

Submitted by: Vice-Chair Constant

**Proposed Amendment to AO 2022-72**

**ORDINANCE NO. AO 2022-72, AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY AMENDING ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 3.70.110 TO MODIFY THE ASSEMBLY APPROVAL PROCESS OF AN ARBITRATOR'S DECISION IN COLLECTIVE BARGAINING FOR SERVICE CLASS A.2. AND 1.3. EMPLOYEES FOR PURPOSES OF IMPASSE RESOLUTION.**

**PROPOSED AMENDMENT**

**Purpose/Summary of amendment(s):**

The sponsor will speak to this amendment.

**TEXT OF AMENDMENT**

(adding new language, [DELETING CURRENT CODE LANGUAGE] and [~~Deleting words proposed by the unamended AO that are not in current code~~])

**Amendment No. 1:** Section \_\_1\_\_, Page \_\_2\_\_, beginning at Line(s) \_\_21\_\_, as follows:

... described in subsection A.2 or A.3 of this section **is adopted after approval [SHALL BE FINAL AND BINDING UPON THE PARTIES] [unless rejected] [AFTER APPROVAL] by a majority vote [EIGHT VOTES] of the assembly. Upon delivery of an arbitrator's decision to the municipal clerk, the assembly shall have 21 days to approve [reject] the decision.** The internal auditor or its contractor shall review and express an opinion on the financial analysis prepared by the affected parties of the projected costs and savings from the contract to be replaced resulting from the arbitrator's decision [RECOMMENDATION] and the municipality's last best offer. The assembly's action shall be by a resolution [stating the assembly resolves to reject the arbitrator's decision]. If the arbitrator's decision is **not approved [rejected] [NOT APPROVED] by the assembly within 21 days after delivery to the municipal clerk, or seven days following receipt of the municipality's financial analysis, whichever is later,** [, OR SEVEN DAYS FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF THE MUNICIPALITY'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS, WHICHEVER IS LATER, ] the parties shall be considered at impasse. ...