## **Anchorage Police Department**

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results

#### **Mission**

To Protect and serve our community in the most professional and compassionate manner possible

#### **Core Services**

- Protection of Life
- Protection of Property
- Maintenance of Order

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

- Maintain the rate of Uniform Crime Report (UCR) Part I crimes in Anchorage at or below the national average for comparable size communities
- Reduce the rate of adult sexual assault in Anchorage
- The number of drivers Operating Under the Influence (OUI) decreases

#### **Performance Measures**

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Maintain the rate of Uniform Crime Report (UCR) Part I crimes in Anchorage at or below the national average for comparable size communities
  - Effectiveness: Annual Uniform Crime Report Part I crime rate (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage, as compared to communities nationwide in population 250,000-499,999
  - Efficiency: Average total cost per officer in Anchorage
- Reduce the rate of adult sexual assault in Anchorage
  - Effectiveness: rate of adult sexual assault (under the State of Alaska definition, per 100,000 population) for Anchorage
  - Effectiveness: Rate of adult sexual assault arrests (percent of adult sexual assault cases resulting in arrest)
- The number of drivers Operating Under the Influence (OUI) decreases
  - Effectiveness: Number of arrests for non-collision-related OUI.
  - Effectiveness: Number of deaths associated with OUI-related collisions

<u>Measure #1:</u> Annual Uniform Crime Report Part I crime rate (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage, as compared to communities nationwide in population 250,000-499,999

2005		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010	
Anch	Group										
4,784	6,600	5,112	6,210	4,826	5,740	4,235	5,451	4,524	5,119	4,361	4,974


20	011	2012		2013		2014		2015		2016	
Anch	Group										
3.948	5,116	4,355	5,056	4,831	4,803	8,552	NA	4,988	4,402	6,042	4,363

Note: Data are derived from FBI UCR Table 8 and Table 16. <u>Data for 2017 will not be released by the FBI until the fourth quarter of 2018.</u>

2016 Table 8 (Alaska):

https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-6/table-6-state-cuts/alaska.xls 2016 Table 16:

https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2016/crime-in-the-u.s.-2016/tables/table-11



### Measure #2: Average total cost per officer in Anchorage

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
no data	no data	no data	\$131,795	\$127,364	\$133,925	\$144,268	\$155,949	\$164,436

2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
\$174,654	178,913	167,215	\$161,560	

Actual Cost Computed at year end.

## <u>Measure #3:</u> Rate of adult sexual assault (under the State of Alaska definition, per 100,000 population) for Anchorage

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
94.1	100.3	108.4	103.7	108.7	111.0	117.2	122.0	126.0

2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
116.5	116	150	133	

## <u>Measure #4:</u> Rate of adult sexual assault arrests (percent of adult sexual assault cases resulting in arrest)

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
9.5%	10.2%	14.7%	6.9%	13.6%	12.3%	9.8%	10.8%	11%	15.4%	14.48%

2016	2016	2016	2016	
1rst Qu	2nd Qu	3rd Qu	4th Qu	2016
10.8%	12.9%	5.8%	5%	8.63%

2017 1rst Qu	2017 2nd Qu	2017 3rd Qu	2017 4th Qu	2017
12.8%	9.8%	21.9%	1.4%	11.48%

2018 1rst Qu	2018 2nd Qu	2018 3rd Qu	2018 4th Qu	2018
15.62%	14.80%			15.21%

#### Measure #5: Number of arrests for non-collision-related OUI

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1202	1121	1545	2327	2261	1951	1732	1426	1389	1160	1075

2015 1rst Qu	2015 2nd Qu	2015 3rd Qu	2015 4th Qu	2015	1
		Sra Qu			
253	290	272	260	1075	

2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
1rst Qu	2nd Qu	3rd Qu	4th Qu	
255	292	307	326	1180

2016	2016	2016	2016	2016
1rst Qu	2nd Qu	3rd Qu	4th Qu	
248	321	237	350	1156

2018	2018	2018	2018	2018
1rst Qu	2nd Qu	3rd Qu	4th Qu	
296	253			549

### Measure #6: Number of deaths associated with OUI-related collision

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
No data	No data	No data	6	3	3	4	1	6	4	7

2016	2016	2016	2016	2016
1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	
.67	3	1	1	5.67

2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017
1	1	2	1	5

2018	2018	2018	2018	2018
1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	
1***	1**			2

Note: \*\*\* pending 3 toxicology reports
\*\* pending toxicology report

## Administration Division Anchorage Police Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

To provide technical and administrative police service to the community and employees of the Anchorage Police Department

#### **Division Direct Services**

- Answer and dispatch 911 calls for assistance
- Property management
- Records management
- Citation processing
- IT management
- Budget management
- Facilities management
- Grant management

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

 Answer 911 calls within national standard time range, under National Emergency Number Association (NENA) standards

#### **Performance Measures**

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Answer 911 calls within national standard time range, under National Emergency Number Association (NENA) standards
  - Effectiveness: Average time (in seconds) required for call takers to answer 911 calls

#### Measure #7: Average time (in seconds) required for call takers to answer 911 calls

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
No	No	No	No	10	8	8	9	10	10.5	11.4
data	data	data	data	seconds						

2016 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2016 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2016 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2016 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2016
12	13	14	12	12.5
seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds

2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017	
13	13	15.67	15	14	
seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	seconds	

2018 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2018 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2018 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2018 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2018
14.67	17.21			15.94
seconds	seconds			seconds

# **Crime Suppression Division Anchorage Police Department**

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

To prevent and deter crime and promote safe neighborhoods by utilizing proactive community policing methods

#### **Direct Services**

- Proactive, problem-oriented community policing
- Traffic law enforcement
- Selective enforcement of high-risk offenders and crimes

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

• Reduce the rate of fatality vehicle collisions in Anchorage

#### **Performance Measures**

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Reduce the rate of fatality vehicle collisions in Anchorage
  - Effectiveness: Rate of fatality vehicle collisions (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage

#### Measure #8: Rate of fatality vehicle collisions (per 100,000 population) for Anchorage

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
.4	5.4	5.4	4.6	7.1	2.8	1.3	4.7	4.3	7.7	7.7

2016 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2016 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2016 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2016 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2016
2	1.3	1.67	2.3	7.27

2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017
1	1	1.3	2.34	5.64

2018 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2018 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2018 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2018 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2018
1.6	1.3			2.9

# Detective Division Anchorage Police Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

To follow up on felony crimes reported to or detected by the Anchorage Police Department and to provide specialized law enforcement to interdict selected crimes

#### **Direct Services**

- Investigation
- Law Enforcement
- Service Referrals

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

• Increase clearance rate in homicide cases

#### **Performance Measures**

Progress in achieving goals shall be measured by:

- Increase clearance rate in homicide cases
  - o Effectiveness: Clearance rate in homicide cases in Anchorage

#### Measure #9: Clearance rate in homicide cases in Anchorage

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Cases	17	21	25	12	17	19	18	18	19	14	27
Closed	14	17	23	10	15	16	17	17	16	11	19
Percentage	82%	81%	92%	83%	88%	84%	94%	94%	84%	79%	70.37%

Year	2016 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2016 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2016 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2016 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2016
Cases	9	3	18	8	38
Closed	6	3	12	7	28
Percentage	67%	100%	67%	87.5%	73.7%

Year	2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017
Cases	10	7	13	7	30
Closed	6	4	10	4	20
Percentage	60%	57%	77%	54%	67%

Year	2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017
Cases	6	5			6
Closed	4	5			4
Percentage	66.7%	100%			83.35%

# Patrol Division Anchorage Police Department

Anchorage: Performance. Value. Results.

#### **Purpose**

To respond to citizen calls for service and proactively initiate contacts, thereby deterring and solving crime as well as providing service referrals to create a secure and livable community

#### **Direct Services**

- Law Enforcement
- Crime Prevention
- Investigation
- Service Referrals
- Response to Emergencies and Disasters

#### **Accomplishment Goals**

- Maintain an average response time for Priority 1 calls for service under eight minutes
- The number of drivers involved in motor vehicle collisions who were Operating Under the Influence (OUI) at the time of the collision decreases

#### **Performance Measures**

- Maintain an average response time for Priority 1 calls for service under eight minutes
  - o Effectiveness: Average response time for all Priority 1 calls for service
- The number of drivers involved in motor vehicle collisions who were Operating Under the Influence (OUI) at the time of the collision decreases
  - o Effectiveness: Number of arrests for collision-related OUI made by Patrol

## <u>Measure #10:</u> Average time from dispatch to first officer on scene for all Priority 1 calls for service

2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
3.4	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.37
minutes							

2016 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr			2016 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2016
4.7	4.5	4.65	4.85	4.67
minutes	minutes	minutes	minutes	minutes

2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rf</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017
5.33	4.86	5.47	5.37	5.26
minutes	minutes	minutes	minutes	minutes

2018 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2018 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2018 3 <sup>rf</sup> Qtr	2018 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2018
5.17	5.04			5.11
minutes	minutes			minutes

## Measure #11: Number of arrests for collision-related OUI made by Patrol

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
342	352	427	449	344	463	283	287	296	279	341

2016	2016	2016	2016	2016
1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	
77	75	73	91	316

2017 1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2017 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	2017 3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	2017 4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	2017
68	61	60	66	255

2018	2018	2018	2018	2018
1 <sup>rst</sup> Qtr	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr	
64	55			119

### **PVR Measure WC: Managing Workers' Compensation Claims**

Reducing job-related injuries is a priority for the Administration by ensuring safe work conditions and safe practices. By instilling safe work practices, we ensure not only the safety of our employees but reduce the potential for injuries and property damage to the public. The Municipality is self-insured and every injury poses a financial burden on the public and the injured worker's family. It just makes good sense to WORK SAFE.

Results are tracked by monitoring monthly reports issued by the Risk Management Division.

