

CMAQ Project Evaluation Criteria

Project Name:

Project Description:

Factors	Factor Wt	DOT	DEC	TRAN	AMATS	DHHS	AQAC	Factor Score	Points Possible
Total Project Cost Points Possible = 14	0.20							0.00	2
Total \$\$ required \$500,000 or less 8-10 >\$500,000 but <\$750,000 6-7 >\$750,000 but < \$1,000,000 4-5 > \$1,000,000 0-3									
Leverages other fund sources >20% from other sources 10 <20 but >10% 4-9 < 10% 0-3	0.20							0.00	2
Degree of displacement (ie.# of businesses or residences affected) None 10 Low number and size 6-9 Moderate number and size 2-5 High number and size 0-1	0.20							0.00	2
Expected life of project and contributions > 10 years 7-10 5-10 years 4-6 < 5 years 0-3	0.30							0.00	3
Economic benefits after project completion High 8-10 Moderate 4-7 Low 0-3	0.30							0.00	3
O&M costs associated with project Low 7-10 Moderate 4-6 High 0-3	0.20							0.00	2
Sum of factor scores								0.00	14
Area and Number of People Served Points Possible = 10	0.40							0.00	4
People/area served in terms of number of community councils affected 30+ Community Councils 10 20-29 community councils 8 10-19 community councils 6 6-9 community councils 4 5 or fewer community councils 2									
Benefit to disadvantaged/minority populations High 7-10 Moderate 4-6 Low 0-3	0.30							0.00	3
Contribution to enhanced intermodal Significant promotion of use of multi-modal links (transit, bike,pedestrian, etc) 8-10 Moderate multi-modal improvements, promotes non-auto work,shopping and school trips 5-7 Minimal multi-modal improvements 1-4 No multi-modal improvements 0	0.30							0.00	3
Sum of factor scores								0.00	10
Contributions to Air Quality Points Possible = 24	1.80							0.00	18
Amount of pollutant reduced Project reduces CO by more than 750 lbs per day or PM-10 by more than 4,000 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense; 8-10 Project reduces CO by more than 500 lbs per day or PM-10 by more than 2500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense; 5-7 Project reduces CO by more than ~250 lbs per day or PM-10 by more than 1,500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense; 3-4 Project reduces CO by less than 250 lbs per day or PM-10 by more than 1,500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense; 0-2									
Reduction of other air pollutants Provides significant benefits in the reduction of other pollutants such as fine particulate (PM-2.5), air toxics or noxious odors. 6-10 Provides some benefits in the reduction of other pollutants such as fine particulate (PM-2.5), air toxics or noxious odors. 1-5 No benefit in the reduction of other air pollutants. 0	0.60							0.00	6
Sum of factor scores								0.00	24
Effectiveness in Reducing Congestion and/or Travel Times Points Possible = 24	0.30							0.00	3
Improves connectivity Significantly enhances connectivity 6-10 Somewhat enhances 1-5 No effect on connectivity 0									
Cost effectiveness in reducing traffic volume (reduces ADT) Reduces VMT significantly in relation to cost' incorporates TDM techniques 6-10 Reduces VMT moderately in relation to cost' incorporates TDM techniques 1-5 Negligible reduction in VMT 0	0.80							0.00	8
Provides/Encourages use of alternative transportation methods and/or discourages S.O.V. use High 8-10 Moderate 5-7 Low 1-4	0.50							0.00	5
Cost effectiveness in reducing delay (reduces vehicle hours of delay) Reduces VHD significantly in relation to cost; incorporates TDM techniques 8-10 Reduces VHD moderately in relation to cost; incorporates TDM techniques 5-7 Negligible reduction in VHD 1-4	0.80							0.00	8
Sum of factor scores								0.00	24
Required By or Supports an Approved Plan Points Possible = 10	0.40							0.00	4
Supports a required SIP project	0.40							0.00	4
Supports an approved plan other than SIP	0.20							0.00	2
Reinforces appropriate land use	0.20							0.00	2
Sum of factor scores								0.00	10
Support for the Project Points Possible = 10	0.20							0.00	2
Significant support 8-10 Moderate support 5-7 Some support 2-4 Supported by special interest groups 0-1									
Government (MOA/SOA) MOA/SOA nominated & supported 7-10 Not nominated, but MOA/SOA will implement 4-6 Not nominated, and MOA/SOA reluctant to support 0-3	0.20							0.00	2
Project readiness Project can obligate all requested funds immediately and be completed within one year of receiving funding 10 Project can obligate all funds requested within three months of FFY and be completed within 2 years of receiving funding 8 Project can obligate a portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; project completed within 3 years of receiving first funding -6 Concept approved; all match funds identified; requires normal FHWA process; expect completion within 7 years -4 Requires concept approval; matching funds not identified; uncertain timeline for obligation, construction and completion -2	0.30							0.00	3
Project need Clearly defined 10 Somewhat defined, add'l info needed 5 Not defined 0	0.30							0.00	3
Sum of factor scores								0.00	10
Contributions to Public Safety (Other Than Health) Points Possible = 5	0.50							0.00	5
E.g., anticipated effect on accident rates (1-10)									
Sum of factor scores								0.00	5
Use of ITS or Other Innovative Technology Points Possible = 3	0.30							0.00	3
Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology to achieve its intended purpose Extensive use; new ITS/innovation technology; new application of existing technology; supports LRTP goals and objectives -8-10 Some use of ITS/innovative technology;mostly applications and technology already in use 5-7 Minimal use; existing/old generation ITS 1-4 No ITS or innovative technology/applications 0									
Sum of factor scores								0.00	3
Sum of criteria scores								0.00	100