
Development Services



Development Services Department

Description

The Development Services Department facilitates commercial and residential property development while also considering and protecting the public's health, safety and general welfare. Staff reviews subdivision and building plans, issues building permits, and inspects new construction and renovations for compliance with land use, building, and right-of-way codes. Staff also responds to complaints regarding improper building, land use or right-of-way activities.

Department Services

- Ensures new subdivision developments adhere to adopted plans and municipal standards.
- Reviews plans for new construction projects to ensure proposed projects comply with the international building codes as amended locally and adopted by the Anchorage Assembly.
- Issues building and land use permits and inspects renovations and new construction to verify field construction follows plans and complies with codes and other mandated standards for protecting public health, safety, and environmental quality.
- Enforces land use and right-of-way codes to protect public assets and to promote clean and attractive neighborhoods.

Divisions:

- Director's Office & Administration
 - o Provides leadership and coordination for overall operations of the department; and
 - Provides full array of administrative services: budget, accounting, purchasing, IT coordination, human resources coordination, payroll, etc.

Building Safety

- Accepts applications for building and land use permits;
- Ensures proposed construction projects comply with land use and zoning requirements:
- o Reviews submitted plans for compliance with codes and design criteria;
- Issues building permits, tracks progress on permitted projects for required approvals, and issues final certificates of occupancy for projects that have passed all required inspections;
- Inspects construction for compliance with approved plans and codes;
- Regulates on-site water and wastewater systems, issuing on-site certificates of acceptance for properties with wells or septic systems located on properties being sold to new owners and reviewing & permitting new or replacement well or septic systems; and,
- o Investigates reports of dangerous buildings, writes citations, notices requiring permits to bring buildings into compliance and a safe condition.

Private Development

- Reviews plats to assure new subdivision improvements comply with applicable standards, municipal codes and platting and zoning actions. Prepares subdivision agreements that reflect the Platting Board's summary of actions;
- Reviews private development construction plans and plats to promote conformance with municipal standards and the subdivision agreement; and

 Conducts oversight, pre-final and final inspections on new subdivision construction to verify construction in accordance with approved plans and acceptance of the newly constructed roads and drainage systems into the municipally-owned infrastructure.

Code Enforcement

- Responds to complaints of violations of land use and rights-of-way codes. Typical violations include illegal storage or stockpiling of materials, structures encroaching on required setbacks, trashy lots, junk cars on private property, and illegal uses of rights-of-way:
- o Permits, inspects and otherwise manages all activities occurring within public rightsof-way: and
- Assigns unique street addresses and maintains GIS database of addresses and street names.

Department Goals that Contribute to Achieving the Mayor's Mission:



Public Safety – Strengthen public safety and revitalize neighborhoods

• Continue to make progress eliminating duplicate street names to ensure the uniqueness of each address, thereby improving E911 response times.



Homelessness - Reduce homelessness and improve community health

- Manage the private development process effectively and efficiently.
- Respond to land use code complaints within established timeframes.



Administration – Make city government more efficient, accessible, transparent, and responsive

 Ensure development-related infrastructure is designed and constructed according to municipal design criteria, standards, codes and practices.



Economy – Build a city that attracts and retains a talented workforce, is hospitable to entrepreneurs, small business and established companies, and provides a strong environment for economic growth

- Continue to provide excellent customer service by providing prompt and efficient permit processing, timely plan reviews, and same-day as requested construction inspection services.
- Provide on-site water and wastewater permitting, certification, training and enforcement consistent with goals of protecting public health and environmental quality.
- Protect the traveling public and municipal rights of way, the largest single asset of the Municipality of Anchorage at +\$10 billion.
- Complete final zoning inspections same day as requested.
- Provide timely and accurate services for:
 - Land use reviews/determinations
 - Business facility reviews and inspections
 - Assignment of new addresses, and
 - Maintenance of GIS map data layers for roads and addresses

Development Services Department Summary

	2017 Actuals	2018 Revised	2019 Proposed	19 v 18 % Chg
Direct Cost by Division				
DS Development Services	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
Intragovernmental Charges				
Charges by/to Other Departments	2,177,586	2,673,927	2,522,922	(5.65%)
Function Cost Total	12,816,597	14,035,032	13,991,959	(0.31%)
Program Generated Revenue	(7,945,974)	(8,190,300)	(7,781,060)	(5.00%)
Net Cost Total	4,870,623	5,844,732	6,210,899	6.26%
Direct Cost by Category				
Salaries and Benefits	10,157,889	10,628,546	10,836,478	1.96%
Supplies	77,281	98,668	98,668	-
Travel	450	-	-	-
Contractual/OtherServices	350,671	552,791	452,791	(18.09%)
Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Equipment, Furnishings	52,719	81,100	81,100	-
Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
Position Summary as Budgeted				
Full-Time	73	72	72	-
Part-Time	-	-	-	-
Position Total	73	72	72	

Development Services Reconciliation from 2018 Revised Budget to 2019 Proposed Budget

		Po	sitions	•
	Direct Costs	FT	PT	Seas/T
2018 Revised Budget	11,361,105	72	-	-
2018 One-Time Requirements - Remove 2018 Prop - ONE TIME - Code abatement and deteriorated property clean-up	(200,000)	-	-	-
Changes in Existing Programs/Funding for 2019 - Salaries and benefits adjustments	207,932	-	-	-
2019 Continuation Level	11,369,037	72	-	-
2019 One-Time Requirements Code abatement and deteriorated property clean-up	100,000	-	-	-
2019 Proposed Budget	11,469,037	72	_	

Development Services Division Summary

DS Development Services

(Fund Center # 192020, 192080, 192030, 192075, 192040, 192070, 192050, 192015, 192060,...)

	2017 Actuals	2018 Revised	2019 Proposed	19 v 18 % Chg
Direct Cost by Category				
Salaries and Benefits	10,157,889	10,628,546	10,836,478	1.96%
Supplies	77,281	98,668	98,668	-
Travel	450	-	-	-
Contractual/Other Services	350,671	552,791	452,791	(18.09%)
Equipment, Furnishings	52,719	81,100	81,100	-
Manageable Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Non-Manageable Direct Cost Total		-	-	-
Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	-
Intragovernmental Charges				
Charges by/to Other Departments	2,177,586	2,673,927	2,522,922	(5.65%)
Function Cost Total	12,816,597	14,035,032	13,991,959	(0.31%)
Program Generated Revenue by Fund				
Fund 101000 - Areawide General	2,565,723	2,367,700	2,602,560	9.92%
Fund 163000 - Anchorage Building Safety SA	5,380,251	5,822,600	5,178,500	(11.06%)
Program Generated Revenue Total	7,945,974	8,190,300	7,781,060	(5.00%)
Net Cost Total	4,870,623	5,844,732	6,210,899	6.26%
Position Summary as Budgeted				
Full-Time	73	72	72	-
Position Total	73	72	72	-

Development Services Division Detail

DS Development Services

(Fund Center # 192020, 192080, 192030, 192075, 192040, 192070, 192050, 192015, 192060,...)

	2017 Actuals	2018 Revised	2019 Proposed	19 v 18 % Chg
Direct Cost by Category				
Salaries and Benefits	10,157,889	10,628,546	10,836,478	1.96%
Supplies	77,281	98,668	98,668	_
Travel	450	-	-	_
Contractual/Other Services	350,671	552,791	452,791	(18.09%)
Equipment, Furnishings	52,719	81,100	81,100	-
Manageable Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
Debt Service	-	-	-	-
Non-Manageable Direct Cost Total	-	-	-	-
Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
Intragovernmental Charges				
Charges by/to Other Departments	2,177,586	2,673,927	2,522,922	(5.65%)
Program Generated Revenue		, ,		,
404010 - Plmb/Gs/Sht Mtl Cert	23,055	145,000	21,000	(85.52%)
404030 - Plmb/Gs/Sht Mtl Exam	9,100	12,400	12,400	-
404060 - Local Business Licenses	72,193	438,500	72,500	(83.47%)
404090 - Building Permit Plan Review Fees	1,634,723	1,540,000	1,543,970	0.26%
404095 - Electronic Plan Review Surcharge	196,834	70,000	-	(100.00%)
404100 - Bldg/Grde/Clrng Prmt	2,525,871	2,620,000	2,500,000	(4.58%)
404110 - Electrical Permit	216,408	198,000	198,000	-
404120 - Mech/Gs/Plmbng Prmts	501,116	496,000	508,000	2.42%
404130 - Sign Permits	31,602	39,500	40,780	3.24%
404140 - Constr and Right-of-Way Permits	895,008	875,000	1,005,080	14.87%
404150 - Elevator Permits	652,084	610,000	605,000	(0.82%)
404160 - Mobile Home/Park Permits	16,800	18,000	6,000	(66.67%)
404170 - Land Use Permits (Not HLB)	111,685	90,000	102,410	13.79%
404220 - Miscellaneous Permits	87,750	110,000	80,000	(27.27%)
406020 - Inspections	259,613	275,000	340,000	23.64%
406030 - Landscape Plan Review Pmt	10,584	4,000	8,290	107.25%
406120 - Rezoning Inspections	50,000	42,500	62,450	46.94%
406170 - Sanitary Inspection Fees	554,285	535,000	601,950	12.51%
406450 - Mapping Fees	4,531	4,200	4,400	4.76%
406550 - Address Fees	25,925	25,000	26,230	4.92%
406580 - Copier Fees	10,726	8,000	8,500	6.25%
406625 - Reimbursed Cost-NonGrant Funded	40,000	25,000	25,000	-
407050 - Other Fines and Forfeitures	4,000	-	-	-
407070 - Zoning Enforcement Fines	12,025	9,000	9,000	-
408550 - Cash Over & Short	3	-	-	-
408560 - Appeal Receipts	200	200	100	(50.00%)
440010 - GCP CshPool ST-Int(MOA/ML&P)	(279)	-	-	-

		2017 Actuals	2018 Revised	2019 Proposed	19 v 18 % Chg
	440080 - UnRizd Gns&Lss Invs(MOA/AWWU)	131	-	-	-
	Program Generated Revenue Total	7,945,974	8,190,300	7,781,060	(5.00%)
Net Cost					
	Direct Cost Total	10,639,011	11,361,105	11,469,037	0.95%
	Charges by/to Other Departments Total	2,177,586	2,673,927	2,522,922	(5.65%)
	Program Generated Revenue Total	(7,945,974)	(8,190,300)	(7,781,060)	(5.00%)
	Net Cost Total	4,870,623	5,844,732	6,210,899	6.26%

Position Detail as Budgeted

	2017 F	Revised		2018 Revised		2019 Proposed	
	Full Time	Part Time		Full Time	Part Time	Full Time	Part Time
Civil Engineer I	1	-	Ц	1	-	1	-
Civil Engineer II	2	-		2	-	2	-
Civil Engineer III	1	-		1	-	1	-
Civil Engineer IV	2	-		2	-	2	-
Director, Development Services	1	-		1	-	1	-
Electrical Inspector	4	-		4	-	4	-
Electrical Inspector Foreman	1	-		1	-	1	-
Elevator Inspector	3	-		3	-	3	-
Engineering Technician III	15	-		14	-	14	-
Engineering Technician IV	5	-		5	-	5	-
GIS Technician II	1	-	П	1	-	1	-
GIS Technician III	1	-	П	1	-	1	-
Junior Administrative Officer	2	-		2	-	2	-
Manager	3	-		3	-	3	-
Mechanical Inspector	4	-		4	-	4	-
Mechanical Inspector - Level 1	1	-		1	-	1	-
Mechanical Inspector Foreman	1	-	П	1	-	1	-
Plan Review Engineer	8	-		8	-	8	-
Plan Reviewer I	3	-		3	-	3	-
Plan Reviewer II	2	-		2	-	2	-
Plan Reviewer III	2	-		2	-	2	-
Principal Accountant	1	-		1	-	1	-
Structure Inspector	8	-	П	8	-	8	-
Structure Inspector Foreman	1	-		1	-	1	-
Position Detail as Budgeted Total	73	-		72	-	72	-

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results

Development Services Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Development Services works to facilitate development in accordance with municipal codes, municipal design criteria, and municipal construction standards. We protect public health through regulation of on-site water and wastewater systems. We respond to our customers seeking building, right-of-way, and land use permits or inspections or code enforcement information with open, friendly, cost efficient and effective service.

Core Services

- Enable property development through building and land use permitting;
- Ensure new construction meets municipal standards for protecting safety, public health, and environmental quality; and
- Enforce municipal codes to protect public assets such as rights-of-way and to promote clean and attractive neighborhoods.

Building Safety Division Development Services Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Building Safety Section accepts applications for building, land use, and private development permits; performs plan reviews for compliance with code, municipal design criteria, and municipal construction standards; issues permits; performs inspections to assure safe development; and protects public health and environmental quality through regulation of on-site water and wastewater systems.

Direct Services

- Process permit applications, provide cashier services, and issue permits;
- Verify that plans meet minimum code requirements through plan review;
- Inspect construction for compliance with plans and adopted building codes;
- Administer subdivision, improvement to public place, and development agreements in accordance with code:
- Process applications and issue permits for water and wastewater systems serving single family homes in accordance with Anchorage Municipal Code 15.55 (Water) and 15.65 (Wastewater); and
- Process certificates of on-site systems approval (COSA) for existing single family water and wastewater systems.

Accomplishment Goals

- Continue to provide excellent customer service by providing prompt and efficient permit processing, timely plan reviews, and same-day as requested construction inspection services;
- Manage the private development process effectively and efficiently;
- Ensure development-related infrastructure is designed and constructed according to municipal design criteria, standards, codes, and practices; and
- Provide on-site water and wastewater permitting, certification, training and enforcement consistent with goals of protecting public health and environmental quality.

Measure #1: Average number of minutes for first customer contact (Permitting Mgt. Unit)

Average Number of Minutes for 1 st Customer Contact						
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018			
12.46 minutes	19.42 minutes					
3,501 customers	4,512 customers					
4 employees	5 employees1					
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017			
12.12 minutes	14.29 minutes	21.48 minutes	15.07 minutes			
2,893 customers	4,446 customers	4,578 customers	3,244			
3.5 employees ²	5 employees	4 employees ³	4 employees			
2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg	2013 Qtr Avg			
14.22 minutes	14.25 minutes	19.20 minutes	22.34 minutes			
3,955 customers	4,201 customers	4,488 customers	4,049 customers			
4.3 employees	5 employees	4 employees	4 employees			
2012 Qtr Avg						
19.15 minutes						
3,536 customers						
3 employees						

¹Q2 2018 hiring of a new employee put staffing back at 5 but new employee is undergoing training.

²Q1 2017 began with 1 vacancy that increased to 2 vacancies mid-quarter. Recruitment is complete with 2 employees scheduled to begin in April.

³Q3 2017, one position vacated again, reducing staffing to 4.

<u>Measure #2:</u> Percent of first-time residential plan reviews completed within 4 business days (*Plan Review Unit*).

Percent of 1 st -Time Residential Reviews Completed within 4 Business Days					
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018		
86% in 4 days	87% in 4 days				
95% in 10 days	96% in 10 days				
188 Reviews	694 reviews				
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017		
Hansen database	85% in 4 days	83% in 4 days	87% in 4 days		
report that generates	97% in 10 days	95% in 10 days	99% in 10 days		
statistics not available	522 reviews	533 reviews	229 reviews		
2016	2015	2014	2013		
88% in 4 days	87% in 4 days ¹	86% in 4 days ¹	77% in 4 days ¹		
99% in 10 days	98% in 10 days²	98% in 10 days ²	92% in 10 days ²		
No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)	No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)	No Grand Total (no data for 1 quarter)	1766 reviews ³		
2012					
73% in 4 days ¹					
94% in 10 days ²					
1544 reviews ³					

¹Percent completed in 4 days for 2015 through 2012 is an average of the percentages reported for the first, second, and third quarters of each year. Hansen system does not timely report a 4th qtr percentage for each year.

²Ditto, percent reported for reviews within 10 days is an average of the percentages reported for 1st, 2nd, 3rd qtrs for 2015-2012.

³Total number of reviews completed equals grand total number of reviews completed for the year.

<u>Measure #3:</u> Percent of construction inspections completed same day as requested (Building Inspection Unit).

\Percent of Construction Inspections Completed Same Day as Requested					
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018		
99.1%	99.8%				
4718	5660				
16 inspectors	16 inspectors 1 inspector retired 5/30				
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017		
96.2%	97.5%	96.1%	96.6%		
4,572	5,799	5,875	5,281		
13 inspectors 14 th out on extended leave	13 inspectors 14 th out on extended leave	14 inspectors* (*2 new insp. started Sept 18; hiring 1 more now)	16 inspectors* (*1 new insp. started Nov 6: 3 inspectors in training)		
2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg	2013 Qtr Avg		
96.3%	94%	92.8%	96.4 %		
5,470 inspections	6,274 inspections	6,402 inspections	6,091 inspections		
14.3 inspectors	15 inspectors	14 + 2 shared use inspectors	14 + 3 shared use inspectors		
2012 Qtr Avg					
96.5%					
6,215 inspections					
15 + 3 shared used inspectors					

New Measure #4: Code Abatement Service Requests: new requests, number resolved, remaining open cases (Code Abatement Unit)

SERVICE REQUEST TYPES	Q2 New Requests	Q2 New Requests Resolved
Abatement General Intake	15	12
Abandoned Buildings	54	34
Building Open to Casual Access	9	7
Dangerous Building	8	2
Fire Damaged Building	11	8
Water Damaged Building	5	5
Illegal Fill/Excavation	9	2
Notice of License Requirement	45	18
Notice of Permit Requirement	71	28
Business License Inspection	19	19
Code Compliance Inspection	29	29
Misc. Service Requests	<u>43</u>	19
TOTAL Q2 New Service Requests	<u>318</u>	
TOTAL Q2 New Service Requests Resolved		183
Prior Service Requests Resolved in Q2		<u>79</u>
TOTAL Service Requests Resolved in Q2		<u>262</u>
TOTAL OPEN SERVICE REQUESTS	<u>427</u>	

Older Data – Previous Measure No. 4 Code Abatement Life Safety Requests					
2017 annual	2016 annual	2015 annual	2014 annual		
22 Received 19 Responded Same Day/86%	5 Received 4 Responded Same Day/ 80%	5 Received 4 Responded Same Day/ 80%	31 Received 10 Responded Same Day/ 32.3%		
Other (Non-Life Safety) Service Requests					
2017 Qtr Avg	2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg		
182 Received 167 responded within 7 days/92% Performed 75 bldg. const. insp.	136 Received 102 Responded within 7 days/75% Performed 20 bldg. const. inspections	84 Received 54.5 Responded within 7 days/65% Performed 51 bldg. const. inspections	94 Received 72 Responded within 7 days/76.6% Performed 206 bldg. const. inspections		

<u>Measure #5</u>: Percent of all required MOA development plan review responses provided to a customer within 15 business days of submittal (Private Development Section)

Percent of Development Review Responses Provided Within Fifteen Business Days						
Q1 2018	Q1 2018 Q2 2018 Q3 2018					
33%¹	85%²					
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017			
100%	83%³	86%4	88% ⁵			
2016 Qtr Avg	2015 Qtr Avg	2014 Qtr Avg	2013 Qtr Avg			
78.3%	89.3%	80%	100%			
2012 Qtr Avg						
95%						

¹Six reviews in Q1 2018. Four were late: two by one day; one by 2 days, and one by 3 days. Responses were late due to receiving Street Maintenance's comments late and holding a design mtg. to resolve drainage comments prior to submitting comments to the design engineer.

² Thirteen reviews in Q2 2018. Two were late by 2 days. Additional time was required to ensure comments were clear and not in conflict with other reviewers' comments.

³Twelve reviews in Q2 2017. Two were late: one a day late due to late receipt of comments from Street Maintenance & other a day late due to effort to resolve Traffic & Street Maintenance's comment with a design engineer.

⁴Fourteen reviews in Q3 2017. Two were late: one due to a review backlog and the other due to late receipt of comments from another department.

⁵Eight reviews in Q4 2017. One was delayed due to a decision required to determine if a new road would be a private road requiring a sidewalk versus a driveway.

Measure #6: Percent of certificate of on-site approval (COSA) applications for existing wastewater (septic) systems reviewed within 3 business days (On-Site Water & Wastewater Section)

Percent of Certificate of On-Site Acceptance Applications Reviewed w/ 3 Business Days								
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018					
95%	98%							
3 staff	3 staff							
115 applications	186 applications							
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017					
99%	91%	77%	94%					
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff					
107 applications	164 applications	194 applications	112 applications					
2016	2015	2014	2013					
82.3%	61%	71% qtr avg	67% qtr avg					
2.7 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff					
614 applications	684 applications	665 applications	658 applications					
2012								
64% qtr avg								
3 staff								
582 applications								

Measure #7: Percent of private engineers' inspection reports submitted to the MOA that are reviewed and completed within 3 business days after date of submittal. (On-Site Water and Wastewater Section)

	Percent of Inspection Report Reviews Completed within 3 Business Days								
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018						
75% in 3 days	76% in 3 days								
3 staff	3 staff								
60 reviews	45 reviews								
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017						
96% in 3 days	65% in 3 days	65% in 3 days	28% in 3 days						
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
25 reviews	31 reviews	31 reviews	54 reviews						
2016	2015	2014	2013						
11.5% in 3 days Qtr Avg	21% in 3 days Qtr Avg	29% in 3 days Qtr Avg	27% in 3 days Qtr Avg						
2.7 staff	2.7 staff	3 staff	3 staff						
125 reviews	97 reviews	130 reviews	126 reviews						
2012									
30% in 3 days Qtr Avg									
3 staff									
109 reviews									

<u>Measure #8:</u> Percent of on-site well and septic permit application reviews completed within 3 business days (*On-Site Water and Wastewater Section*)

Percent of On-Site Permit Application Reviews Completed within 3 Business Days								
Q1 2018	Q2 2018	Q3 2018	Q4 2018					
82% in 3 days	89% in 3 days							
3 staff	3 staff							
34 permits	132 permits							
Q1 2017	Q2 2017	Q3 2017	Q4 2017					
89% in 3 days	65% in 3 days	54% in 3 days	80% in 3 days					
3 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff					
37 permits	136 permits	120 permits	83 permits					
2016	2015	2014	2013					
43.5% in 3 days	43% in 3 days	47% in 3 days Qtr Avg	54% in 3 days Qtr Avg					
2.7 staff	3 staff	3 staff	3 staff					
359 permits	381 permits	394 permits	353 permits					
2012								
41% in 3 days Qtr Avg								
3 staff								
299 permits								

Land Use Permitting & Enforcement Division Development Services Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

Purpose

Protect the travelling public and improve the quality, useful life, and safety of the public rights-of-way within the Municipality of Anchorage.

Improve quality of life and ensure compatible land uses through effective zoning review and enforcement of Title 21, Land Use Regulations.

Provide assistance to general public and development community through review of facility licenses, administrative land use permits, and business development proposals and assign and maintain unique addressing and street names to ensure conformance with Anchorage's land use regulations.

Direct Services

- Inspect construction projects within municipal rights-of-way;
- Review plans and issue right-of-way permits on a timely basis;
- Investigate and resolve complaints regarding illegal usage of rights-of-way.
- Enforce Title 21, the Land Use Code;
- Perform final zoning inspections of completed construction projects;
- Conduct land use reviews (at request of property owner, developer, mortgage lender, etc.) to determine a parcel's zoning status, conformity with other land use regulations, and/or eligibility for grandfather rights;
- Issue administrative land use permits for bed and breakfast establishments, antenna towers and attachments, snow disposal sites, adult entertainment establishments, and premises where minors are not allowed:
- Review and inspect day care centers, animal facilities (such as kennels), and businesses selling alcoholic beverages for compliance with municipal land use regulations when those businesses seek new licenses or renewals; and
- Assign addresses to new construction and work to eliminate duplicate street names.

Accomplishment Goals

- Protect the travelling public and the municipal rights of way, the largest single asset of the Municipality of Anchorage at +\$10 billion;
- Respond to land use code complaints within established timeframes:
- Complete final zoning inspections same day as requested;
- Provide timely and accurate services for:
 - Land use reviews/determinations;
 - Administrative land use permits:
 - Business facility reviews and inspections;
 - Assignment of new addresses; and
 - o Maintenance of GIS map data layers for roads and addresses; and
- Continue to make progress eliminating duplicate street names to ensure the uniqueness of each address, thereby improving E911 response times.

Performance Measures Definitions and Terminology

Example: Measure #11: Percent of land use enforcement *complaints* with **investigation** initiated within one *working day* of receipt. (Land Use Enforcement)

Complaint(s) is defined as a request for assistance or an allegation of a use or activity not permitted by applicable Anchorage Municipal Code (AMC).

Investigated or Investigation is defined as the formal examination or action by the assigned enforcement agency to resolve the request for assistance and/or determine whether a violation of municipal code has occurred.

Examples include but are not limited to: complaint review, contact of complainant or alleged violator, issuance of relevant correspondence, site visit and the like, and, completion of the supporting data entry and documentation of evidence and results.

Working day is defined as a scheduled shift a code enforcement officer is working who is responsible for the type of complaint and area the complaint is filed in.

"Working day" **does not** include scheduled days off such as weekends or holidays. However "working day" **includes** scheduled vacation days as management has the responsibility to provide area coverage during those times.

<u>Measure #9:</u> Inspections of permitted construction completed to ensure installation compliance w/ MOA standards & specifications (ROW Enforcement Section)

	Right of Way Construction Inspections Completed							
Month/Year	# of ROW Officers	Accomplished	YTD					
Jan 18	7	1069	1069					
Feb 18	6	79	1148					
Mar 18	6	84	1232					
Apr 18	6	274	1506					
May 18	6	339	1845					
Jun 18	6	686	2531					
Jul 18								
Aug 18								
Sep 18								
Oct 18								
Nov 18								
Dec 18								
Jan 17	7	718	718					
Feb 17	7	77	795					
Mar 17	7	174	969					
Apr 17	7	99	1068					
May 17	7	302	1370					
Jun 17	7	623	1993					
Jul 17	7	413	2406					
Aug 17	7	728	3134					
Sep 17	7	552	3686					
Oct 17	7	532	4218					
Nov 17	7	216	4434					
Dec 17	7	507	4941					
	Annual To	tals - Prior Year	S					
2016	7	5,649						
2015	7	7,874						
2014	6.6	14,751						
2013	6	6,720						
2012	7	6,512						
2011	7	3,189						

Examples of inspection types are: initial, progress (there could be 4-6 or more progress inspections), final, and warranty.

<u>Measure #10:</u> Percent of all complaints of illegal uses within the rights-of-way with investigation initiated within one working day of receipt. (*Right-of-Way Enforcement Section*).

Percent of Illegal ROW Usage Complaints with Investigations initiated within One Working Day

	investigations initiated within One working Day									
Month & Year	# of ROW Officers	Number of Complaints	Number Investigated within 1 Working Day	Percent Investigated within 1 Working Day	# Found to be no Violation	Cases w Violations Closed this Quarter (new cases)	Cases w Violations Closed this Qtr (pre-existing cases)			
Jan 18	7	161	161	100%	10					
Feb 18	6	304	304	100%	4	685	36			
Mar 18	6	220	220	100%	17					
Apr 18	6	62	62	100%	3					
May 18	6	83	83	100%	9	208	26			
Jun 18	6	68	68	100%	4					
Jul 18										
Aug 18										
Sep 18										
Oct 18										
Nov 18										
Dec 18										
Jan 17	7	436	436	100%	34					
Feb 17	7	360	360	100%	24	977	54			
Mar 17	7	139	139	100%	17	911	34			
Apr 17	7	74	74	100%	4					
May 17	7	80	80	100%	8	251	35			
Jun 17	7	78	78	100%	2	231	33			
Jul 17	7	71	71	100%	5					
Aug 17	7	79	79	100%	0	196	15			
Sep 17	7	59	59	100%	6	190	13			
Oct 17	7	68	68	100%	2					
	7	207	207	100%	11	270	42			
Nov 17						379	42			
Dec 17	7	72	72	100%	16					
Annual	Totals – Pri	or Year								
2016	7	928	928	100%	57	821	93			
2015	7	887	887	100%	46	765	117			
2014	6.6	1,310	1,310	100%	119	1,491	226			
2013	6	1,848	1,864	101%*	189	1,738	279			
2012	7	2,478	2,457	99.2%	230	2,420	125			
2011 (3 qtrs)	7	1,523	1,493	98%	134	1,425	161			

^{*}Greater than 100%, because officers observed & investigated other violations in addition to investigating complaints received same day.

<u>Measure #11:</u> Percent of land use enforcement complaints with investigation initiated within one working day of receipt. (Land Use Enforcement Section)

	Percent of land use enforcement complaints with investigations initiated within one working day of receipt. (Land Use Enforcement Section)								
Month/ Year	# of LUE Officers	Number of Complaints	Number Investigated within 1 Working Day	Percent Investigated within 1 Working Day	# Found to be no Violation	Cases w Violations Closed this Quarter (new cases)	Cases w Violations Closed this Qtr (pre-existing cases)		
Jan 18	6 ^A	73	73	100%	3				
Feb 18	6 ^A	57	57	100%	2	225	92		
Mar 18	6 ^A	75	75	100%	1				
Apr 18 May 18	6	133	133	100%	11	000	00		
Jun 18	6	135 166	135 166	100% 100%	15 9	296	96		
Jul 18	6	100	100	100%	9				
Aug 18									
Sep 18									
Oct 18									
Nov 18									
Dec 18									
Jan 17	8 ^B	102	102	100%	7				
Feb 17	8 ^B	106	106	100%	5	327	4		
Mar 17	8 ^B	77	77	100%	5				
Apr 17	8	173	173	100%	10				
May 17	8	155	155	100%	11	416	15		
Jun 17	8	145	145	100%	6				
Jul 17	7 ^C	135	135	100%	5				
Aug 17	7 ^C	130	130	100%	1	263	78		
Sep 17	7 ^C	97	97	100%	2				
Oct 17	7	92	92	100%	8				
Nov 17	7	74	74	100%	4	259	90		
Dec 17	7	74	74	100%	0				
	Totals – Pri								
2016	7.4	1,320	1,320	100%	86	1,493	408		
2015	7	1,241	1,241	100%	71	935	302		
2014	6.2	1,310	1,310	1005	119	1,396	276		
2013	5	1,538	1,529	99%	118	1,118	416		
2012	6	1,826	1,749	96%	119	1,775	330		
2011 (3 qtrs)	6	1,194	1,031	86%	182	940	512		

^ASix officers and one lead officer were available to perform inspections in Q1 2018.

^BQ1 2017 one officer was unavailable due to medical leave. Six officers and one lead officer were available to perform inspections in Q1 2017.

^CAt beginning of Q3 2017, staffing permanently reduced by one officer.

<u>Measure #12:</u> Percent of final zoning inspections completed same day as requested (Land Use Enforcement Section).

2018	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Inspections Requested	24	20	13	22	22	58						
Completed Same Day	24	20	13	22	22	58						
% Completed Same Day	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
# of Staff	6	6	6	6	6	6						
2017	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Inspections Requested	89	21	23	22	41	48	64	118	45	44	18	68
		<u> </u>	20	~~	71	40	0+	110	40	44	10	00
Completed Same Day	89	21	23	22	41	48	64	118	45 45	44	18	68
•	89					-	-	-	-			

Yearly	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
Inspections					
Requested	673	1165	531	773	428
Completed					
Same Day	673	1164	526	772	426
% Completed					
Same Day	100%	100%	99.1%	99.9%	99.5%
# of Staff	7.4	6.5	6.2	5	7

^{*}Q1 2017 one officer was unavailable due to medical leave. Six officers and one lead officer were available to perform inspections in Q1 2017. **Q3 2017 staffing was reduced by one officer, so now permanently at 6 officers and 1 lead officer.

PVR Measure WC: Managing Workers' Compensation Claims

Reducing job-related injuries is a priority for the Administration by ensuring safe work conditions and safe practices. By instilling safe work practices, we ensure not only the safety of our employees but reduce the potential for injuries and property damage to the public. The Municipality is self-insured and every injury poses a financial burden on the public and the injured worker's family. It just makes good sense to WORK SAFE.

Results are tracked by monitoring monthly reports issued by the Risk Management Division.

