

PC Final CMAQ Evaluation Criteria

Expanded Scoring Methodology

2015-2018 TIP

Total Project Cost				Category Weighting = .25
		Total funding required:	\$500,000 or less	10 - Or -
			> \$500,000 but < \$750,000	7 - Or -
			> \$750,000 but < \$1,000,000	5 - Or -
			> \$1,000,000	3
2 Displacement				Category Weighting = .25
		Degree of displacement (ie.# of businesses or residences affected)	None	10 - Or -
			Low number and size	9 - Or -
			Moderate number and size	5 - Or -
			High number and size	1
3 Economic Benefits				Category Weighting = .4
		Economic benefits after project completion	High	10 - Or -
			Moderate	7 - Or -
			Low	3
4 O&M Costs				Category Weighting = .25
		O&M costs associated with project	Low	10 - Or -
			Moderate	6 - Or -
			High	3
5 Population Served				Category Weighting = .5
		Project located as to serve or benefit:	Areawide users	10 - Or -
			An area that is less than areawide (eg NE, NW, SE, SW with Tudor and Seward Hwy as general boundaries	8 - Or -
			More than one community council, user group or purpose	6 - Or -
			One neighborhood	2

PC Final CMAQ Evaluation Criteria

Expanded Scoring Methodology

2015-2018 TIP

6	Environmental Justice			Category Weighting = .4
		Environmental Justice	Project is located within 1/4 mile of an EJ area and will provide new or improved access to transit, pedestrian or bike facilities	10 - Or -
			Project is located within 1/4 mile of an EJ area but does not provide any new or improved access to transit, pedestrian or bike facilities	0 - Or -
			Project creates a disproportionate negative effect on an EJ area (reduces access to transit service, or negatively impacts pedestrian or bike facilities	-3
7	Multimodal Contribution			Category Weighting = .4
		Includes multimodal links	Significant links or significantly improves mode transitions and improvements are needed immediately	10 - Or -
			Moderate links or moderately improves mode transitions and improvements are needed	6 - Or -
			Minimal links	2 - Or -
			No links	0
8	Pollutant Reduction			Category Weighting = 2.25
		Project reduces CO by:	> 750 lbs per day or PM-10 > 4,000 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	10 - Or -
			> 500 lbs per day or PM-10 > 2500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	7 - Or -
			> -250 lbs per day or PM-10 > 1,500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	4 - Or -
			< 250 lbs per day or PM-10 > 1,500 lbs per \$1 M total annual expense	2
9	Air Pollutant Reduction			Category Weighting = .75
		Reduction of other pollutants such as fine particulate (PM-2.5), air toxics, greenhouse gases and/or noxious odors:	Significant benefits	10 - Or -
			Some benefits	6 - Or -
			No benefit	2 - Or -

PC Final CMAQ Evaluation Criteria
 Expanded Scoring Methodology
 2015-2018 TIP

10	Connectivity			Category Weighting = .4
		Improves connectivity / project provides needed connections:	Between large segments of the city such as Downtown to Midtown and U-Med	10 - Or -
			Between neighborhoods	6 - Or -
			Within neighborhoods	4 - Or -
			Provides no connectivity benefit	0
11	Alternative Transportation			Category Weighting = .6
		Project provides/encourages use of alternative transportation methods and/or discourages S.O.V. use?	Project provides for a new transit service	5 - Or -
			Project implements a transportation demand management (TDM) strategy	3 - Or -
			Project does not provide any of these measures	0
12	Reducing Delay			Category Weighting = 1.0
		Cost effectiveness in reducing delay (reduces vehicle hours of delay)	Significantly reduces VHD in relation to cost; incorporates TDM techniques	10 - Or -
			Moderately reduces VHD in relation to cost; incorporates TDM techniques	7 - Or -
			Negligible reduction in VHD	4
13	Plan Approval			Category Weighting = .6
		Required By or Supports an Approved Plan:	Supports a required SIP project	10 - and / Or -
			Supports an approved plan other than SIP (i.e. Comp Plan, Trails Plan, Transit Plan, District Plan, etc.)	10
14	Project Support			Category Weighting = .25
		Public Support:	Broad based area-wide support and project is in an approved Transportation related plan	10 - Or -
			Local area support for project (resolution from local government)	7 - Or -
			Limited support for project (neighborhood petition, community council resolution)	4 - Or -
			No significant support for project is documented	0 - Or -
			Local opposition is documented	-3 - Or -

PC Final CMAQ Evaluation Criteria
 Expanded Scoring Methodology
 2015-2018 TIP

15	Project Readiness			Category Weighting = .4
		Can the project obligate:	All funds requested immediately and be completed within one year of receiving funding	10 - Or -
			All funds requested within three months of FFY and be completed within 2 years of receiving funding	8 - Or -
			A portion of requested funds immediately and begin first phase of project; project completed within 3 years of receiving first funding	6 - Or -
			Requires concept approval ; matching funds not identified; uncertain timeline for obligation, construction and completion	2
16	Safety			Category Weighting = .6
		Contributions to public safety (other than health) E.g., anticipated effect on crash rates	Yes	1 -10 - Or -
			No	0
17	ITS			Category Weighting = .4
		Consider the extent to which the project involves or uses ITS or other innovative technology to achieve its intended purpose:	Extensive use ; new ITS/innovation technology; new application of existing technology; supports MTP goals and objectives	10 - Or -
			Some use of ITS/innovative technology; mostly applications and technology already in use	7 - Or -
			Minimal use ; existing/old generation ITS	3 - Or -
			No ITS or innovative technology/applications	0