ANCHORAGE, ALASKA
AO No. 2023-78

AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY AMENDING ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 3.102, MUNICIPAL USE OF SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGIES, TO ADD A REQUIREMENT FOR A BODY-WORN CAMERA POLICY, SET SPECIFIC STANDARDS, AND TO REORGANIZE THE CHAPTER.

WHEREAS, in 2020 the Assembly approved AO 2020-116(S) submitting to the voters of the Municipality, a ballot proposition levying a special tax dedicated to fund the Anchorage Police Department’s acquisition of computer aided dispatch, record management systems, in-car and body-worn cameras and related technologies; and

WHEREAS, at the regular election held April 6, 2021, voters approved the special levy put forth in AO 2020-116 (S) to provide funds sufficient to the Anchorage Police Department to acquire body-worn cameras as well as other technologies; and

WHEREAS, on March 1, 2022, the Anchorage Assembly approved AR 2022-070 appropriating the sum of Eight Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars ($890,000) granted from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Assistance to the Federal Grants Fund (241900), Anchorage Police Department for the establishment of a lease agreement to implement a body-worn camera program; and

WHEREAS, at the end of March 2022, the Anchorage Police Department had completed an initial draft policy for the use and implementation of body-worn cameras; and

WHEREAS, in late May 2022, it was reported that the Municipality still had not begun negotiations with Anchorage Police Department Employees Association (APDEA), the union representing Anchorage police officers, regarding the drafted policies and procedures applicable to officers; and

WHEREAS, on October 5, 2022 more than nine months after the Assembly had appropriated money for the procurement of body-worn cameras, the Purchasing Department released a Request for Proposal, seeking bids to provide body-worn cameras for the Anchorage Police Department; and

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2022 the Anchorage Police Department reported at a meeting of the Public Safety Committee of the Anchorage Assembly that it was unable to resolve the issue of officer access to body-worn camera footage through negotiation with the union and it would have to be resolved through arbitration, a process requiring six to nine months; and
WHEREAS, on April 19, 2023, the Alaska Black Caucus filed suit against the Municipality of Anchorage, requesting the court issue an injunction requiring the Anchorage Police Department to begin equipping its officers with body-worn cameras; and

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2023 the Anchorage Police Department announced that it would move forward with its purchase of body-worn cameras, despite its ongoing arbitration with the union; and

WHEREAS, on May 24, 2023 the Anchorage Police Department announced that they had reached a resolution with APDEA regarding the draft body-worn camera policy disagreements that have contributed to delays in equipping officers with the technology; now, therefore,

THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAINS:

Section 1. Anchorage Municipal Code section 3.102.005 is hereby amended to read as follows (the remainder of the section is not affected and therefore not set out):

3.102.005. Definitions

Body-Worn Camera System means a body-worn audio/video recording system primarily consisting of a camera and an internal battery pack.

Digital Evidence Software means a secure video file management system required for downloading, storing, and retrieving video files recorded with the body-worn camera system.

Digital Multimedia Evidence (DME) means all digital recordings, including but not limited to audio, video, photographs, and their associated metadata. Metadata includes any digital identifiers that are captured as part of the actual recording, such as date/time, GPS coordinates, labeling, etc.

*** *** ***

(AO No. 2018-5, § 1, 2-13-18; AO 2023-35(S-1), § 1, 4-18-23)

Section 2. Anchorage Municipal Code section 3.102.020, is hereby amended to add back in the language from section 3.102.030, concerning exceptions to the restriction on the use of facial recognition technology, which is repealed below by Section 3 of this ordinance, as follows (the remainder of section 3.102.020 is not affected and therefore not set out):

3.102.020 – Restrictions on the use of facial recognition technology.

*** *** ***

E. Exceptions.
1. Nothing in this chapter shall prevent the Municipality from:
   a. Acquiring, obtaining, retaining, or accessing facial recognition technology on an electronic device intended for a single user, such as a mobile communication device, cellular phone or tablet, when the facial recognition technology is used solely for the purpose of the user;
   b. Acquiring, obtaining, retaining, or accessing social media or communications software or applications intended for communication with the general public that include facial recognition technology, as long as the municipality does not intentionally use the facial recognition technology;
   c. Having custody or control of electronic devices that include facial recognition technology when such electronic devices are held by the municipality solely for evidentiary purposes;
   d. Acquiring, obtaining, retaining, or accessing facial recognition technology solely for the purpose of using automated or semiautomated redaction software;
   e. Complying with the National Child Search Assistance Act, 34 U.S.C. §§ 41307-413087, or other federal statutes requiring cooperation in the search for missing or exploited children; or 6. Participate in, coordinate with, or otherwise be involved with multi-agency law enforcement investigations, working groups or task forces. Specifically, municipal law enforcement may intentionally work with third party agencies using Facial Recognition Technology to identify:
      i. Human remains or suspected missing persons;
      ii. Suspected victims of human trafficking; or
      iii. Suspected victims of child abuse or exploitation.
2. It shall not be a violation of this chapter for the municipality to acquire, obtain, or retain facial recognition technology when all the following conditions exist:
a. The facial recognition technology is an integrated, off the shelf capability, bundled with software or stored on a product or device;

b. Other functions of the software, product, or device are necessary or beneficial to the performance of municipal functions;

c. The software, product, or device is not acquired for the purpose of performing facial recognition;

d. The facial recognition technology cannot be deleted from the software, product, or device;

e. The municipality does not use the facial recognition technology; and

f. The municipal department, agency or official seeking to acquire the software, product, or device discloses the integrated, off the shelf facial recognition technology that cannot be deleted to the Assembly when seeking to acquire the software, product, or device.

F. Recognizing that changes in technology and circumstances may require additional exceptions to the requirements of this section, the assembly may approve such additional exceptions by resolution, under the following conditions:

1. Any municipal department that requests an exception to the restrictions of section 3.102.020 shall include in its request to the assembly an explanation of the need for an exception, a description of how the technology or information will be used, and a plan for monitoring the technology or information to ensure that its use remains within the approved parameters.

2. The assembly may approve the proposed exception by resolution pursuant to a public hearing, with or without revisions and conditions, for a period of no longer than 90 days, if it finds that the exception is consistent with the stated goals of preventing discrimination and promoting privacy, transparency, and the public trust.

3. Upon conclusion of the period of temporary exception, the department shall submit a report of its uses of the technology or information to the assembly. The department may at that time or subsequently request the assembly make the exception permanent by ordinance adding it under section 3.102.030D.
4. A department that has obtained a permanent exception shall submit an annual summary of its uses of the technology or information as part of the Annual Surveillance Report under Section 3.102.040 to the assembly. This summary shall not include personally identifiable information.

G. Additional permanent exceptions.

1. Reserved.

(AO No. 2018-5, § 1, 2-13-18; AO 2023-35(S-1), § 1, 4-18-23)

Section 3. Anchorage Municipal Code chapter 3.102, Municipal use of Surveillance Technologies, is hereby amended to repeal current Section 3.102.030, Exceptions, attached hereto as Exhibit A, and add a new section to read as follows (requiring no legislative formatting):


A. There shall be a body-worn camera policy governing the employment and use of body-worn cameras by the Anchorage Police Department. The policy shall be reviewed by the Municipal Attorney and approved by the Chief of Police. The following standards shall be included in any body-worn camera policy:

1. Body-worn cameras shall be worn by all relevant officers as determined by the Anchorage Police Department.

2. Officers may not review or copy recordings under the following circumstances:

a. When there is a use of force;

b. When there is a use of deadly force;

c. When there is an in-custody death; or

d. When an employee is the subject of a criminal investigation.

3. There shall be a method for automatic release of body-worn camera recordings associated with officer involved shootings or other critical incidents within a reasonable proposed timeline set by the department to maximize transparency.

4. With exceptions as appropriate, all calls for service shall be recorded until the conclusion of the incident. The individual officer’s desire for privacy is not an appropriate justification to terminate or interrupt recording.
5. Provisions shall be included to ensure first amendment and privacy rights are protected.

6. The body-worn camera policy shall be reviewed at least every two years.

C. Retention and Release of Body-Worn Camera Footage

1. A timeline shall be established, in accordance with municipal records retention schedules, for retention of body-worn-camera footage.

2. Body-worn camera footage shall be automatically retained for no less than three (3) years if the footage captures an interaction or event involving:
   
   a. Any use of force; or
   
   b. An encounter about which a complaint has been registered by a subject of the camera footage.

3. Body-worn camera footage shall also be retained for no less than three (3) years if a longer retention period is voluntarily requested by:
   
   a. The law enforcement officer whose body-worn camera recorded the footage, if that officer reasonably asserts the camera footage has evidentiary or exculpatory value;
   
   b. Any law enforcement officer who is a subject of the camera footage, if that officer reasonably asserts the footage has evidentiary or exculpatory value;
   
   c. Any superior officer of a law enforcement officer whose body-worn camera recorded the footage or who is a subject of the footage, if that superior officer reasonably asserts the camera footage has evidentiary or exculpatory value;
   
   d. Any law enforcement officer, if the camera footage is being retained solely and exclusively for police training purposes;
   
   e. Any member of the public who is a subject of the camera footage;
   
   f. Any parent or legal guardian of a minor who is a subject of the camera footage; or
g. A deceased subject’s spouse, next of kin, or legally authorized designee.

4. Body-worn camera footage shall not be modified unless used for training purposes. Authorization to modify footage for this purpose must be documented through the chain of command to the Deputy Division Chief.

5. Any request to destroy camera footage before the time allowed by the mandated retention schedule must be submitted to the Municipal Attorney for approval prior to destruction.

6. Any intentional destruction of camera footage that fails to comply with this section may be prosecutable as a violation of AS 11.56.610, AMC section 8.30.080, or other similar provision of law.

(AO No. 2018-5, § 1, 2-13-18)

Section 4. This ordinance shall be effective one year after adoption of an initial body-worn camera policy.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Anchorage Assembly this _____ day of ______________, 2023.

__________________________________________
Chair

ATTEST:

__________________________________________
Municipal Clerk
From: Assembly Members Rivera, Martinez, and Volland

Subject: AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY AMENDING ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 3.102, MUNICIPAL USE OF SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGIES, TO ADD A REQUIREMENT FOR A BODY-WORN CAMERA POLICY, SET SPECIFIC STANDARDS, AND TO REORGANIZE THE CHAPTER

The proposed ordinance makes several changes to Chapter 3.102 of the Anchorage Municipal Code in order to provide some requirements in code for the use of body-worn cameras by the Anchorage Police Department.

Section 1 defines the new terms used in the ordinance.

Sections 2 and 3 reorganize the chapter by repealing restrictions on the use of facial recognition technology, previously enacted on April 18, 2023, by AO 2023-35(S-1) for codification under AMC section 3.102.030 and inserting the language verbatim as new Subsections E, F and G to AMC section 3.102.020.

Section 3 goes on to add a new section 3.102.030 as the provision of Municipal Code governing the use of body-worn cameras. This section provides restrictions for when officers may review footage, when the footage must be released, and minimum times footage must be retained. It also provides mechanisms of oversight by both the Municipal Attorney and the Assembly.

I request your support for the ordinance.

Reviewed by: Assembly Counsel’s Office

Respectfully submitted: Felix Rivera, Assembly Member
District 4, Midtown

George Martinez, Assembly Member
District 5, East Anchorage

Daniel Volland, Assembly Member
District 1, North Anchorage
3.102.030. Exceptions.

A. Nothing in this chapter shall prevent the Municipality from:

1. Acquiring, obtaining, retaining, or accessing facial recognition technology on an electronic device intended for a single user, such as a mobile communication device, cellular phone or tablet, when the facial recognition technology is used solely for the purpose of the user;

2. Acquiring, obtaining, retaining, or accessing social media or communications software or applications intended for communication with the general public that include facial recognition technology, as long as the municipality does not intentionally use the facial recognition technology;

3. Having custody or control of electronic devices that include facial recognition technology when such electronic devices are held by the municipality solely for evidentiary purposes;

4. Acquiring, obtaining, retaining, or accessing facial recognition technology solely for the purpose of using automated or semiautomated redaction software;

5. Complying with the National Child Search Assistance Act, 34 U.S.C. §§ 41307-413087, or other federal statutes requiring cooperation in the search for missing or exploited children; or

6. Participate in, coordinate with, or otherwise be involved with multi-agency law enforcement investigations, working groups or task forces. Specifically, municipal law enforcement may intentionally work with third party agencies using Facial Recognition Technology to identify:

   a. Human remains or suspected missing persons;

   b. Suspected victims of human trafficking; or

   c. Suspected victims of child abuse or exploitation.

B. It shall not be a violation of this chapter for the municipality to acquire, obtain, or retain facial recognition technology when all the following conditions exist:

1. The facial recognition technology is an integrated, off the shelf capability, bundled with software or stored on a product or device;
2. Other functions of the software, product, or device are necessary or beneficial to the performance of municipal functions;

3. The software, product, or device is not acquired for the purpose of performing facial recognition;

4. The facial recognition technology cannot be deleted from the software, product, or device;

5. The municipality does not use the facial recognition technology; and

6. The municipal department, agency or official seeking to acquire the software, product, or device discloses the integrated, off the shelf facial recognition technology that cannot be deleted to the Assembly when seeking to acquire the software, product, or device.

C. Recognizing that changes in technology and circumstances may require additional exceptions to the requirements of this section, the assembly may approve such additional exceptions by resolution, under the following conditions:

1. Any municipal department that requests an exception to the restrictions of section 3.102.020 shall include in its request to the assembly an explanation of the need for an exception, a description of how the technology or information will be used, and a plan for monitoring the technology or information to ensure that its use remains within the approved parameters.

2. The assembly may approve the proposed exception by resolution pursuant to a public hearing, with or without revisions and conditions, for a period of no longer than 90 days, if it finds that the exception is consistent with the stated goals of preventing discrimination and promoting privacy, transparency, and the public trust.

3. Upon conclusion of the period of temporary exception, the department shall submit a report of its uses of the technology or information to the assembly. The department may at that time or subsequently request the assembly make the exception permanent by ordinance adding it under section 3.102.030D.

4. A department that has obtained a permanent exception shall submit an annual summary of its uses of the technology or information as part of the Annual Surveillance Report under Section 3.102.040 to
the assembly. This summary shall not include personally identifiable information.

D. Additional permanent exceptions.

1. Reserved