
Purchasing Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

Measure #1: Cost to provide efficient purchasing services as a percent of total MOA purchases and compare to national benchmarks.

2012	2013	2014	2015	2016*
.4%	.2%	.3%	.4%	.1

Benchmark below 1%

*Number abnormally low due to Change Order activity

Measure #2: Number of formal protests sent to Bidding Review Board (BRB)

2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
0	1	3	1	0

Goal: 0

2013 (Contractor withdrew appeal subsequent to Bidding Review Board decision, BRB upheld MOA decision)

2014 (POA, AWWU Asplun Wastewater Treatment Facility, Harry J. McDonald Ice Rink)

2015 (solid Waste Service Garbage Trucks)

Measure #3: Expenditures in the local area

2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
74%	44%	43%	57%	41%

2012 (decrease due to large GE Turbine purchase for ML&P)

2013 (decrease due to \$200M contract to Quanta Power Systems and \$44M change order to GE systems, without these actions, it was 76%)

2014 (this remains artificially low due to the Quanta Power Systems , GE turbine contract, and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 82%)

2015 (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 86%)

2016 (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 79%)

No formal Goal but matter of local interest