
Office of Management and Budget

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Mission

Implementation of sound fiscal and management policies through development and administration of municipal budgets

Core Services

- Administer development, implementation, and monitoring of the general government and utility operating and capital budgets
- Establish and enforce policy for budget documentation format and content
- Review and process budget transfers, Assembly documentation, project set-up forms, grant-related documentation, and personnel changes
- Facilitate a city-wide performance measure/accountability program

Accomplishment Goals

- Improve the quality of budget-related information provided citizens and decision-makers by attaining the "Distinguished Budget Presentation Award" from Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) by 2013
- Improve accuracy of Assembly documents prepared by departments
- Implement the Mayor's "Performance. Value. Results" performance-based management initiative
- Improve departments understanding of Intra-governmental charge (IGC) system
- Ensure departments are satisfactorily served

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

<u>Measure #1:</u> Receipt of Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Budget Award in 2013.

Office of Management and Budget submitted the 2014 approved budget to GFOA in January for evaluation in meeting Distinguished Budget Presentation criteria. We received the award on July 17, 2014.

.

Measure #2: Percent of department-prepared Assembly documents rejected due to formatting and accounting errors.

Year to Date Change in Percent of Rejected PACE Documents

Department	2013 Total # Rec'd	2013 Total # Rej'd	2013 Total % Rej'd	2014 1st Qtr # Rec'd	2014 2nd Qtr # Rej'd	2014 1st Qtr % Rej'd	2014 2nd Qtr # Rec'd	2014 2nd Qtr # Rej'd	2014 2nd Qtr % Rej'd	2014 3rd Qtr # Rec'd	2014 3rd Qtr # Rej'd	2014 3rd Qtr % Rej'd
AWWU	24	5	21%	4	1	25%	1	0	0%	7	1	14%
Chief Fiscal Officer	24	4	17%	8	1	13%	3	0	0%	5	0	0%
Community Development	21	2	10%	6	2	33%	10	0	0%	7	0	0%
Employee Relations	11	1	9%	6	0	0%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%
Finance	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Fire	11	2	18%	0	0	0%	6	1	17%	0	0	0%
Health	38	6	16%	8	3	38%	3	1	33%	17	5	29%
Information Technology	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%
Internal Audit	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Library	16	5	31%	12	5	42%	1	0	0%	8	3	38%
Management & Budget	10	0	0%	3	0	0%	8	0	0%	4	0	0%
Mayor	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Merrill Field	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Municipal Light & Power	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Municipal Attorney	3	0	0%	3	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	1	50%
Municipal Manager	9	2	22%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	7	3	43%
Parks & Recreation	18	4	22%	7	1	14%	4	0	0%	1	0	0%
Police	9	2	22%	0	0	0%	7	3	43%	0	0	0%
Port	9	3	33%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Public Transportation	25	15	60%	8	3	38%	4	1	25%	11	3	27%
Public Works	41	14	34%	28	10	36%	0	0	0%	15	3	20%
Purchasing	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Real Estate	47	11	23%	11	3	27%	13	4	31%	6	0	0%
Solid Waste Services	3	1	33%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
Totals	323	77	24%	106	29	27%	66	10	15%	93	19	20%

Measure #3: Department performance measures that are reporting data.

Departments	Total Measures	Data Reported	No Data	% Data Reported	Date
Anchorage Water & Wastewater	6	6		100.00%	10/28/2014
Community Development					
Development Services	12	12		100.00%	10/28/2014
Planning	4	4		100.00%	10/28/2014
Employee Relations	7	7		100.00%	10/29/2014
Equal Rights Commission	4	4		100.00%	10/8/2014
Finance					
Controller	5	5		100.00%	10/24/2014
Property Appraisal	3	3		100.00%	10/6/2014
Public Finance	4	4		100.00%	10/30/2014
Treasury	9	9		100.00%	10/16/2014
Fire	6	6		100.00%	10/28/2014
Health & Human Services	7	7		100.00%	10/28/2014
Information Technology	8	8		100.00%	10/27/2014
Internal Audit	4	4		100.00%	10/7/2014
Library	5	5		100.00%	10/20/2014
Management & Budget	5	5		100.00%	10/29/2014
Merrill Field Airport	6	6		100.00%	10/14/2014
Municipal Attorney	11	11		100.00%	10/15/2014
Municipal Light & Power	10	10		100.00%	10/15/2014
Municipal Manager	1	1		100.00%	10/28/2014
Emergency Mgmt	1	1		100.00%	10/28/2014
Equal Opportunity	1	1		100.00%	10/28/2014
Risk Management	2	2		100.00%	10/6/2014
Transportation Inspection	2	2		100.00%	10/28/2014
Parks & Recreation	10	10		100.00%	10/28/2014
Police	11	11		100.00%	10/28/2014
Port	3	3		100.00%	10/28/2014
Public Transportation	10	10		100.00%	10/15/2014
Public Works					
Project Mgmt & Engineering	6	6		100.00%	10/28/2014
Maintenance & Operations	8	8		100.00%	10/28/2014
Traffic	3	3		100.00%	10/28/2014
Purchasing	3	3		100.00%	10/29/2014
Real Estate	2	2		100.00%	10/15/2014
Heritage Land Bank	2	2		100.00%	10/15/2014
Solid Waste Services	3	3		100.00%	10/13/2014

Measure #4: Percent of departments that provide a satisfactory rating regarding timeliness, responsiveness, helpfulness.

Question #1: Please rate the following aspects of OMB's work:												
	2014 ****			2013 ***			2012 **			2010 *		
	Strongly Agree or Agree	Neutral	Disagree or Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree or Agree	Neutral	Disagree or Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree or Agree	Neutral	Disagree or Strongly Disagree	Strongly Agree or Agree	Neutral	Disagree or Strongly Disagree
OMB clearly communicates directions, expectations, and timelines	30%	20%	50%	54.0%	29.7%	16.3%	75.0%	17.8%	7.2%	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%
Turnaround time on documents is timely	20%	40%	40%	27.8%	33.3%	38.9%	61.6%	15.4%	23.0%	50.0%	31.8%	18.1%
OMB team is very knowledgeable and helpful	55%	11%	33%	56.7%	27.1%	16.2%	75.0%	14.3%	10.7%	69.7%	20.9%	9.3%
Responsiveness to questions or issues in handled quickly and efficiently	40%	30%	30%	43.3%	24.3%	32.4%	57.1%	25.0%	17.9%	60.5%	20.9%	18.6%
Are the training and reference materials provided by OMB useful and relevant?	20%	40%	40%	40.0%	48.6%	11.4%						
Question #23: Overall, how do you rate the quality of services OMB provides?												
Excellent or Good	27.3%			37.8%			60.8%			48.9%		
Adquate	27.3%			43.2%			32.1%			37.2%		
Poor	45.5%			19.0%			7.1%			14.0%		
Question #3: Overall, is OMB's performance . . .												
Getting better / much better	18.1%			36.2%			65.4%			37.2%		
Staying at about the same level	44.5%			41.6%			30.8%			55.8%		
Getting worse / much worse	37.4%			22.2%			3.8%			7.0%		
Question #4: Are there budget topics you would like OMB to offer training?												
Yes	62.50%			32.3%			56.5%					
No	37.50%			67.7%			43.5%					
* Survey taken March 2012; 28 respondents; responses were anonymous												
** Survey taken July 2010; 44 respondents; responses were anonymous												
*** Survey taken March 2013; 37 respondents; responses were anonymous												
**** Survey taken March 2014; 10 respondents; responses were anonymous												

Next survey to be taken in 2015 1st quarter

Measure #5: Change in departments' understanding of Intragovernmental Charges (IGCs).

Excellent or Good	36.4%
Adquate	36.4%
Poor	27.2%

**Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Office of Management and Budget
Finance Department**

Measure #1: Receipt of Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Budget Award in 2013

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Attain the "Distinguished Budget Presentation Award" from Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) by 2013 to ensure MOA's budget communicates quality budget information to citizens and decision makers.

Definition

The Distinguished Budget Presentation Award is presented for recognition of exemplary budgeting practices. Preparing for the award will result in clear, understandable, and complete budget documents.

Data Collection Method

Using GFOA's check list, identify areas of current budget documents that do not meet criteria.

Frequency

Every year review budget information to determine which documents need improvement prior to preparation of new budget.

Measured By

GFOA provides criteria guidelines that contain section types. OMB will assign each section with a point value. Then a percentage of completion will be calculated.

Reporting

Each year, the completed budget book must be submitted to GFOA 90 days from the date when the budget was proposed or adopted. GFOA will grade the budget book documents by using the criteria guideline worksheet and determine achievement of award.

Used By

Citizens, employees, and any other person that would be interested in the MOA budget documents.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Management and Budget
Finance Department

Measure #2: Percent of department-prepared Assembly documents rejected due to formatting and accounting errors

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Reduction in the number of formatting and accounting errors in department-prepared Assembly documents

Definition

Measures the quality of the guidance provided by OMB and the responsiveness of the departments by tracking the accuracy of Assembly documents as they go through the Assembly document review process (PACE)

Data Collection Method

The data collected will be by recording the PACE Workflow History (via Search/Document Number) of all Assembly documents reviewed by OMB, after identifying them on the Action Agenda after each Assembly meeting. A count of the number of documents and number of rejections will be recorded.

Frequency

The measurement will be tallied monthly and reported quarterly.

Measured By

The OMB analyst will pull and record the PACE Workflow History of all Assembly documents that are reviewed by OMB after their introduction at an Assembly meeting. The record will be maintained in Excel and will list the document numbers, PACE actions and action dates and will specifically note rejections and the reason for the rejections.

Reporting

The OMB analyst will create and maintain a report in Excel from the data recorded. The information will be displayed numerically.

Used By

The division managers and department directors will use the information to see how many rejections are occurring. OMB and CFO will use the information to provide additional information and support to departments with higher error rates.

**Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Office of Management and Budget
Finance Department**

Measure #3: Percent of department performance measures that are reporting data

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Implement the Mayor's "Performance. Value. Results" performance-based management system

Definition

Each department has performance measures that report progress in achieving their respective Accomplishment Goal(s).

Data Collection Method

OMB will tally the number of measures by department that are and are not reporting data. From this information a percentage will be calculated for each department.

Frequency

Data will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

OMB will tally data in by counting the numbers of measures reporting data.

Reporting

An OMB analyst will create and maintain an annual report in Excel. The information will be displayed numerically by department.

Used By

OMB to identify each department's progress in complying with the Mayor's initiative

**Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Office of Management and Budget
Finance Department**

Measure #4: Percent of departments that provide OMB with a satisfactory rating regarding timeliness, responsiveness, and helpfulness

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Ensure departments are satisfactorily served by OMB.

Definition

Measure how effective the department is in communicating directions, expectations regarding the budget process, and its timeliness and responsiveness to departments' questions, paperwork and concerns.

Data Collection Method

Customer Satisfaction Survey from departments/divisions

Frequency

Baseline survey was taken in July 2010. Subsequent surveys will be taken each July.

Measured By

Comparing the scores from prior surveys to current survey results

Reporting

The department will create and maintain an excel document showing the results by total and by department.

Used By

The department will use the information to gain an understanding of what areas need additional work in order to meet customer expectations including adjustments to priorities or work flow.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Office of Management and Budget
Finance Department

Measure #5: Change in departments' understanding of Intragovernmental Charges (IGCs).
--

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Advance departments understanding of Intra-governmental charge (IGC) system.

Definition

This will measure the effectiveness of communication by OMB of the concepts and procedures associated with the IGC system by testing key employees understanding of the system.

Data Collection Method

Surveys will be done pre- and post-training, and annually in which key employees are asked questions related to the concepts and procedures of the IGC system. The number of questions answered correctly will be divided by the total number of questions asked to derive a percentage that can be used to compare IGC understanding between years.

Frequency

The survey will be conducted once before OMB initiates training on the IGC system, once after the initial budget preparation process (mid-October), and annually after that.

Measured By

The budget analyst tasked with maintaining the IGC system will create the survey, distribute it, and compile and collect the data when the responses are received.

Reporting

Survey results will be reviewed following each test to learn areas in which department knowledge and training can be improved. Otherwise, overall results will be reported annually.

Used By

This information will be used by OMB to gauge the effectiveness of OMB training.