# LETTER OF AGREEMENT By and Between MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE (MOA)

#### and the

### ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, APDEA APDEA-0013

Re: Calculation of Overtime Pay, APDEA Grievances 10-14 and 12-3

#### Recitals

- A. This Agreement is intended to resolve any and all issues raised in APDEA grievances 10-14 and 12-3.
- B. The parties recognize that there is a dispute under Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement as to: (1) the application of the "regular rate of pay" for overtime under Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement; and (2) the method of calculating the "denominator" of the "regular rate of pay" for overtime purposes under the FLSA and Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement.
- C. Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement states in pertinent part:

"All work in excess of eight (8) hours per day or in excess of ten (10) hours per day for those working four-tens (4-10's) or forty (40) hours per week shall be paid for at the overtime rate of pay of one and one-half (1-½) times the regular rate of pay."

D. The "regular rate of pay" is often expressed as the following fraction:

remuneration for employment				
hours worked	=	regular	rate	of pay

#### Agreement

The parties agree as follows:

 Where the term "regular rate of pay" is used in Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement, the MOA will apply the "regular rate of pay" when paying overtime only when an APDEA member works more than 40 hours in a workweek. Paid leave (including, but not limited to, holidays, vacation, military leave, jury duty, and sick leave) is not "hours worked" for the purpose of meeting the 40-hour workweek threshold.

- 2. Where the term "regular rate of pay" is used in Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement, the MOA will use the higher of the following two rates of pay in paying overtime when an APDEA member works fewer than 40 hours in a workweek, excluding paid leave: the factored rate of pay or working out of class / acting rate of pay.
- 3. In calculating the "regular rate of pay" for overtime under the FLSA and Article VII, Section 2 of the current collective bargaining agreement, the MOA will allow the "denominator" of the regular rate of pay fraction to "float" by including all hours worked in a workweek.
- 4. The MOA shall pay two hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000,00) to the APDEA and its members as wages claimed in the above-mentioned grievances and as costs and fees incurred by the APDEA. The payment to employees is for unpaid overtime compensation due to the alleged miscalculation of the "regular rate of pay" for the period of time between November 24, 2008 and March 13, 2011. A minimum payment in the amount of \$50.00 is being made to certain employees as a projection of underpaid overtime compensation, but is not directly attributable to hours worked. These settlement funds will be distributed to current and former APDEA members as wages by payroll checks in the amounts indicated in Exhibit A as determined by APDEA and to the APDEA through an accounts payable check in amounts directed by APDEA. Payment will be made within 30 days of assembly approval. Retired APDEA members who receive a back pay check shall not receive 401(k) or 457 contributions from the MOA.

In entering into this Agreement, the MOA makes no representation as to PERS treatment of the settlement wages set forth in Paragraph 4 of this Agreement as pensionable funds. The MOA, in coordination with APDEA, will submit this final agreement to the State of Alaska Division of Retirement and Benefits for a determination of whether the settlement wages set forth in Paragraph 4 of this Agreement are pensionable funds.

- 5. The APDEA has paid the arbitrator's cancellation fee.
- Pursuant to AMC 3.70.130(B), this Agreement shall not extend beyond the term
  of the current collective bargaining agreement and shall remain in effect until a
  successor collective bargaining agreement is negotiated or reached through the
  dispute resolution process set forth in AMC 3.70.

Pursuant to AMC 3.70.130 D., each and every collective bargaining contract, agreement, modification, written interpretation, or other change, alteration or amendment, no matter how denominated, shall include a summary of requirements and remedial provisions, and the certification under oath or affirmation by each duly

authorized representative signing on behalf of a party. The duly authorized representatives, on behalf of the parties to this agreement, hereby affirm and certify as follows:

- A. This agreement complies with Anchorage Municipal Code section 3.70.130.
- Section 3.70.130 requires Assembly approval of all modifications and amendments, no matter how denominated.
- C. Absent Assembly approval as required by section 3.70.130, any modification or amendment, no matter how denominated, shall be deemed null and void, and any payments made shall be recoverable by the Municipality.
- D. Absent Assembly approval as required by section 3.70.130, written clarifications and interpretations within the definition of "administrative letter" are invalid.
- E. Section 3.70.010 prohibits the use of administrative letters to vary the explicit terms of a labor agreement.
- F. Intentional actions in violation of section 3.70.130 are subject to fines and penalties under section 1.45.010.
- G. Remedial actions: In the event the provisions of section 3.70.130 are violated by administrative action, any labor agreement, agreement, modification, written interpretation, or other change, alteration or amendment, no matter how denominated, shall be null and void with no force or effect.

#### AGREED TO AND SIGNED FOR BY:

Municipality of Anchorage:		APDEA:		
aphreen		M	11.14.2013	
Nancý Úsera	Date	Derek Hsieh	Date	
Acting Director, Employee Relat	tions	President		

Submitted by: Chair of the Assembly at the

Request of the Mayor

APPROVED As Amended

CLERK'S OFFICE

Prepared by: For reading:

Department of Law January 14, 2014

1-28-14

AM 41-2014(A) was amended and approved 1-24-18
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AR No. 2014-16

A RESOLUTION APPROVING LETTER OF AGREEMENT APDEA-0013 BETWEEN THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE AND THE ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION RESOLVING TWO GRIEVANCES AND CLARIFYING CONTRACT LANGUAGE TO CONFORM TO MUNICIPAL PRACTICE.

6 7 8

1

2

3

4

5

WHEREAS, since at least 1999, the Municipality has calculated overtime using both a contractual rate and a statutory rate as applicable;

9 10 11

WHEREAS, a bona fide dispute has arisen regarding the use of two rates and the calculation of the statutory rate resulting in two grievances, (APDEA 10-14 and 12-3);

12 13 14

WHEREAS, these disputes involve Article VII, section 2, of the APDEA collective bargaining agreement and are unique to that contract; and

15 16 17

18

19

WHEREAS, an agreement has been reached with APDEA to allow the Municipality to continue its present methods of calculating overtime without incurring any added cost of re-programming its payroll systems or re-calculating a number of years of claimed overtime deficiencies on a paycheck to paycheck basis; now, therefore,

20 21 22

#### THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY RESOLVES:

23 24

Section 1. Letter of Agreement, APDEA-0013 attached as Exhibit A, is hereby approved.

25 26 27

Section 2. This resolution shall be effective immediately upon passage and approval by the Assembly.

28 29 30

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Anchorage Assembly this 28th day of anuary, 2014.

31 32 33

End House
Chair of the Assembly

35 36 37

34

ATTEST:

38 39 40

Barbara a. Jones
Municipal Clerk

41 42

43

## MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY MEMORANDUM

Dec AM 41-2014(A)

No. AM 41-2014

Meeting Date: January 14, 2014

From:

MAYOR

Subject: A RESOLUTION APPROVING LETTER OF AGREEMENT APDEA-0013 BETWEEN THE MUNICIPALITY OF ANCHORAGE AND THE ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES

ANCHORAGE POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION RESOLVING TWO GRIEVANCES AND CLARIFYING CONTRACT LANGUAGE TO CONFORM TO

MUNICIPAL PRACTICE.

Letter of Agreement APDEA-13 resolves two outstanding grievances between the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA) and The Anchorage Police Employees Association (APDEA). See Exhibit A – LOA APDEA-13 (employee names and compensation omitted). This grievance resolution allows the Municipality to continue its present methods of calculating overtime without incurring any added costs of re-programming MOA payroll systems or re-calculating a number of years of claimed overtime deficiencies on a paycheck to paycheck basis.

 The two grievances, APDEA 10-14 and 12-3, are based on contractual questions of how to calculate the rate of pay used to pay overtime compensation. The specific issues raised in the grievances are described in LOA APDEA-13 and involve application of disputed federal wage and hour calculations and definitions applied to contractual language. The method by which overtime rates of pay are calculated is defined by the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) and the collective bargaining agreement (CBA). There is disagreement as to whether these two sources say exactly the same thing about how the rate of pay for overtime calculations should be made for APDEA employees. Additionally, there is the issue of past practice and whether prior methodologies must remain in place even if they are at odds with some (but not all) of the aforementioned sources. This settlement stops the disagreement short of expensive and risky arbitration, compensates employees for perceived losses in the past, allows the MOA to continue with its method of calculation for overtime compensation, and precludes additional contests on this matter in the future.

The Administration disputes all of APDEA's claims as payroll practices for calculating overtime have been consistent since at least 1999 and are correct by Federal Department of Labor guidelines. There is however a bona fide dispute as to whether APDEA or its membership were reasonably aware of the MOA practices and methodology prior to these grievances.

If the MOA was found to have violated the Collective Bargaining Agreement, APDEA was alleging five years of payroll errors going back to 2008. The alleged

errors are generally only a few cents on each hour worked. However, based on the 1 size of the APDEA work group, the cumulative exposure could exceed \$100,000 a 2 Further, the administrative costs for calculating damages and or re-3 programming MOA payroll software are estimated to exceed \$250,000 each. Thus, 4 even a compromise verdict in arbitration could be extremely expensive. 5 6 7 Although the administration strongly emphasizes that current payroll processes are 8 legally and contractually correct, a good faith dispute exists. A business decision was made to settle this matter to provide certainty and to avoid the potential costs of 9 an adverse verdict. 10 11 12 THE ADMINISTRATION RECOMMENDS APPROVAL. 13 14 William A. Earnhart, Assistant Municipal Attorney 15 Prepared by: Approved by: Mark Mew, Police Chief 16

Lucinda Mahoney, CFO

**Fund Certification:** 

Non Encumbering - Various Accounts

2013 Police Operating Budget

19 20

17

18

Not to Exceed \$250,000

21 Concur: 22 Concur: Dennis A. Wheeler, Municipal Attorney George J. Vakalis, Municipal Manager

Respectfully submitted: 23

Daniel A. Sullivan, Mayor

24