to Observers in the Election Center at the time of the correction.

Attachment: Election Summary Report – April 19, 2022 (4/19/2022 2:14:23 PM),
UNDELI NED BALLOTS

CITERS RESPONSE IS INADEQUATE BECAUSE

o. We have a 25% uncontrolled ballot rate in Sand Lake/Jewel Lake by physical in-person survey.

o. Of 5692 ballots in precinct translates 1562 ballots potentially uncontrolled by extension. This is potential outcome changing # of ballots.

o. No process to ensure delivery of ballots to voters.

o. We are aware of over 200 voters who did not receive ballots with limited investigative resources.

DANIEL E SMITH
FILED 4.22.22
REPLY — 4.22.22
Clerk

Response is inadequate as they are unable to identify individual voters. We are left to trust the post office that ballots were undeliverable.

Lolly Reid. response Apr. 16

Daniel Smith. Mar. 18, 2022
NO MUNICIPAL AUDIT PERFORMED

CLERK RESPONSE IS INADEQUATE BECAUSE

- THE RISK LIMITING AUDIT (RLA) DOES NOT TAKE THE PLACE OF AN AUDIT WITH ACTUAL VOTER BALLOTS

- THE INSUFFICIENT RLA IS SCHEDULED TO OCCUR ON MAY 17, 2022, LONG AFTER THE ELECTION IS SCHEDULED TO BE CERTIFIED

- RLA AUDIT DOES NOT APPEAR TO CONFIRM WIF-Fi OR OTHER SECURITY BREACHES.

Daniel Smith 4.21.22
Response 4.24.22

Bee Hanson 4.25.22
Response ?
3rd party access to dominion machine.

Clers response is inadequate.

- No municipal IT personnel accompanied the individual accessing dominion server.

- Modification to dominion server during the election party and prior to completion of tabulation.

Date: April 19
Joan Henry (2)
Brenda Inslee
Sami Graham

The response reasoning for the dominion server work is inconsistent with the said reasons at the time of observation.

Who authorized a dominion employee to work on the server without notifying MUNI IT department.

What proof is there the thumb drive did not have a virus or other unauthorized code.