# **MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE**



## **Assembly Memorandum**

No. AM 374-2021

Meeting Date: June 8, 2021

From: ACTING MAYOR

Subject:

AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL ASSEMBLY APPROVING THE BASIS-OF-DESIGN CONCEPT SUBMITTED BY THE PORT OF ALASKA MODERNIZATION PROGRAM AND DESIGN ADVISORY BOARD THAT WILL GOVERN THE PHASE 2 MODIFIED CONCEPT FOR THE PORT OF ALASKA GENERAL

**PURPOSE CARGO TERMINALS.** 

1 2

On August 27, 2020, the Assembly approved AO 2020-81 and created the Port of Alaska Modernization Program (PAMP) Design Advisory Board. The Board was created to ensure that PAMP's mission is undertaken in an efficient and collaborative manner, and to ensure that such efforts do not interfere with internal development activities of the port users. As such, the Board advises the mayor and assembly on matters including basis-of-design documents.

As directed by AMC 11.50.035D., on June 1, 2021, the PAMP Design Advisory Board met and through unanimous decision determined and recommends adoption of the Phase 2 Modified Concept for the design of the general-purpose cargo terminals.

2.5

This recommendation is based upon well over a year of cooperative interaction between the Port of Alaska, Jacobs Engineering, Matson, TOTE Maritime, Safeguard Marine, Southwest Alaska Pilots Association, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Cook Inlet Tug and Barge, Harley Marine Services, the Port of Alaska Users Group, and others during which various issues associated with logistics operations, safe vessel maneuver, permitting implications, time to construction completion, capital costs and operational costs were analyzed and balanced against baseline stakeholder requirements and the Municipality of Anchorage Essential Features published March 22, 2021. The Phase 2 Modified Design Concept was found to have many advantages, to include:

 Considered the quickest route to US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 408-Authorization because it was previously evaluated by USACE during 2016/17 sedimentation modelling and found to be acceptable – eliminates two or more years of modelling and regulatory consultation time

- Only evaluated alternative that complies with the 11-degree supplementary berth line angle identified by USACE as an Anchorage Harbor Dredging Project geometric constraint – necessary for 408-Authorization
- Generates the smallest amount of additional maintenance dredging required

1

2 3 4

> 6 7 8

> > 9

5

10 11 12

14 15

13

16

17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24 25

> 26 27 28

29 30

31

32

33 34

36 37 38

39

of the alternatives evaluated

- Recommended by mariners during 2020 tabletop geometric evaluations for maneuver safety as the preferred alternative evaluated
- Within the limitations of the current level of design development, provides for the lowest investment and lifecycle expenditures of the alternatives evaluated
- Technically solves the identified general-purpose cargo requirements while providing flexibility to support the addition of petroleum transfer operations
- Of the evaluated alternatives, this geometry best supports uninterrupted container cargo operations for both shippers through workable construction phasing
- Requires the least amount of required North Extension cutback of alternatives evaluated

Approval of the concept set forth in the attached documents by the Assembly is required to move forward and take the next steps in the PAMP design process.

The next steps in the PAMP design process include:

- Identifying design costs
- Identifying source of funds and recovery mechanism
- Identifying financial and other impacts to users of Port of Alaska
- Modifying the Plan of Finance as necessary
- Inclusion and approval of the project in the Port of Alaska Capital Improvement Budget

Therefore, at present, the attached approval of the Phase 2 Modified Concept Design for the Port of Alaska general purpose cargo terminals has no private sector economic effects and local government effects are less than \$30,000. Pursuant to AMC 2.30.053B.1., a summary of economic effects (SEE) is not required.

## THE ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDS APPROVAL.

Prepared by: Port of Alaska

Approved by: Steve Ribuffo, Port Director

Lance Wilber, Director, Office of Management & Budget Concur:

Concur: Alexander Slivka, CFO 35

Concur: Kathryn R. Vogel, Municipal Attorney Anna Henderson, Municipal Manager Concur: Austin Quinn-Davidson, Acting Mayor Respectfully submitted:



Port of Alaska 2000 Anchorage Port Road Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 343-6200

#### **Agenda**

## Port of Alaska Modernization Program Design Advisory Board

June 1, 2021

#### **Teams Format**

- 1. Call to Order / Roll
- 2. Safety Minute
- **3. Public Involvement Announcement:** PAMP Design Advisory Board meetings are open to the public and the public is provided an opportunity to comment at each meeting. Business items will be presented by the Chair or consultant. After the Board discusses the business item, the public is invited to formally comment.
- 4. Approval of Agenda
- 5. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes (if applicable)
- 6. Old Business (if applicable)
- 7. New Business
  - a. Selection of PAMP Cargo Dock Design Concept
- 8. Closing Board Member Comments
- 9. Public Comments
- 10. Next Meeting Date (if applicable)
- 11. Adjourn

## Action Items to Be Addressed During Cargo Dock Design Process:

- Conduct current velocity measurements as scheduled (May 27, 2021)
  - Repeat after NES cutback(s)
- After Assembly approval, authorize Jacobs to proceed with preliminary engineering and permitting programs
- Establish a working group, led by the Port and supported by the Port Users Group, to create two key strategy plans: 1) for communications with the new administration, and 2) to look for project acceleration opportunities.
- Establish standing meetings for these working groups. Steve and Sharen to follow up
  with Lance and Alex on if the executive weekly meeting should morph to become the
  working group meeting.
- Establish additional coordination groups as needed for specific focus items. Bal will coordinate with the working group to establish these as needed.
- Consider ways to accelerate permitting and funding schedules
- Continue close watch on fuels activities and forecasts
- Analyze if a second petroleum terminal could be constructed simultaneously with cargo dock construction.
- Increase the inspection frequency on existing docks