Purchasing Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results

<u>Measure #1:</u> Cost to provide efficient purchasing services as a percent of total MOA purchases and compare to national benchmarks:

2015--.4%

2016--.1%

2017--.1%

2018--.2%

Benchmark: Below 1%

Measure #2: Number of formal protests sent to Bidding Review Board (BRB)

2015 - 1 (Solid Waste Service Garbage Trucks)

2016 – 1 - (Upper O'Malley LRSA)

2017 - 0

2018 – 1 (Asplund Wastewater Treatment Facility Process and Facility Improvements)

Goal: O

Measure #3: Expenditures in the local area

2015 - 57% (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 86%)

2016 - 41% (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 79%)

2017 – 65% (this remains artificially low due to the ML&P power plant contract and other specialized contracts not available from in state sources. Without these actions, the instate % is 68%)

2018 – Waiting on SAP report to accurately disclose expenditure information

No formal Goal but matter of local interest