Office of Management and Budget

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Mission

Implementation of sound fiscal and management policies through development and administration of municipal budgets

Core Services

- Administer development, implementation, and monitoring of the general government and utility operating and capital budgets
- Establish and enforce policy for budget documentation format and content
- Review and process budget transfers, Assembly documentation, project set-up forms, grant-related documentation, and personnel changes
- Facilitate a city-wide performance measure/accountability program

Accomplishment Goals

- Improve the quality of budget-related information provided citizens and decisionmakers by attaining the "Distinguished Budget Presentation Award" from Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) by 2013
- Improve accuracy of Assembly documents prepared by departments
- Implement the Mayor's "Performance. Value. Results" performance-based management initiative
- Improve departments understanding of Intra-governmental charge (IGC) system
- Ensure departments are satisfactorily served

Performance Measures

Progress in achieving goals will be measured by:

Measure #1: Receipt of Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) Budget Award in 2013.

Office of Management and Budget submitted the 2013 approved budget to GFOA in February for evaluation in meeting Distinguished Budget Presentation criteria.

<u>Measure #2:</u> Percent of department-prepared Assembly documents rejected due to formatting and accounting errors.

Number and Percent of Incorrect Assembly Documents Submitted to PACE

2012 - Third Quarter

Department	Submitted	Correctly	Returned to	%Incorrect
Anch Water & Wastewater	4	2	2	50%
Community Development	13	9	4	31%
Fire	4	3	1	25%
Health & Human Services	27	18	9	33%
Library	9	6	3	33%
Municipal Manager	5	3	2	40%
Police	4	3	1	25%
Port	3	2	1	33%
Public Transportation	6	3	3	50%
Public Works	15	8	7	47%
Real Estate Services	16	9	7	44%

Year to Date Change in Percent of Rejected PACE Documents

	2011	2012	2012	2012		2012	2012	2nd Qtr	2012	2012	3rd Qtr
_	Total	Total		1st Qtr #				%	3rd Qtr #	3rd Qtr #	%
Department	# Rec'd	# Rec'd	Rec'd	Rej'd	Rej'd	Rec'd	Rej'd	Rej'd	Rec'd	Re j'd	Rej'd
AWWU	9	11	1	0	0%	3	1	33%	4	2	50%
Chief Fiscal Officer	7	13	7	0	0%	1	0	0%	4	0	0%
Community Development	22	35	8	3	38%	7	1	14%	13	4	31%
Employee Relations	12	3	3	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Finance	10	6	2	0	0%	3	0	0%	1	0	0%
Fire	12	8	0	0	0%			0%	4	1	0%
Health	57	54	7	2	29%	9	1	11%	27	9	33%
Information Technology	1	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Legal	5	15	6	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
Library	13	23	9	3	33%	4	2	50%	0	0	0%
Management & Budget	29	36	6	2	33%	11	2	18%	2	0	0%
Mayor	2	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Merrill Field	3	6	4	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%
Municipal Light & Pow er	0	4	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Municipal Manager	13	10	5	2	40%	0	0	0%	3	0	0%
Parks & Recreation	19	9	1	0	0%	3	1	33%	1	0	0%
Police	22	20	4	0	0%	4	0	0%	4	1	25%
Port	5	6	2	1	50%	1	0	0%	3	1	33%
Public Transportation	26	22	6	3	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Public Works	42	54	14	3	21%	14	4	29%	15	7	47%
Purchasing	4	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Real Estate	35	46	11	0	0%	14	2	14%	16	7	44%
Solid Waste Services	0	1	1	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Totals	348	382	97	19	20%	75	14	19%	98	33	34%

Measure #3: Department performance measures that are reporting data.

Departments	Total Measures	Data No % Data s Reported Data Reported		Date	
Anchorage Water &	4.0	•	4.0	0.000/	
Wastewater	10	0	10	0.00%	10/00/00/10
Community Development	3	3	0	100.00%	10/29/2012
Development Services	26	26	0	100.00%	10/29/2012
Planning	11	11	0	100.00%	10/29/2012
Employee Relations	11	11	0	100.00%	1/23/2013
Equal Rights Commission	4	4		100.00%	10/31/2012
Finance					
Controller					
Property Appraisal	3	0	3	0.00%	
Public Finance	4	4	0	100.00%	10/23/2012
Treasury	9	0	9	0.00%	
Fire	14	14	0	100.00%	11/6/2012
Health & Human Services	15	15	0	100.00%	11/8/2012
Information					
Technology	13	0	13	0.00%	
Internal Audit	4	4	0	100.00%	10/1/2012
Library	4	4	0	100.00%	10/31/2012
Management &					
Budget	5	0	5	0.00%	
Merrill Field Airport	7	0	7	0.00%	
Municipal Attorney	11	0	11	0.00%	
Municipal Light &	40	0	40	0.000/	
Power	10	0	10	0.00%	
Municipal Manager	1	0	1	0.00%	
Emergency Mgmt	2	0	2	0.00%	
Risk Management	5	5	0	100.00%	10/31/2012
Transportation Inspection	3	0	3	0.00%	
Parks & Recreation	18	0	18	0.00%	
Police	28	28	0	100.00%	10/31/2012
Port	4	0	4	0.00%	
Public Transportation	15	0	15	0.00%	
Public Works Project Mgmt &					
Engineering Maintenance &	11	0	11	0.00%	
Operations	10	0	10	0.00%	
Administration	3	0	3	0.00%	
Traffic	3	0	3	0.00%	
Purchasing					
Real Estate Heritage Land	2	0	2	0.00%	
Bank	3	0	3	0.00%	
Solid Waste Services	3	0	3	0.00%	
23/14 114010 30111000				3.3070	

<u>Measure #4:</u> Percent of departments that provide a satisfactory rating regarding timeliness, responsiveness, helpfulness.

		2012		2010					
	Stongly Agree or Agree	Neutral	Disagree or Strongly Disagree	Stongly Agree or Agree	Neutral	Disagree or Strongly Disagree			
OMB clearly communities directions, expectations, and timelines	75.0%	17.8%	7.2%	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%			
Turnaround time on documents is timely	61.6%	15.4%	23.0%	50.0%	31.8%	18.1%			
OMB team is very knowledgeable and helpful	75.0%	14.3%	10.7%	69.7%	20.9%	9.3%			
Responsiveness to questions or issues in handled quickly and efficiently	57.1%	25.0%	17.9%	60.5%	20.9%	18.6%			
Question #2: Overall, how do you provides?	rate the q	uality of	services OMB						
Excellent or Good	60.8%			48.9%					
Adquate	32.1%			37.2%					
Poor	7.1%			14.0%					
Question #3: Overall, is OMB's performance									
Getting better / much better	65.4%			37.2%					
Staying at about the same level	30.8%			55.8%					
Getting worse / much worse	3.8%			7.0%					

^{*} Survey taken March 2012; 28 respondents; responses were anonymous

Next survey to be taken in 2013 1st quarter

<u>Measure #5:</u> Change in departments' understanding of Intragovernmental Charges (IGCs).

Data will be reported in 1st quarter of 2013.

^{**} Survey taken July 2010; 44 respondents; responses were anonymous