
Anchorage Police Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Mission

Protect and serve our community in the most professional and compassionate manner possible

Core Services

- Protection of Life
- Protection of Property
- Maintenance of Order

Accomplishment Goals

- Maintain the rate of Uniform Crime Report (UCR) Part I crimes in Anchorage at or below the national average for comparable size communities
- Reduce the rate of adult sexual assault in Anchorage
- The number of drivers Operating Under the Influence (OUI) decreases

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Maintain the rate of Uniform Crime Report (UCR) Part I crimes in Anchorage at or below the national average for comparable size communities
 - Effectiveness: Annual Uniform Crime Report Part I crime rate (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage, as compared to communities nationwide in population 250,000-499,999
 - Efficiency: Average total cost per officer in Anchorage
- Reduce the rate of adult sexual assault in Anchorage
 - Effectiveness: Rate of adult sexual assault (under the State of Alaska definition, per 100,000 population) for Anchorage
 - Effectiveness: Rate of adult sexual assault arrests (percent of adult sexual assault cases resulting in arrest)
- The number of drivers Operating Under the Influence (OUI) decreases
 - Effectiveness: Number of arrests for non-collision-related OUI
 - Effectiveness: Number of deaths associated with OUI-related collisions

Measure #1: Annual Uniform Crime Report Part I crime rate (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage, as compared to communities nationwide in population 250,000-499,999

| 2005 | | 2006 | | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Anch | Group | Anch | Group | Anch | Group | Anch | Group | Anch | Group |
| 4,784 | 6,600 | 5,112 | 6,210 | 4,826 | 5,740 | 4,235 | 5,451 | 4,524 | 5,119 |

| 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|--|--|
| Anch | Group | Anch | Group | Anch | Group | Anch | Group | | |
| 4,361 | 4,974 | 3,948 | 5,116 | 4,355 | 5,056 | TBD | TBD | | |


Note: Data are derived from FBI UCR Table 8 and Table 16. Data for 2013 will not be released by the FBI until the fourth quarter of 2014.

2012 Table 8 (Alaska):

http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/ucr/crime-in-the-u.s/2012/crime-in-the-u.s.-2012/tables/8tabledata/dec/pdf/table-8-state-cuts/table_8_offenses_known_to_law_enforcement_by_alaska_by_city_2012.xls

2012 Table 16:

http://www.fbi.gov/about-us/cjis/ucr/crime-in-the-u.s/2012/crime-in-the-u.s.-2012/tables/16tabledata/dec/pdf/table_16_rate_by_population_group_2012.xls



Measure #2: Average total cost per officer in Anchorage

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| no data | no data | no data | \$131,795 | \$127,364 | \$133,925 | \$144,268 | \$155,949 | \$164,436 |

Measure #3: Rate of adult sexual assault (under the State of Alaska definition, per 100,000 population) for Anchorage

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 94.1 | 100.3 | 108.4 | 103.7 | 108.7 | 111.0 | 117.2 | 122.0 | 126.0 |

Note: All the data for Measure #3 was updated from previous reporting, when internal checks revealed that the previous data erroneously included instances of Sexual Abuse of Minor cases.

Measure #4: Rate of adult sexual assault arrests (percent of adult sexual assault cases resulting in arrest)

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|
| 9.5% | 10.2% | 14.7% | 6.9% | 13.6% | 12.3% | 9.8% |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| 20.0% | 11.1% | 10.7% | 10.8% | 10.8% |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| 11.8% | 11.3% | 10.8% | 11.0% | 11.0% |

Measure #5: Number of arrests for non-collision-related OUI

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1202 | 1121 | 1545 | 2327 | 2261 | 1951 |

| 2011 1 st Qtr | 2011 2 nd Qtr | 2011 3 rd Qtr | 2011 4 th Qtr | 2011 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 425 | 503 | 360 | 444 | 1732 |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 385 | 396 | 343 | 302 | 1426 |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 390 | 358 | 325 | 316 | 1389 |

| |
|--|
| Measure #6: Number of deaths associated with OUI-related collisions |
|--|

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|---------|---------|---------|------|------|------|
| no data | no data | no data | 6 | 3 | 3 |

| 2011 1 st Qtr | 2011 2 nd Qtr | 2011 3 rd Qtr | 2011 4 th Qtr | 2011 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 6 |

Administration Division Anchorage Police Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Provide technical and administrative police service to the community and employees of the Anchorage Police Department

Division Direct Services

- Answer and dispatch 911 calls for assistance
- Property management
- Records management
- Citation processing
- IT management
- Budget management
- Facilities management
- Grant management

Accomplishment Goals

- Answer 911 calls within national standard time range, under National Emergency Number Association (NENA) standards

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Answer 911 calls within national standard time range, under National Emergency Number Association (NENA) standards
 - Effectiveness: Average time (in seconds) required for call takers to answer 911 calls

| |
|---|
| Measure #7: Average time (in seconds) required for call takers to answer 911 calls |
|---|

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| no data | no data | no data | no data | 10 seconds | 8 seconds | 8 seconds |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 9 seconds | 9 seconds | 9 seconds | 9 seconds | 9 seconds |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 9 seconds | 9 seconds | 11 seconds | 9 seconds | 10 seconds |

Crime Suppression Division Anchorage Police Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Prevent and deter crime and promote safe neighborhoods by utilizing proactive community policing methods

Direct Services

- Proactive, problem-oriented community policing
- Traffic law enforcement
- Selective enforcement of high-risk offenders and crimes

Accomplishment Goals

- Reduce the rate of fatality vehicle collisions in Anchorage

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Reduce the rate of fatality vehicle collisions in Anchorage
 - Effectiveness: Rate of fatality vehicle collisions (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage

| |
|---|
| Measure #8: Rate of fatality vehicle collisions (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage |
|---|

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| .4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 2.8 |

| 2011 1 st Qtr | 2011 2 nd Qtr | 2011 3 rd Qtr | 2011 4 th Qtr | 2011 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1.3 |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 4.7 |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 4.3 |

Detective Division
Anchorage Police Department
Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Follow up on felony crimes reported to or detected by the Anchorage Police Department and to provide specialized law enforcement to interdict selected crimes

Direct Services

- Investigation
- Law Enforcement
- Service Referrals

Accomplishment Goals

- Increase clearance rate in homicide cases

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Increase clearance rate in homicide cases
 - Effectiveness: Clearance rate in homicide cases in Anchorage

| |
|--|
| Measure #9: Clearance rate in homicide cases in Anchorage |
|--|

| Year | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Cases | 17 | 21 | 25 | 12 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| Closed | 14 | 17 | 23 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| Percentage | 82% | 81% | 92% | 83% | 88% | 84% | 94% |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 7 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 18 |
| 6 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 86% | 92% | 93% | 78% | 78% |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 6 | 11 | 13 | 19 | 19 |
| 3 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 16 |
| 50% | 82% | 85% | 84% | 84% |

Patrol Division
Anchorage Police Department
Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Respond to citizen calls for service and proactively initiate contacts, thereby deterring and solving crime as well as providing service referrals to create a secure and livable community

Direct Services

- Law Enforcement
- Crime Prevention
- Investigation
- Service Referrals
- Response to Emergencies and Disasters

Accomplishment Goals

- Maintain an average response time for Priority 1 calls for service under eight minutes
- The number of drivers involved in motor vehicle collisions who were Operating Under the Influence (OUI) at the time of the collision decreases

Performance Measures

- Maintain an average response time for Priority 1 calls for service
 - Effectiveness: Average response time for all Priority 1 calls for service
- The number of drivers involved in motor vehicle collisions who were Operating Under the Influence (OUI) at the time of the collision decreases
 - Effectiveness: Number of arrests for collision-related OUI made by Patrol

| |
|---|
| Measure #10: Average time from dispatch to first officer on scene for all Priority 1 calls for service |
|---|

| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3.4 minutes | 3.5 minutes | 3.4 minutes |

| 2011 1 st Qtr | 2011 2 nd Qtr | 2011 3 rd Qtr | 2011 4 th Qtr | 2011 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 3.6 minutes | 3.3 minutes | 3.2 minutes | 4.1 minutes | 3.6 minutes |

| 2012 1 st Qtr | 2012 2 nd Qtr | 2012 3 rd Qtr | 2012 4 th Qtr | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 4.3 minutes | 3.8 minutes | 3.7 minutes | 4.0 minutes | 3.9 minutes |

| 2013 1 st Qtr | 2013 2 nd Qtr | 2013 3 rd Qtr | 2013 4 th Qtr | 2013 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 4.3 minutes | 4.1 minutes | 3.6 minutes | 4.6 minutes | 4.2 minutes |

Measure #11: Number of arrests for collision-related OUI made by Patrol

| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 342 | 352 | 427 | 449 | 344 | 463 |

| 2011 1st Qtr | 2011 2nd Qtr | 2011 3rd Qtr | 2011 4th Qtr | 2011 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 55 | 52 | 77 | 99 | 283 |

| 2012 1st Qtr | 2012 2nd Qtr | 2012 3rd Qtr | 2012 4th Qtr | 2012 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 70 | 84 | 75 | 58 | 287 |

| 2013 1st Qtr | 2013 2nd Qtr | 2013 3rd Qtr | 2013 4th Qtr | 2013 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 74 | 89 | 71 | 62 | 296 |

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Anchorage Police Department

Measure #1: Annual Uniform Crime Report Part I crime rate (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage, as compared to communities nationwide in population 250,000-499,999

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Maintain the rate of Uniform Crime Report (UCR) Part I crimes in Anchorage at or below the national average for comparable size communities

Definition

This measure reports the rate of Part I crimes on the annual FBI Uniform Crime Report (murder, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft) per 100,000 population in Anchorage and compares it to the national average rate per 100,000 for cities ranging from 250,000 to 499,999 in population (drawing specifically from Tables 8 and 16 from the FBI's "Crime in the United States" on-line reports).

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis, then aggregated and reported periodically to the FBI.

Frequency

The aggregated, official crime statistics for Anchorage and the rest of the country are released by the FBI on an annual basis.

Measured By

Personnel assigned to the department's Records Section are specifically designated as "Classifiers" with the duty of classifying reported crimes into appropriate categories and collating them into a report for submission to the FBI.

Reporting

The department's Crime Analyst will report the department's UCR Part I crime rate annually with the department's annual crime report. As part of the annual crime reporting process, the Crime Analyst will also populate this measure with the current data.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assist in making decisions regarding staffing and deployment together with policing strategies.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|--|
| Measure #2: Average total cost per officer in Anchorage |
|--|

Type

Efficiency

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Maintain the rate of Uniform Crime Report (UCR) Part I crimes in Anchorage at or below the national average for comparable size communities

Definition

This measure reports the average total annual cost to put a police officer on the street in Anchorage. The total cost includes salary, benefits, equipment and vehicle cost.

Data Collection Method

The department's Fiscal Section tracks the budgetary costs for all department personnel and functions.

Frequency

This measure will be reported annually, upon the budget for a new fiscal year becoming final.

Measured By

The department's Fiscal Manager.

Reporting

The department's Fiscal Manager will report this measure.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to monitor the costs of fielding its sworn personnel, with the potential to consider adjustments as necessary.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|--|
| Measure #3: Rate of adult sexual assault (under the State of Alaska definition, per 100,000 population) for Anchorage |
|--|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Reduce the rate of adult sexual assault in Anchorage

Definition

This measure displays the rate of reported sexual assaults to adult victims (under State of Alaska definitions) per 100,000 population in Anchorage in a given year.

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis.

Frequency

This measure will be reported annually, consistent with the finalization of the annual crime statistics for a given year.

Measured By

Personnel assigned to the department's Records Section are specifically designated as "Classifiers" with the duty of classifying reported crimes into appropriate categories and collating them into a report.

Reporting

The department's Crime Analyst will report this measure annually, simultaneously with the department's annual crime report.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assist in making decisions regarding staffing and deployment together with policing strategies specifically addressing adult sexual assault.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|---|
| Measure #4: Rate of adult sexual assault arrests (percent of adult sexual assault cases resulting in arrest) |
|---|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Reduce the rate of adult sexual assault in Anchorage

Definition

This measure reports the rate of arrest in adult sexual assault cases (under State of Alaska definitions), as a percent of all such cases investigated by the department.

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

The Special Victims Unit Commander reviews, collates, and reports data regarding adult sexual assaults to the department's chain of command. Personnel assigned to the department's Records Section are specifically designated as "Classifiers" with the duty of classifying reported crimes into appropriate categories and collating them into a report. Initial reports of sexual assault are subject to classifying to determine their ultimate classification.

Reporting

The department's Detective Captain will report this measure.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assist in making decisions regarding staffing and deployment together with policing strategies specifically addressing adult sexual assault.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|--|
| Measure #5: Number of arrests for non-collision-related OUI |
|--|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

The number of drivers Operating Under the Influence (OUI) decreases

Definition

This measure reports the number of arrests for Operating Under the Influence (sometimes called Driving Under the Influence/DUI or Driving While Intoxicated/DWI) made by any officer in the department in circumstances not involving motor vehicle collisions.

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

Crime statistics are subject to being “classified” by the department’s Records Section to place crimes into appropriate categories.

Reporting

The Crime Analyst will report this measure.

Used By

The department’s Command Staff will use this information to assess the level of effort being extended by the department in mitigating the occurrence of Operating Under the Influence in Anchorage.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|--|
| Measure #6: Number of deaths associated with OUI-related collisions |
|--|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

The number of drivers Operating Under the Influence (OUI) decreases

Definition

This measure reports the number of deaths associated with motor vehicle collisions involving drivers Operating Under the Influence (sometimes called Driving Under the Influence/DUI or Driving While Intoxicated/DWI).

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

Crime statistics are subject to being “classified” by the department’s Records Section to place crimes into appropriate categories.

Reporting

The Crime Analyst will report this measure.

Used By

The department’s Command Staff will use this information to assess the level of effort being extended by the department in mitigating the occurrence of Operating Under the Influence in Anchorage.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Administration Division
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|---|
| Measure #7: Average time (in seconds) required for call takers to answer 911 calls |
|---|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Answer 911 calls within national standard time range, under National Emergency Number Association (NENA) standards

Definition

This measure reports the time required to answer a 911 call as measured against the national standard time range under National Emergency Number Association (NENA) standards; 90 percent of calls answered within 10 seconds during busiest hour of the day and 95 percent of calls answered within 20 seconds (NENA Document 56-005, Section 3.1).

Data Collection Method

Time required for call takers to answer 911 calls is continuously captured by the department Dispatch Center's answering point software.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

The department's Administration Captain will coordinate with the Communications Clerk Supervisors to retrieve this data.

Reporting

The department's Administration Captain will post this measure quarterly and annually.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to maintain a high standard of public safety through proper staffing and deployment in the Dispatch Center.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Crime Suppression Division
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|---|
| Measure #8: Rate of fatality vehicle collisions (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage |
|---|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Reduce the rate of fatality vehicle collisions in Anchorage

Definition

This measure reports the rate of fatality vehicle collisions per 100,000 population in Anchorage in a given year.

Data Collection Method

The department's Traffic Unit maintains statistics on the number of fatality vehicle collisions in Anchorage.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

The department's Traffic Commander will retrieve information on fatality vehicle collisions, perform the calculation to convert to a rate, and report that information to the Crime Suppression Captain.

Reporting

The department's Crime Suppression Captain will report this measure.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assist in making decisions regarding the effectiveness of traffic safety efforts in Anchorage.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Detective Division
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|---|
| Measure #9: Clearance rate for homicide cases in Anchorage |
|---|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Increase clearance rate in homicide cases

Definition

This measure reports the clearance rate of homicide cases. A clearance is defined as a case that is closed by arrest or by exceptional means (i.e. suspect dies before charges are brought, District Attorney declines prosecution, etc.).

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis. The status of homicide cases is tracked continuously by the department's Homicide Unit Supervisor.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

The Homicide Unit Supervisor will report the status of all homicide cases to the Detective Captain through the chain of command and maintain a report.

Reporting

The department's Detective Captain will report this measure.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assess the effectiveness of the department's homicide investigations, and in making decisions regarding staffing and deployment in homicide investigations.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Patrol Division
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|--|
| Measure #10: Average response time for all Priority 1 calls for service |
|--|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

Maintain an average response time for Priority 1 calls for service under eight minutes

Definition

This measure reports the average period of time between the time a Priority 1 call for service is dispatched and the time the first officer arrives on scene.

Data Collection Method

Response time data is continuously captured by the department Dispatch Center's answering point and computer aided dispatch software.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

The department's Administration Captain will coordinate with the Communications Clerk Supervisors to retrieve this data. The Administration Captain will supply the data to the Patrol Captain for reporting purposes.

Reporting

The department's Patrol Captain will report this measure.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assess the effectiveness of the department's Patrol deployment, performance and procedures.

Performance Measure Methodology Sheet
Patrol Division
Anchorage Police Department

| |
|--|
| Measure #11: Number of arrests for collision-related OUI made by Patrol |
|--|

Type

Effectiveness

Accomplishment Goal Supported

The number of drivers involved in motor vehicle collisions who were Operating Under the Influence (OUI) at the time of the collision decreases

Definition

This measure reports the number of arrests for Operating Under the Influence (sometimes called Driving Under the Influence/DUI or Driving While Intoxicated/DWI) for persons involved in motor vehicle collisions made by the Patrol Division.

Data Collection Method

Crime statistics are captured on an on-going basis.

Frequency

This measure will be reported quarterly and annually.

Measured By

The department's Patrol Captain will coordinate with the department's Crime Analyst to retrieve this information. Crime statistics are subject to being "classified" by the department's Records Section to place crimes into appropriate categories.

Reporting

The Patrol Captain will report this measure.

Used By

The department's Command Staff will use this information to assess the level of effort being extended by the Patrol Division in mitigating the occurrence of Operating Under the Influence in Anchorage.