
Purchasing Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

Measure #1: Cost to provide efficient purchasing services as a percent of total MOA purchases and compare to national benchmarks.

2011	2012	2013	2Q 2014
.5%	.4%	.2%	.21%

Benchmark below 1%

Measure #2: Number of formal protests sent to Bidding Review Board (BRB)

2011	2012	2013	2Q 2014
1	0	1	3

Goal: 0

2011 (Bidding review upheld MOA decision, ERP)

2013 (Contractor withdrew appeal subsequent to Bidding Review Board decision, BRB upheld MOA decision)

Measure #3: Expenditures in the local area

2011	2012	2013	2Q 2014
79%	74%	44%	22%

2012 (decrease due to large GE Turbine purchase for ML&P)

2013 (decrease due to \$200M contract to Quanta Power Systems and \$44M change order to GE systems, without these actions, it was 76%)

2014 (numbers are skewed due to \$228M change order roll over activity with Quanta. GE Systems, and Alstrom Grid contracts of moving 2013 funding to 2014 funding and a number of large out of state purchases in the second quarter, w/o these actions it was 65%)

No formal Goal but matter of local interest